ROCHESTER INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MICROELECTRONIC ENGINEERING

Two Level Metal Process Technology

Dr. Lynn Fuller Archana Devasia, Ellen Sedlack, Zachary Bittner, Michael Brindak, Jeff Traikoff, Michael Kassis, Justin Delmonte, Chris Shea

http://people.rit.edu/lffeee

Electrical and Microelectronic Engineering Rochester Institute of Technology 82 Lomb Memorial Drive Rochester, NY 14623-5604 Tel (585) 475-2035 Lynn.Fuller@rit.edu http://www.microe.rit.edu



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

2-15-2010 Two_Level_Metal.ppt

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

OUTLINE

Introduction

Metal Deposition –

Tools, Uniformity, Surface Roughness, Step Coverage Metal One Sputter Etch Prior to Metal Two Deposition Lithography for Metal One and Two Aluminum Plasma Etch for Metal One and Two Via Plasma Etch for Intermetal Dielectric Electrical Test Results, Via Chain with 512 Vias Conclusions Summary



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

INTRODUCTION

The goal of this work is to develop a useful two-layer aluminum metal interconnect technology for our submicron CMOS processes. To do this we had to improve several processes including:

- 1. Metal Deposition (For Various Tools)
 - 1.1 Uniformity
 - 1.2 Surface Roughness
 - 1.3 Step Coverage
- 2. Metal Sputter Etch Prior to Metal Two Deposition
- 3. Lithography for Metal One and Two
- 4. Aluminum Plasma Etch for Metal One and Two
- 5. Via Plasma Etch for Intermetal Dielectric

Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

PE4400 SPUTTER / SPUTTER ETCH TOOL



© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

PE4400 – AL THICKNESS NON UNIFORMITY



PE4400 SPUTTER ETCH RATE

		A	В	С	
	1				
	2		Original	Post Etch	
	3	1	1992	1506	
	4	2	2046	1543	
	5	3	2059	1545	
	6	4	2030	1518	
	7	5	1981	1500	
	8	6	2111	1597	
	9	7	2155	1624	
	10	8	2168	1629	
	11	9	2172	1623	
	12	10	2062	1542	
	13	11	2252	1696	
	14	12	2273	1709	
	15	13	2007	1519	
	16	14	2124	1604	
	17	15	2238	1689	
	18	16	2327	1752	
	19	17	2349	1767	
	20	18	2297	1722	
	21	19	2213	1661	
	22	20	2117	1585	
	23	21	2069	1561	
	24	22	2185	1645	
	25	23	2273	1714	
	26	24	2344	1766	
4	27	25	2388	1795	
+	28	26	2400	1802	
	29	27	2370	1780	

D

E	F	G	Н	1	J	
	Original	Post Etch		Original	Post Etch	
Average	2241.279	1685.459		2242.28	1685.8	
Std. Dev	115.8784	86.18035		116.699	86.524	
Min	1981	1500		1981.3	1500	
Max	2414	1815		2417.3	1815	
Range	433	315		436.05	315	
Etch Rate	18.52732	A/min		18.54933		

~18Å/min

The sputter etch rate was calculated from measured aluminum thickness before and after sputter etch. Measurements were made using 4point probe thickness technique on the CDE resistivity mapper. The sputter etch rate of aluminum was 18 Å per minute.

Power = 500 watts Pressure = 5 mTorr Flow = 20 sccm Table Rotation = Yes



CHA FLASH EVAPORATOR







© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

FLASH EVAPORATOR THICKNESS UNIFORMITY



© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

CVC601



Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

CVC601 THICKNESS UNIFORMITY



© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

4 PT PROBE WAFER THICKNESS MEASUREMENTS



© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

EQUATIONS USE BY CDE RESISTIVITY MAPPER

Thickness = <u>Known Bulk Resistivity</u> Measured Sheet Resistance

Bulk Resistivity is assumed to be known

Measured Sheet Resistance = $(\pi/\ln 2)(V/I)$

The CDE Resistivity Mapper can be programmed to automatically convert measured V/I to thickness

Uniformity = (Max-Min)/(Max+Min)



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

MODELING OF BULK RESISTIVITY

Bulk Resistivity is assumed to have a value = $x Exp^{(y)}$

Where the pre exponential value may be different for different film deposition techniques (i.e. evaporation, RF sputtering, DC sputtering, etc.)

	Х	У	Rho ohm- Å
CDE Manual	337.17	-0.92401	133.8
PE4400 (300watts)	412	-0.92401	163.5
CVC601			
Flash Evaporator			

Note: bulk Aluminum Rho = $270 \text{ ohm-}\text{\AA}$

Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering



VERIFICATION USING THE TENCORE P2



STEP COVERAGE



© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

SUMMARY FOR DEPOSITION, UNIFORMITY and STEP COVERAGE

1. None of the deposition tools are that great from a thickness uniformity point of view. The best tool we investigated is the Cha Flash Evaporator.

2. The PE 4400 is the only tool that can do sputter etch prior to metal deposition. So we need to use this tool for the 2nd layer of aluminum.

3. The four point probe technique for measuring thickness is a good way to measure uniformity.

4. Step coverage can be a problem so we choose to deposit metal thickness larger than the step height. Our metal thicknesses are $0.75\mu m$ for metal one and two.



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

SURFACE ROUGHNESS

The PE4400 RF sputtering tool has sputter etch capability. There is a lot of heat produced during sputtering in this tool which causes large grain size in the sputtered aluminum. The metal sputtered in this tool can have a white look due to the large grain size. The large grain size and the 1.3 microns of photoresist on top of the aluminum makes it difficult to see alignment marks for the metal two lithography step.

Large grain size aluminum contributes to photoresist adhesion problems and the plasma etch seems to be more isotropic.



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

IMAGE OF WAFER SHOWING EFFECT OF SURFACE ROUGHNESS

Al Thickness = 7225Å Sputtered at 900 watts

Veeco Wyco RMS Surface Roughness = 37 nm



Metal two looks white instead of shiny silver due to large grain size

> Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering

Photograph of alignment keys with no photoresist

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

Page 18

10X

PE4400 SETTINGS AND SOME RESULTS

			1											
Power Watts	Space	Pressure mT	Flow sccm	Time min	Deposition Rate Å/min	Total Thickness Å	Surface Rough nm RMS							
Bare Wafer		-	-	-	None	Zero	4.6							
CVC601						6800	11							
900	А	5	20	90	80.3	7225	37							
600	А	5	20	60	54	3265	17							
500	А	5	40	120	44	5300	20.5							
500	А	5	40	90+90	44	~7500	21							
500	А	5	40	90	44	~3750	6							
400	А	5	40	240	37	9000	7							
400	В	5	40	120			11							
400	С	5	40	180	62	11169	~20							
300	С	5	45	150	38.7	5800	~11							
Goa	l is 7500	Å Al thic	kness and	d surface	roughnes	s <10nm	RMS							
		IUnm	$\mathbf{KMS} = \mathbf{Z}$	283A pea	k-to-peak		10nm RMS = 283A peak-to-peak							

VEECO WYCO NT1100 OPTICAL PROFILOMETER

Used to measure RMS surface roughness



SURFACE ROUGHNESS DATA



ALUMINUM SURFACE ROUGHNESS DATA



400W, 40 sccm, 5mT, 240 min, 9000Å



© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

AL DEPOSITED AT 600 WATTS TO THICKNESS OF 3265Å

600 Watts, Ar Flow 20sccm, 2mT, Table Rotation 100, 60 min Dep Rate = 3265Å/60min = 54Å/min



SUMMARY - FOR SPUTTERING IN PE4400

- 1. Smoother films can be deposited at lower powers.
- 2. Thinner films are smoother.

3. To quantify the roughness/smoothness the Veeco Wyco Optical Surface Profilometer is useful.

- 4. The deposition rate is lower at lower powers.
- 5. Deposition times become many hours for low power and film thickness approaching 1 micron.

6. Moving the wafers closer to the target increases sputter rate and surface roughness. (The height is as close as possible now "C")

7. Rough films give problems for lithography and etching.

8. Surface roughness needs to be less than 10nm RMS for successful lithography and plasma etching.

9. Best conditions observed so far are, 300 watts, 5 mT, 40 sccm, to give a deposition rate of 37Å/min and surface roughness of ~11nm RMS for a film thickness of ~7500 Å. after 180 min sputter time.
10. Non uniformity is 22%. Wafers are thinner toward the flat.

LITHOGRAPHY PROBLEMS ON ROUGH ALUMINUM

Rough aluminum makes it hard to see the alignment marks from previous layers. Photoresist adhesion is not as good on rough films. The plasma etch seems to be more isotropic.





© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

CANON FPA-2000 i1 STEPPER



i-Line Stepper $\lambda = 365 \text{ nm}$ NA = 0.52, $\sigma = 0.6$ Resolution = 0.7 λ / NA = ~0.5 μ m 20 x 20 mm Field Size Depth of focus = $k_2 \lambda/(NA)^2 = 0.8 \mu$ m Overlay ~0.1 μ m

> Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering



© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

IMAGE OF WAFER AND ALIGNMENT KEYS

Veeco Wyco RMS Surface Roughness = 37 nm



Metal two looks white instead of shiny silver due to large grain size

Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering

Photograph of alignment keys with no photoresist

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

Page 27

10X

TECHNIQUE TO REMOVE METAL TWO OVER ALIGNMENT MARKS ON TWO DIE



Stepper job F081SUBCMOS_Z Use plain piece of glass for mask

Blade positions in Shot File Bu = -6mmBd = -8mmBl = -8mmBr = 8mm

Skip shots all except two die Row 6 column 2 and Row 6 Column 8



Use: COATMTL.RCP and DEVMTL.RCP recipes on the SSI track for thicker resist coatings and better step coverage

ALIGNMENT KEYS AFTER REMOVAL OF METAL 2

We did a wet etch of the aluminum, rinsed and did a spin/rinse/dry. In those two spots on the wafer we could see the alignment marks as shown below.



We modified the stepper job F081SUBCMOS_M2 so that it used the two die with no metal two for alignment and exposed the wafer using the M2 photomask.

Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

PHOTOS OF WAFER AFTER PHOTO FOR METAL 2

Metal Two Excellent align: 6µm x 24µm Via Chain Links



Excellent alignment, zero overlay error

Metal Two 100µm x 100µm Pads



Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering

SUMMARY-CONCLUSION PHOTO ON ROUGH AL

- 1. The Canon stepper can be used to image on rough aluminum.
- 2. Alignment marks can be made visible by etching the aluminum off of selected die and creating a stepper job to use the alignment marks in only those die for alignment.
- 3. Resolution and overlay is acceptable.
- 4. Resist adhesion may not be as good as with smooth films.
- 5. Best solution is to deposit smooth aluminum.



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

PROBLEMS ETCHING ROUGH ALUMINUM

1. Plasma etching using the LAM 4600 undercuts the photoresist significantly.

2. Smooth metal works fine, see metal one, rough metal seems to etch isotropically (may be a resist adhesion issue)

3. Wafer non-uniformity of 22% causes some areas to not etch completely. Over etch is needed to completely etch everywhere.4. The etch needs to be anisotropic.



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering



AFTER METAL ETCH AND RESIST STRIP





Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

METAL ONE – HORIZONTAL, METAL TWO - VERTICAL

M2 lines run vertical, M1 lines run horizontal, both 0.75µm Thick





6µm M2 lines with photoresist

Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

Resist removed show M2

lines are actually 1.3µm

ISOTROPIC ETCH

M2 lines run vertical, M1 lines run horizontal, both 0.75µm Thick



6µm M2 lines with photoresist



Resist removed show M2 lines are actually 1.3µm



Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering Note: M1 lines look good, M2 look over etched

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

OPTICAL LINE WIDTH MEASUREMENTS



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

ALUMINUM ETCH USING LAM4600



LAM 4600 ALUMINUM ETCHER

Plasma Chemistry Cl2 – Reduces Pure Aluminum BCl3 – Etches native Aluminum Oxide -Increases Physical Sputtering N2 – Dilute and Carrier for the chemistry Chloroform – Helps Anisotropy and reduces Photoresist damage

Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

LAM 4600 OLD RECIPE 122122

Recipe: Number 122122



LAM4600 ANISOTROPIC ALUMINUM ETCH

Step	1	2	3	4	5
Pressure	100	100	100	100	0
RF Top (W)	0	0	0	0	0
RF Bottom	0	250	125	125	0
Gap (cm)	3	3	3	3	5.3
N2	13	13	20	25	25
BCl	50	50	25	25	0
Cl2	10	10	30	23	0
Ar	0	0	0	0	0
CFORM	8	8	8	8	8
Complete	Stabl	Time	Endpoint	Oetch	Time
Time (s)	15	8	180	10%	15



Fuller, December 2009

Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering



RESULTS FROM NEW ALUMINUM PLASMA ETCH



Photoresist on Metal Two



Photoresist Removed



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

RESIST REMOVAL POST CHLORINE RIE ALUMINUM ETCH

Problem: Photoresist is hardened (and chemically changed) in Chlorine RIE during Aluminum etch and ashing is ineffective in removing the resist.

Solution: Use a Solvent based photoresist stripper process. (similar to Baselinc CMOS process at U of California at Berkeley)

Picture of aluminum wafers post chlorine RIE and after ashing. Note resist remaining on aluminum. Even very long ashing (60 min.) does not remove residue.

Germain Fenger

Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering



MORE PICTURES OF RESIST SCUM PROBLEM

Pictures on left show resist residue after ashing. Pictures on right show effectiveness of ACT 935 solvent strip process.



From: [ACT-CMI Data Sheet]

Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

RESIST REMOVAL AFTER PE4600 PLASMA ETCH

Obserations:

A solvent based photoresist stripper followed by a plasma ash is effective at removing Chlorine "burned resist"

Recommendations:

PRS2000 at 90C for 10 min Rinse 5 min. / SRD Follow up with 6" Factory ash on the Branson Asher



No photoresist was found on wafers



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

Page 45

Germain Fenger

SUMMARY – CONCLUSION PLASMA ETCH OF AL

- 1. Smooth metal is necessary for good plasma etching.
- 2. Aluminum film non-uniformity of less than 10% is needed to give best results.
- 3. A new plasma etch recipe that is more anisotropic was created and shown to work for wafers with non uniformity of $\sim 22\%$
- 4. The vias were plasma etched.
- 5. Resist strip using solvent strip followed by oxygen plasma strip is effective after chlorine plasma etch of aluminum.



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

SPECIAL RCA CLEAN PRIOR TO METAL ONE



VAN DER PAUWS AND CBKR's



NWELL PWELL N+ P+ N-POLY M1 P-POLY M2



SERPENTINES, COMBS, AND VIA CHAINS



To evaluate metal1, metal2, CC and Via layer quality.



DRYTEK QUAD ETCH RECIPE FOR CC AND VIA

Recipe Name:		FACCUT
Chamber		3
Power		200W
Pressure		100 mTorr
Gas 1	CHF3	50 sccm
Gas 2	CF4	10 sccm
Gas 3	Ar	100 sccm
Gas 4	O2	0 sccm
	(could be c	changed to N2)
		.

TEOS Etch Rate	494	Å/min
Annealed TEOS	450	Å/min
Photoresist Etch Rate:	117	Å/min
Thermal Oxide Etch Rate:	441	Å/min
Silicon Etch Rate	82	Å/min
TiSi2 Etch Rate	1	Ă/min

Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering US Patent 5935877 - Etch process for forming contacts over titanium silicide



© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

CONTACT CUT ETCH RECIPE

Theory: The CHF3 and CF4 provide the F radicals that do the etching of the silicon dioxide, SiO2. The high voltage RF power creates a plasma and the gasses in the chamber are broken into radicals and ions. The F radical combines with Si to make SiF4 which is volatile and is removed by pumping. The O2 in the oxide is released and also removed by pumping. The C and H can be removed as CO, CO2, H2 or other volatile combinations. The C and H can also form hydrocarbon polymers that can coat the chamber and wafer surfaces. The Ar can be ionized in the plasma and at low pressures can be accelerated toward the wafer surface without many collisions giving some vertical ion bombardment on the horizontal surfaces. If everything is correct (wafer temperature, pressure, amounts of polymer formed, energy of Ar bombardment, etc.) the SiO2 should be etched, polymer should be formed on the horizontal and vertical surfaces but the Ar bombardment on the horizontal surfaces should remove the polymer there. The O2 (O radicals) released also help remove polymer. Once the SiO2 is etched and the underlying Si is reached there is less O2 around and the removal of polymer on the horizontal surfaces is not adequate thus the removal rate of the Si is reduced. The etch rate of SiO2 should be 4 or 5 times the etch rate of the underlying Si. The chamber should be cleaned in an O2 plasma after each wafer is etched.

> Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering

US Patent 5935877 - Etch process for forming contacts over Titanium Silicide

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

SEM OF 6µm LINES / 2X2µm VIAS



© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

M1-M2 VIA CHAIN





Before improved aluminum etch recipe R = 58 ohm per via

Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

M1-M2 VIA CHAIN

F081201

M1-M2 Via chain with 512 Vias and total resistance of 118 ohms or 0.231 ohms per contact



Page 54

SUMMARY

A two layer aluminum metal process has been developed and has been shown to work. New processes for CC and Via etch, Metal Deposition, Sputter Etch, Lithography, Metal Plasma Etch, Resist Removal and Cleans were developed.



Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following people made contributions to this project:

Archana Devasia Ellen Sedlack Zachary Bittner Michael Brindak Jeff Traikoff Michael Kassis Justin Delmonte Chris Shea Germain Fenger Mike Aquilino John Nash

Thank You !!

Rochester Institute of Technology

Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor

	Two Level Metal	
	REFERENCES	
1. 2.	"Silicon Processing", Stanley Wolf EMCR650/731/732 lecture notes on line at http://people.rit.edu/lffeee	

Rochester Institute of Technology Microelectronic Engineering

© February 15, 2010 Dr. Lynn Fuller, Professor