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Lithium Batteries
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Lithium Polysulfidophosphates: A Family of Lithium-Conducting Sulfur-Rich Compounds for Lithium-Sulfur Batteries

Sulfur-rich lithium polysulfidophosphates (LPSPs) act as an enabler for long-lasting and efficient lithium–sulfur batteries. LPSPs have ionic conductivities of $3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25°C, which is 8 orders of magnitude higher than that of Li$_2$S. The high lithium ion conductivity imparts excellent cycling performance, and the batteries are configured in an all-solid state, which promises safe cycling with metallic lithium anodes.
The conflict of the ever-increasing demand of energy consumption and the public concern over environmental issues demands a sustainable future energy infrastructure that incorporates intermittent renewable energies. Although lithium ion (Li-ion) batteries have succeeded in powering personal electronics and small electric tools, they face the challenges of safety, cost, and energy density for the needs of independent electrified transportation and large-scale energy storage.[1] As compared to the current Li-ion batteries, Lithium–sulfur (Li-S) batteries are a promising candidate technology with advantages of abundant materials and inherently high energy. However, achieving longevity of cycling in Li-S batteries is formidable because of the electrical insulating nature of elemental sulfur and the poor ionic conductivity of sulfur and its discharge products. After nearly four decades of research, significant progress has been made to Li-S batteries: various carbon materials and conducting polymers impart electronic conductivity to the sulfur cathode,[2] and organic liquid electrolytes with good solubility to lithium polysulfides overcome the poor ionic conductivities of the sulfur cathode.[3] Using a liquid electrolyte that dissolves lithium polysulfides is a necessity in cycling conventional Li-S batteries; sulfur is actually cycling as a solution, also known as the catholyte.[4] The dissolved polysulfides move sulfur inside the electrochemical cells, which lead to parasitic side reactions that have been well-documented as the polysulfide shuttle (PS).[5] The short cycle-life and low energy efficiency of Li-S batteries is rooted in the PS phenomenon that is cause by the use of liquid electrolyte. Furthermore, stable long-term cycling of metallic lithium anode in some organic liquid electrolytes such as carbonate-based electrolytes, is a long-standing problem owing to the formation of an unstable solid electrolyte interphase and the dendritic growth of lithium deposition.[6]

Using solid electrolytes in Li-S batteries breaks the standard of conventional Li-S batteries. This game-changing shift from liquid to solid electrolytes eliminates the polysulfide shuttle and enables stable cycling of metallic lithium anodes. With the emergence of solid electrolytes with ionic conductivities comparable to that of liquid electrolytes,[7] all-solid-state Li-S batteries promise to be the next breakthrough for electric energy storage.[8] As in conventional Li-S batteries, the cycling of all-solid-state Li-S batteries has to overcome the poor electronic and ionic conductivities of the sulfur cathode. Seamless transport of ions and transfer of electrons are basic requirements for batteries. Although the electronic conductivity of the sulfur cathode can be improved by add carbon to the cathode, the ionic conductivity of sulfur cannot be significantly improved by the simple mixing of solid electrolytes with sulfur. There is a critical knowledge gap concerning the ionic conductivity of the sulfur cathode at the solid state. We report herein the first series of sulfur-rich compounds that have high ionic conductivities and excellent electrochemical reversibility during battery cycling.

Elemental sulfur (S8) reacts with lithium thiophosphate (Li3PS4) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) following the equation in Scheme 1a. The reaction occurs at the negatively charged terminal sulfur atoms of PS3−n. Polysulfidophosphate anions

---

**Scheme 1.** Chemical reactions of lithium polysulfidophosphates (LPSPs). a) Reaction of sulfur with Li3PS4 yields Li3PS4x−n.

---
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are formed through the formation of S–S single bonds. The reaction products are lithium polysulfidophosphates (LPSP), which have the general formula Li₃PS₄₋ₙ (0 < n < 9). The n in the formula represents the number of S–S bonds in the LPSP molecule. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Raman spectra in Figure 1 verify the formation of this new family of sulfur-rich compounds. Comparing the XRD patterns of sulfur and Li₃PS₄₋₅ in Figure 1a, a new crystalline structure of the sulfur compound Li₃PS₄₋₅ in Figure 1a, a new crystalline form of sulfur compound Li₃PS₄₋₅ is apparent in the reaction. After the removal of THF at 80 °C under vacuum, the parent compound Li₃PS₄ becomes amorphous while the Li₃PS₄₋₅ in Figure 1a, a new crystalline form. Raman spectra (Figure 1b) of Li₃PS₄₋₅ (n = 3, 5, and 6) show the symmetric stretching of the P–S bond at 418 cm⁻¹ and the stretching vibrations of the P–S bond of 184 cm⁻¹ regardless of the number of sulfur atoms attached to the PS₄³⁻ anion. With striking contrast to Li₃PS₄, the peaks centered at 155, 218/476, and 222/437 cm⁻¹ appear for the LPSP compounds. These peaks are signatures of the E₁, A₁, and E₃ symmetry species of the S–S bond and confirm the formation of polysulfidophosphate anions. With a decrease in sulfur atoms in LPSP compounds, the S–S bond peaks at 155 and 476 cm⁻¹ shift to lower wavelengths (Figure 1c,d). The downshifting of these peaks results from the vibrational effect of the P–S bond in core PS₄ unit and the increase in the sulfur chain length at the terminals.

These LPSP compounds are lithium-ion conductors with room-temperature ionic conductivity in the range of 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻² S cm⁻¹ depending on the number of sulfur atoms. The correlation of ionic conductivity to the number of additional sulfur atoms incorporated into the parent compound Li₃PS₄ is shown in Figure 2a. The log scale of the ionic conductivity (log σ) of LPSP follows a linear relationship with the number of sulfur atoms (n) described in Equation (1):

\[
\log \sigma = -3.486 - 0.219 n
\]

Temperature dependence of ionic conductivity was investigated for Li₃PS₄₋₅ (Figure 2b). This compound has a room-temperature ionic conductivity of 3.0 × 10⁻⁵ S cm⁻¹ at 25 °C. The activation energy was derived from Arrhenius equation:

\[
\sigma = A \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{kT} \right)
\]

Where \( \sigma \) is the ionic conductivity, \( T \) is the absolute temperature, \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( A \) is the pre-exponential factor, and \( E_a \) is the activation energy. When \( \log \sigma \) is plotted against 1/T, a straight line is expected with a slope of \(-E_a/k\) and an intercept of \( \log A \). The calculated activation energy from Figure 2b is 0.37 eV, which is comparable to that of the lithium super ionic conductor (LiSICON). The ionic conductivity of Li₃PS₄₋₅ increases by a factor of 10 when the temperature is raised to 60 °C. As a comparison, we measured the ionic conductivity of Li₃S, the discharge product of elemental sulfur, at the same conditions. As shown in Figure 2b, the ionic conductivity of LPSP is 8 orders of magnitude higher than that of Li₃S (ca. 10⁻¹³ S cm⁻¹ at 25 °C).

Excellent cyclability was demonstrated using the compound Li₃PS₄₋₅ as the cathode material at room temperature. The cathode shows an initial discharge capacity of 1272 mAh g⁻¹ (based on the incorporated sulfur content) or 599 mAh g⁻¹ (based on the compound; Figure 3a) with 100% of coulombic efficiency after a few initial cycles (Supporting Information, Figure S1). Energy efficiency was

\[
\eta = \frac{E_{cathode}}{E_{anode}} \times 100\%
\]

where \( \eta \) is the energy efficiency, \( E_{cathode} \) is the energy delivered by the cathode, and \( E_{anode} \) is the energy input to the anode. Even better cycling performance was observed at 60 °C. The cathode capacity was over 1400 mAh g⁻¹ and maintained after 300 cycles. This observation confirms that the LPSP has an extremely stable cycling performance. The improved cycling performance is attributed to the increased ionic conductivity of both the solid electrolyte and the sulfur-rich cathode at 60 °C. Increased temperature may favor the electrochemical reactions as well. Polarization of the cell was large at room temperature and significantly reduced at 60 °C. Energy efficiency was
improved from 59.7% at room temperature to 82.8% at 60°C (Figure 3b,c). A good rate performance at 60°C is shown in Figure 3d. The cell has a capacity over 1200 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at C/10 and shows a reversible capacity of 735 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 2C after 50 cycles at various rates. Further cycling at a low rate of C/10 brings it back to a reversible capacity of 1200 mA h g\(^{-1}\). A control experiment using Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\) and carbon material at 60°C showed negligible capacity contribution from carbon (Supporting Information, Figure S2). In a conventional Li-S battery, the dissolution and migration of sulfur species cause the cathode structure to deteriorate which leads to a short cycle-life. Conversely, the integrity of the LPSP cathode was preserved after 300 deep cycles at 60°C. The peaks centred at 155, 218/476, and 180 cm\(^{-1}\) of the pristine Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\) had vanished. A new strong peak at 375 and 418 cm\(^{-1}\) appeared as evidence of the formation of Li\(_2\)S and Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\) at the end of the charge cycle. The Raman spectra confirmed that the electrochemical insertion and removal of lithium ion in the cathode is a reversible reaction of Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\) to a mixture of Li\(_2\)S and Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\). In summary, elemental sulfur reacts with Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\). The reaction adds sulfur atoms to the charged terminal S atoms of PS\(_4^{3-}\) anion by forming S=S bonds. The addition of sulfur to PS\(_4^{3-}\) yields a new family of sulfur-rich compounds. These compounds have a lithium-ion conductivity in the range of 10\(^{-5}\) to 10\(^{-6}\) Scm\(^{-1}\) that is comparable to that of conventional Li-ion cathode materials, such as lithium metal oxides and phosphates. Reversible electrochemical reactions occur through the breaking and forming S=S bonds in LPSP compounds when they are applied as the cathode materials for all-solid-state Li-S batteries. These materials are excellent cathode materials for such Li-S batteries with an impressive cyclability. The ionic conductivity of the sulfur cathode is of paramount importance in the cycling of these Li-S batteries. However, this topic has been rarely studied for conventional Li-S batteries. This research bridges the knowledge gap between conventional Li-S batteries and the new trend of all-solid-state Li-S batteries.

**Experimental Section**

Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\) was prepared by a procedure described previously\(^{[14]}\). The sulfur compounds Li\(_3\)PS\(_{4-n}\) (n = 2,3,5,6, and 8) were synthesized through solution reactions of Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\) and elemental sulfur in tetrahydrofuran (THF) under magnetic stirring without exposure to air or moisture. After the solids were fully dissolved, the solution was dried under vacuum for 2 h at 80°C. The recovered solids were lithium polysulfidophosphates. The cathode slurry was prepared by dispersing Li\(_3\)PS\(_{4-n}\) (60 wt %), WVA-1500 carbon (MeadWestvaco and yields Li\(_2\)S and Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\). When the cathode was charged again, S=S bond features reappeared with the disappearance of Li\(_3\)S and Li\(_3\)PS\(_4\) at the end of the charge cycle. The Raman spectra of the pristine material and the charged cathode are very much the same. These Raman spectra confirmed that the electrochemical scission and formation of S=S bonds are consistent with the reports of cycling organosulfur compounds with the thiol (–SH)/disulfide (S=S) redox couple\(^{[13]}\).
Corporation, 30 wt %), and PVC binder (10 wt %) in THF. No side reaction occurred to the filler during the preparation of electrode because of the rapid evaporation of solvent.[3] A solid electrolyte pellet of Li₃PS₄ with a lithium metal anode was prepared by cold-pressing nanoporous β-Li₃PS₄ (120 mg) on top of a thin lithium foil (50 µm thick) under 240 MPa pressure in a 13 mm die. The slurry was then coated on the other side of the pellet and dried under vacuum at 80°C. The loading of the cathode materials is 0.25–0.60 mg cm⁻².

The ionic conductivity of LPSP compounds was conducted in the frequency range of 10 MHz to 1 Hz with an amplitude of 10 mV by using a frequency response analyzer (Solartron 1260). Swagelok cells were used to evaluate the cycling performance. Carbon-coated aluminum foil (samples kindly provided by Exopack Advanced Coating) was used as the current collector. Charge and discharge cycles were carried out using a Maccor 4000 series battery tester at a current density of 0.015 mA cm⁻² (C/10) between the cut-off potentials of 1.5–2.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺. The current densities of 0.03 (C/5), 0.075 (C/2.5), 0.15 (C), and 0.2 (2C) mA cm⁻² were applied to measure the rate performance of the LPSP cathode. The calculation of specific charge/discharge capacities was based on the mass of sulfur and the compound, respectively.

The morphologies of the cathode before and after cycling were examined using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM; Zeiss Merlin) at 15 kV. The elemental maps of carbon, sulfur, and aluminum were used to evaluate the cycling performance. Carbon-coated aluminum foil (samples kindly provided by Exopack Advanced Coating) was used as the current collector. Charge and discharge cycles were carried out using a Maccor 4000 series battery tester at a current density of 0.015 mA cm⁻² (C/10) between the cut-off potentials of 1.5–2.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺. The current densities of 0.03 (C/5), 0.075 (C/2.5), 0.15 (C), and 0.2 (2C) mA cm⁻² were applied to measure the rate performance of the LPSP cathode. The calculation of specific charge/discharge capacities was based on the mass of sulfur and the compound, respectively.
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