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Investigation of C. elegans muscular multipoint forces and locomotion metrics (speed, amplitude and wavelength) in one single assay.
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The understanding of force interplays between an organism and its environment is imperative in the biological process. Noticeably scarce from the study of *C. elegans* locomotion is the measurement of the nematode locomotion forces together with other important locomotive metrics. To bridge the current gap, we present the investigation of *C. elegans* muscular forces and locomotion metrics (speed, amplitude and wavelength) in one single assay. This assay uses Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) micropillars as force sensing elements and, by variation of the pillar arrangement, introduces microstructure. To show the usefulness of the assay, twelve wild-type *C. elegans* sample worms were tested to obtain a total of 4665 data points. The experimental results lead to several key findings. These include: (1) maximum force is exerted when the piller is in contact with the middle part of the worm body, (2) *C. elegans* locomotion forces are highly dependent on the structure of the surrounding environment, (3) the worms’ undulation frequency and locomotion speed increases steadily from the narrow spacing of ‘Honeycomb’ design to the wider spacing of ‘Lattice’ pillar arrangement, and (4) *C. elegans* maintained their natural sinusoidal movement in the microstructured device, despite the existence of PDMS micropillars. The assay presented here focuses on wild type *C. elegans*, but the method can be easily applied to its mutants and other organisms. In addition, we also show that, by inverting the measurement device, worm locomotion behaviour can be studied in various substrate environments normally unconductive to flexible pillar fabrication. The quantitative measurements demonstrated in this work further improve the understanding of *C. elegans* mechanosensation and locomotion.

Introduction

The nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*) has served predominantly as an excellent model organism for studying mechanosensation and locomotion at the neuronal level. This is mainly due to its simple nervous system with only 302 neurons and a fully-sequenced genome. The core of *C. elegans* mechanosensation and locomotion is centred within the body mechanics of the worm, which are regulated by their body wall muscles. As shown in Fig. 1, there are six touch receptors along the *C. elegans* body responsible for mechanosensation. These touch receptors are situated next to the body cuticle, which runs along the body wall muscles. *C. elegans* body wall muscles consist of 95 muscle cells, which are arranged in four quadrants along the length of the worm body. Each muscle typically has three to five muscle arms, which act as pathways for the muscles to receive stimulation from the nerve. The coupling between the muscles and the outer cuticle of the worm body will induce the contraction of the dorsal-ventral part that leads to the generation of sinusoidal waves which propagate along the body length. These dorsal-ventral muscles push the worm against its surrounding thus generating force thrust and enabling locomotion.

The movement pattern of *C. elegans* depends on both the material and geometric properties of the surrounding environment. Various substrates have been used to quantify worm locomotion. These include agar plates, gels of varying stiffness, buffer solutions, gelatine, and saturated particles. Locomotion behaviour can also be influenced by natural aging, external exposure to toxins and drugs, or through the manipulation of specific genes.

Since *C. elegans* is a genetically amendable organism, mutated worms with different number of muscles arms can be obtained. According to Wang et al., a positive relationship exists between the number of muscle arms and the amplitude of the waves that *C. elegans* exhibit during locomotion. Since the amplitude wave is generated from the contraction force of the dorsal-ventral muscles, it is of significant interest to investigate how these muscles generate force from different numbers of muscle arms. In addition, further insight into how muscle activity can produce a certain movement pattern is required to better understand the influence of the mechanical properties of the worm body and its physical environment. As the young adult worm size is on the order of approximately 1 mm in length and 80 μm in width, a dedicated force sensor is required to quantify worm forces. Various approaches for measuring forces of *C. elegans* have been reported.

For example, Park et al. have fabricated a silicon piezoresistive cantilever which was utilized as a force-
displacement measurement system in order to analyse the mechanics of C. elegans body tissue. The indentation method provided by the cantilever limited the worm movement as the worm was partially immobilized on the agar substrate using glue.

While this method does capture the peak magnitude of worm forces, it excludes the sensor from measuring continuous force of moving C. elegans. In another work, Doll et al.22 have demonstrated the use of microfabricated SU-8 pillars to measure the C. elegans touch sensitivity during locomotion. The device consists of four fixed-guided cantilever arms with gold resistors as strain gauges deployed on the bottom base of the pillar. The applied force from the worm at the pillar tip will cause changes in the strain gauges resistance values. This approach required complex procedures when fabricating the strain gauges. In addition, heat dissipation from the gold resistors might disturb the worm locomotion behaviour23. The most recent work was by Liu and co-workers,24 where the inclusion of fibre-optics in their microfluidic devices for the measurement of nematode muscular forces has the advantage of providing high sensitivity. This work however requires the integration of fibre optics and was focussed only on O. dentatum instead of C. elegans.

Recent advances in microfabrication technology and the adoption of microfluidics have made it possible to further investigate C. elegans phenotypic locomotive behaviour.25, 26 Several microfluidics-based C. elegans assays have been developed, which include mazes and arrays for learning and mobility behaviour27-29, clamps for immobilization and imaging30, an olfactory chip31, and a droplet-based system for individual movement assays32, 33. Microfluidic devices have also been employed as research tools to study C. elegans locomotion patterns and behaviour24, 34. The latter in particular are relevant to our work on C. elegans force measurement as they focused on studying the locomotion behaviour of the nematode using microfabricated Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) devices. In particular, a series of sinusoidal microchannels with varying amplitudes and wavelengths was used to match the worm sinusoidal movement35. Their suggested methods can be used as a screening system for locomotion phenotypes. As previously mentioned, C. elegans locomotion is highly dependent of its environment. In a different work by Park et al.36, it was found that by using microstructured short agar pillars the worm locomotion can be enhanced, particularly when the nematode wavelength matched the micropillars array periodicity.

Here, we expand upon the above concept to investigate C. elegans locomotion behaviour with regards to forces generated during motion. Previously, we have developed a flexible micropillar-based on-chip system capable of instantly measuring multi-point forces for a worm sample of C. elegans in motion. The current micropillar-based system is able to measure force with a resolution in the order of μNs for body widths of 80 μm. The device was microfabricated using PDMS to allow C. elegans to move in a matrix of micropillars inside a channel. By using a vision-based algorithm to detect the pillar deflection, the incident force exerted by a worm can be resolved.

In this paper, we extend our previous work38 to the underlying physics of the force pattern generated by C. elegans during locomotion. We demonstrate the correlation between C. elegans locomotion forces and their environment by introducing variation into the microstructured pillar arrangement and spacing. We further provide evidence that the natural sinusoidal movement of C. elegans remains similar in the device, despite the existence of the PDMS micropillars. Due to the transparency of our device we simultaneously quantify related locomotion parameters, such as the average locomotion velocity, body amplitude and the bending wavelength. Our findings provide further insight into the correlation between locomotion and the generated force patterns, thus demonstrating the device as an enabling technology for research regarding C. elegans mechanosensation and locomotion behaviour. Additionally, our device can also be incorporated with PDMS microvalves, which can simplify individual worm selection and manipulation for force measurement39. Through automation of valve control, the system has the potential to enable high-throughput nematode force screening in the future.

**Experiment**

**Device design**

The microfabricated device (Fig. 2) consists of a 9 x 9 mm square chamber with a matrix of PDMS pillars and a glass coverslip enclosing the top. Each pillar can be regarded as an individual cantilever beam which functions as a force-measuring unit dedicated to one individual C. elegans. At any given time a nematode will be in contact with 10 to 20 pillars, depending on worm size and pillar spacing. The pillar dimensions were set to be 100 μm height and 60 μm diameter. The chamber is enclosed by four sidewalls which are 20 μm higher than the pillar tips. This is to ensure that the glass coverslip does not touch the pillar tips when the pillars are deflected. In addition, it protects the worm and pillars from contamination. The front and rear faces of the test section are open and function as the worm loading and extraction zones. Two different pillar configurations were used in this work (Fig. 3). The first arrangement is called the ‘Honeycomb’ (HC) design, in which the pillars are arranged in a hexagonal formation (Fig. 3a and 3c). The second arrangement is called the ‘Lattice’ (LC) design, where the pillars are arranged in a square lattice grid structure (Fig. 3b and 3d). These configurations were chosen as they mimic the worm’s natural environment by providing an array of obstacles used to investigate worm locomotion behaviours. In addition, both configurations have been previously compared regarding their effect on locomotion, with square-post (LC) arrays found to
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enhance nematode locomotion compared to hexagonal arrays. For both pillar configurations, there are two different layouts for each arrangement. The first uses a pillar centre-to-centre distance of 110 μm and the second is arranged with a spacing of 140 μm.

**Device fabrication**

The microfluidic devices used in this work were fabricated using standard soft lithography procedure. Since the pillar height is not equal to the chamber depth, a two-layer photoresist mould was used. SU-8 photoresist was spin-coated onto a silicon wafer to first create a base of 20 μm thick SU-8 2025. This was then soft-baked at 65 °C for 1 minute followed by 3 minutes at 95 °C and exposed in a SUess MA6 mask aligner to form the chamber outline. The second layer of SU-8 2100 with a thickness of 100 μm was coated on top of the first layer after postbake. The wafer was soft-baked again for 5 minutes at 65 °C followed by 20 minutes at 95 °C. A second mask was used to expose a combination of chamber outline and the micropillar arrays. After development, PDMS pre-polymer was prepared by mixing Sylgard 184 (Dow Corning) base:curing agent in a 10:1 w/w ratio. The pre-polymer was thoroughly mixed and degassed to remove any air bubbles. The surface of the SU-8 mould was treated by exposure to trimechylchlorosilane (TMCS, Sigma Aldrich) vapour for 2 hours to facilitate de-molding. Following this, the polymer was cast over the SU-8 mould and cured on a hot-plate at 80°C for 1 hour. Cured devices were carefully peeled off and cross-linked for a further 4 hours at 80°C. This additional bake was performed to ensure that the pillar structure had fully hardened and that material properties had stabilized. Individual devices were then cut out using a scalpel and placed on microscope slides for handling.

**Materials and experimental setup**

Wild-type (N2) *C. elegans* used in this work were cultured and prepared according to Brenner. Prior to nematode loading, the PDMS device surface was treated using a corona wand (Electro-Technic Products) to ensure the device is hydrophilic. Then, to mimic the nematode natural habitat, the device was filled with de-ionized (DI) water to provide a moisturized environment for worm locomotion. The amount of water was carefully controlled in order to produce a very thin layer of liquid with a negligible thickness relative to the worms’ body width throughout the chamber. The experiments were conducted using young adult *C. elegans*, where each worm was individually transferred from the Petri dish and very carefully placed in the device loading area. Worms selected for the experiment had similar body width diameters of approximately 80 μm. Each worm was given at least 5 minutes to physically adjust to the environmental change.

A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2. Worm movement through the pillar matrix was imaged using a Nikon Eclipse 80i fluorescence microscope under 10x magnifications in bright-field mode. Videos obtained at 5 Hz were processed offline using a custom image-processing algorithm. The device was washed thoroughly with water before each new worm sample in order to avoid cross-contamination.

**Results and discussion**

Four different sets of test structures were used in this study: HC design with a pillar centre-to-centre distance of 110 μm, LC pillar
structure with a pillar spacing of 110 μm. HC layout with 140 μm pillar centre-to-centre distance and LC design with 140 μm pillar spacing. Each pillar design was tested using three different nematodes. Through visual observation we found that the worms quickly adapt to the new environment as they continuously generated smooth crawling motion without major difficulty. During the course of motion observed, different body parts of the worm, from head to tail, were in contact with the micropillars. This indicates that different muscles are interacting with the environment.

C. elegans body wall muscles are divided into four quadrants covering the length of the body, i.e. two ventral and two dorsal ones. When worms were navigating in the smaller spacing of 110 μm in either HC or LC design, the worm body contacted the pillars both dorsal and ventral. This is in contrast with the wider spacing of 140 μm where the worms normally were in contact with either the dorsal or ventral part of their bodies. Note that in this paper, only the pillars that were observed to experience the most deflection and were in contact with the worm’s body throughout the entire recorded video were chosen for force measurement.

**Force measurement of individual C. elegans**

We first analysed the force pattern generated by each worm when moving inside the device. Figure 4 shows the force pattern generated by a single worm using the HC design structure with a pillar centre-to-centre distance of 140 μm. The worm was observed to exhibit a constant sinusoidal movement pattern which was in contact with six different pillars (Pillar 1 to Pillar 6) during the movement excerpt of ~ 7 seconds shown here.

For the first second, pillars 4 and 5, which were at the middle part of the worm body produced the maximum force, as depicted in the force plot in Fig. 4. This pattern is evidence that throughout the motion, any pillar that is in contact with the worm’s mid-body part will register the greatest force. Our findings agree with the theoretical analysis reported by Shen et al., which stated that C. elegans concentrates most of its bending forces around the middle part of its body, especially when crawling. The sinusoidal shape generated by the worm body is split into two parts: the anterior field and the posterior field. From the force plot, it can be seen that the distributed force is not equal or symmetrical along the worm body length. The posterior field was observed to exert greater locomotion force compared to the anterior field. We can verify that highly variable and continuous force levels were produced by the worms in motion, which is in accordance with the biological anatomy of C. elegans.

We then investigated the force pattern generated using the LC design structure with the same pillar centre-to-centre distance of 140 μm, as depicted in Fig. 5. It was found that the maximum force was also exerted when the pillar was in contact with the middle part of the worm body. The average generated force is 40% lower when moving inside the LC structure with a maximum force of 18.86 μN compared to the HC design in which the worm was capable of exerting a maximum force of 31.33 μN. We speculate that this is due to the offset provided from the pillar arrangement in the HC structure. Hence it might be hypothesized...
that the complex pillar arrangement of the HC design compared to the simple matrix structure from the LC design provided more obstacles to the worm movement and forced the worm to exert larger forces when pushing against the measurement pillars.

In addition to the different pillar configuration in the microstructured environment, we also decreased the pillar centre-to-centre distance by 30 μm. The narrow spacing between the pillars often resulted in more worm-pillar contact during locomotion. Figure 6a shows a force plot of a single C. elegans in a HC design structure with the pillar centre-to-centre distance of 110 μm. During this 8 second period, the worm made contact with ten different measurement pillars which were distributed along the body length. The maximum force was generated from the posterior field of the worm body at pillar 1. In an attempt to correlate the worm body wall muscle with the generated force, we compared the position of the pillar to the touch receptor neurons. From this analysis it appears that the location of pillar 1, which is very close to the PVM touch receptor (Fig. 1), might be the main contributing factor.

Although the aim of this work was not focussed on the microstructure of C. elegans mechanosensation, our findings can be used as a platform to further examine this in the future. From the reduced pillar spacing, we found that the maximum force exerted during motion increased to two-fold if compared to the larger pillar distance spacing. The narrow spacing between the pillars provided more resistance for the worm to propel itself during movement, hence producing bigger force thrusts. This provides further evidence regarding force thrust and thus supports the result reported by Berri et al., who found that C. elegans modulates its frequency of undulations as a function of the resistivity of the physical environment.

The same narrow spacing was implemented on the lattice pillar structure. An 8 second video segment was processed for an individual C. elegans, which generated an average force of 4.7 μN (video and graph available in ESI). During this movement period, the worm started with forward movement and changed its direction by reversing backward at 1.6 s. This motion increased the generated force, especially around pillar 1 and 4 where a maximum force of 13 μN was exerted. It might be hypothesized that since this particular part of the worm’s body is close to the AVM and PVM touch receptor neurons, the associated body wall muscles around it are highly sensitive to changes around its body especially during the reversing motion. The exerted forces (around pillar 1 and 4) then dropped to 9 μN and were observed to be constant throughout the entire remaining motion sequence.

**Force comparison for different pillar structures**

During the experiments, 3 worm samples were used for each device, with each worm’s locomotion recorded for the duration of 4 to 8 seconds. For the HC design, at least six pillars were observed to make contact with the worm’s body and the number of pillars increases when the pillar centre-to-centre distance decreases. The same applies to the LC pillar design arrangement. Worms generated higher forces when they were in the HC structure, and the narrow grid spacing in this particular layout resulted in a 64% increased average force compared to the bigger pillar spacing of 140 μm. We also found that when inside the LC pillar arrangement, the worms’ locomotion forces were smaller compared to when moving inside the HC structure. Although the main reason behind this is currently still unclear, we believe that the simplicity of the pillar arrangement in the lattice design might be a major contributing factor. In addition, the wider gap between the adjacent pillars (140 μm pillar centre-to-centre distance) provides less restriction to movement of the worms as they exert very low average forces during motion.

We then examined the measured forces of all the worms for a different set of test structure. Figure 6a shows the average force collected from 12 different worms (based on 4207 data points in total). It is apparent that there are distinct qualitative differences in the measured locomotion forces. From this we can verify that C. elegans locomotion forces are highly dependent on their environment. The complex arrangement and narrow micropillars spacing pose more resistive obstacles during movement, thus requiring the worm to generate greater force thrusts while navigating in between the pillars. As the spacing increases, we observed that the generated forces decrease. During this period, the number of pillars that are in contact with the worm decreases, and the nematode was observed to readily weave its way through the micropillars. Although forward locomotion is the main movement pattern of the nematode, we found that on a few occasions the worms exhibited other movement behaviours. For instance, worms were observed to reverse their motion especially when changing their movement direction. (See video clip in ESI).

**Measurement of other C. elegans locomotion parameters**

Worms were also observed to move at different speeds when inserted in a different pillar layout. To further validate these observations, we measured the locomotion speed using the ImageJ software package for each individual worm in each of
the different arrangements. Figure 6b plots the average locomotion speed of 12 individual *C. elegans* (error bars are standard deviations for n = 3) as a function of the device design structure and its pillar centre-to-centre distance.

The wide range of locomotion speeds produced by the worms in different test structures is immediately apparent, especially for the case where the pillar centre-to-centre distance was 140 μm. As expected, the worm moved the slowest (57.8 μm/s) in the design with the narrowest pillar centre-to-centre distance of 110 μm. The locomotion speed increases as the spacing increases, with the average recorded speed of 150 μm/s for the pillar centre-to-centre distance of 140 μm.

In comparison of the pillar layouts, worms were observed to produce higher speeds when moving in the LC structure compared to the HC test arrangement. We found that the average speed increased by 39% in the former design compared to the latter. When comparing the measured speed with the worm locomotion on conventional agar plate our findings conclude that the worm movement in the wider spacing of 140 μm between the pillar centre-to-centre distances matched the typical movement behaviour observed for natural agar without any microstructured pillars. It thus seems likely that the gap between the pillars, which is equal to the worm’s body width (∼80 μm), provides easier navigation for the worm compared to the narrow spacing. This suggests that when moving inside the LC structure with the wider spacing, worm motion is enhanced with a very low force of less than 8 μN relative to the narrow spacing. In contrast, the nematodes have to exert larger forces when navigating through the obstacles provided by the narrow spacing hence slowing down their motion speed.

We also found that the worms’ undulation frequency depends on the micropillar arrangement and spacing. Figure 7a shows the undulation frequency (f = speed/wavelength) measured on agar plates and in the four device structures. It can be seen that, while the frequency decreases for the narrow HC design compared to agar plates, it rises to values larger than those observed on plates for the wider spacing arrangements. This variation in the undulation frequency verifies that the worm responds to different geometric constraints imposed by the pillar arrangement through changes in the period at which it flexes its dorsal-ventral body wall muscles.

Two of the important indicators of worm adaptability to its environment are sinusoidal body amplitude and wavelength during motion. We measured these parameters for every single worm and plotted the average values (based on 36 data points from all test structures) in Fig. 7b. For the narrow pillar spacing the amplitude ranges from 150 to 180 μm, which is comparable to values observed on agar plates. It increases to 200 to 300 μm when worms move inside the pillar designs with wider spacing.

We found that in the test configurations all worms show sinusoidal movement similar to their natural environment, while variation of the pillar spacing appears to change their body amplitude. The change of the pillar structure however does not change their body shape as they are able to adapt in both the HC and LC design structures. Despite the changes in the pillar arrangement, measurement of the worm body amplitude in these tests the amplitude ranges reported by Parashar et al. where smooth movement of *C. elegans* was reported in the amplitude range of 91 to 225 μm. This also agrees with the findings by Lockery et. al. who observed worms crawling easily when their amplitude waveform matched their designated channel amplitude of 100 to 200 μm.

Our results regarding the locomotion parameters and corresponding measured forces agree with the simplified relationship derived by Lockery et al. This states that tangential thrust F exerted by a half-wave in undulatory locomotion is proportionally related to the amplitude A and inversely to the wavelength λ, as given by

$$F \propto \frac{2\pi A/\lambda}{\lambda \sqrt{1 + (2\pi A/\lambda)^2}}$$

As shown in Figs. 6 and 7, this model supports the decrease in average force for increasing wavelength λ (and almost constant amplitude A) observed in our experiments for both HC and LC designs at the wider 140 μm spacing. Higher forces exerted by locomotion in the narrower devices are due to the increase in pillar-worm contact area and thus friction, which, being a tangential force, retards the motion leading to lower observed speed.

Furthermore, the recorded amplitude values are in close agreement with the velocity, in such manner as that worms produce larger amplitudes when they move faster. In regards to body wavelength, *C. elegans* show a similar pattern of positive relationship with the pillar spacing. The wavelengths increase
from 350 µm when inside the narrow spacing up to 600 µm when navigating through the wider spacing. These values suggest that, in the reported microstructured environment, the worms showed similar crawling motion as shown on the agar culture plates.

**C. elegans locomotion forces on other substrates**

Since the mechanical and chemical properties of PDMS devices differ from standard plates used for nematode studies we further investigated a modified, substrate-independent version of our measurement technique. As depicted in Fig. 8a, the PDMS sensor device was attached to a glass slide and inverted, so that the pillar tips face towards the substrate surface. A micromanipulator (Sutter Instruments MP-285) was used to control the device movement ensuring that the pillar tips will not collide with the substrate. Though the device configuration is now different, the force measurement model used in this work can still be applied (see Figure S2, ESI).

While trying to apply this system to standard agar substrates we encountered two major problems: First, although the positioning of the device slightly above the agar surface was possible, the moisture transferred from the worm’s body regularly contaminated the PDMS tips and hence led to reduced image quality. Secondly, liquid film forming between the agar and the tips reduced the total deflection of the pillars due to surface tension effects and thus hindered accurate force measurement.

To our surprise these effects were not observed when the agar plate was substituted for a conventional glass microscope slide covered by a thin layer of liquid. A single worm was again placed on the moisturized glass and the device was controlled by the micromanipulator such that the worm’s body touched the pillar tip during movement (Fig. 8b). We found that the worm used the micropillar tips to facilitate its movement and it thus seems likely that the liquid on the glass slide surface enforced swimming motions which made movement less effective[4]. The micropillars on the device assisted the worms by guiding their movement and at the same time deflected to indicate the applied forces. Figure 8c plots the forces exerted by an example worm over a duration of 7 seconds. The maximum force observed was 9.05 µN, which is less than a third of the value measured for movement inside the microstructured PDMS device (Fig. 4b). The observed locomotion produced an average force of 3 µN (from 350 data points), which was also a factor of two lower compared to movement inside the PDMS device shown in Fig. 5a. This result is consistent with the forces reported by Doll et al.22 who measured in a similar manner. The experiment demonstrated above thus shows that our device can be used to measure forces on other substrates, and worm locomotion behaviour in various environments can be investigated further.

While the behaviour of *C. elegans* locomotion has been widely studied, the underlying physics behind the force exerted during its locomotion are still arguably unknown. In their natural habitat, the soil provides a more challenging and complex environment compared to the smooth agar plates typically used in laboratory. The purpose of this study is to provide a better understanding of the worms’ natural locomotion forces by reproducing similar/comparable environments. This was achieved by arranging the PDMS micropillars in different layouts, and varying the spacing between pillars.
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interest to quantify the force exerted from such mutants and compare it with the forces exhibited by wild type C. elegans reported here. The comparison should provide new insight into the connection of the worm’s touch receptors with the locomotion system.

Results found here can also be used as a starting point in other C. elegans studies. One example is to investigate the significance/importance of muscle arm number on C. elegans locomotion in microstructured environments. We assume that mutants with different numbers of muscle arms will exhibit similar patterns as reported in this work. However, the quantitative force generated in this case would be increasing/decreasing corresponding on the number of muscle arms. Another example of the potential application of this work is in the investigation of worm development of worms in different life stages, as each stage displays different body sizes and specific genetic features.

Apart from locomotion forces, our device is also capable of conducting concurrent measurement of other locomotion parameters such as speed, amplitude and wavelength. This additional information can be useful to further quantify phenotypic behaviour of C. elegans and deepen the understanding of the theory behind worm locomotion forces measured in this work. With such integrated on-chip analysis capabilities, our system offers a promising platform in genetic research and bioengineering applications using small animals models such as C. elegans.

Conclusions

In this paper we demonstrated simultaneous measurement of C. elegans locomotion forces, amplitude, wavelength and velocity in a single locomotion assay using elastomeric PDMS micropillars as force sensing elements. Two different micropillar layouts were investigated, namely the ‘Honeycomb’ and ‘Lattice’ design structure. Our results indicate that the microstructured environment significantly affects the worm’s contraction force, locomotion speed and the undulation frequency. All three quantities depend on the micropillar spacing and arrangement. Nematode locomotion forces were greater in the HC structure and locomotion was enhanced inside the LC pillar arrangement. In comparison, the average locomotion forces in narrower spaced pillars increased by 50 to 64%, depending on the layout. We also found that the nematode navigation in the narrow pillar spacing particularly using the HC design was relatively slow compared to the wider pillar spacing in the LC design. In addition, we have verified that the mid-body of the worm generates the maximum force level, as predicted by theoretical analysis. This on-chip assay can be used as a powerful integrated measurement platform to further investigate the theory behind the worm locomotion forces and C. elegans mechanosensation and its body mechanics.
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