A Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Coil Using Rectangular Braided Litz Wire
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Abstract—A transcranial magnetic stimulation coil built by rectangular braided Litz wire is presented. The rectangular braided Litz wire used in this work is comprised of 2500 ultrafine wires, rated for high currents and voltages necessary for magnetic stimulation (10 kA, and 3 kV). The wire is only 7.3 mm wide and 0.9 mm thick making it amenable to tighter windings yielding high magnetic fields with a small coil diameter, and reduces coil heating issues. The coil is 3.1 cm in diameter and provides a magnetic field higher than the smallest available commercial coil despite being 40% smaller. This potentially expands research and therapeutic functionalities of transcranial magnetic stimulation, especially increases the stimulation specificity for use in neural prosthetic systems and bidirectional brain machine interfaces.

Keywords-component; bioelectric potentials; biomagnetics; neural stimulation; transcranial magnetic stimulation coil; braided Litz wire;

I. INTRODUCTION

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a well established tool for noninvasive stimulation of neural tissues [1-6]. Generally, a sine pulsed current is injected into the TMS coil from a discharge capacitor which results in a magnetic field that induces a damped cosine electric field in the neural tissue and leads to neural activation [4-8]. Excitatory and inhibitory characteristics of TMS have been used in a wide spectrum of research and therapeutic applications [8-11].

Recent advances in high voltage DC-DC converter, IGBT, and capacitor technology [12-15] has improved TMS instrumentation by allowing adjustable amplitude, duration, number and polarity of the pulsed current [12-15]. However, the stimulated area is primarily dictated by the coil’s geometry. Most of the previous research on TMS coil is based on mathematical optimization of the focality [16-20] and has not been realized due to practical limitations. Despite the introduction of several complex TMS coil models, a single circular coil is still the most focal available in practice [1-6].

In this paper, we present a TMS coil built by rectangular braided Litz wire comprised of 2500 ultrafine wires. We demonstrate that this coil is capable of producing a magnetic field sufficient for TMS and as high as available commercial coils, but with the advantage of being 40 % smaller than the smallest available TMS coil. Potential improvements of heating issues, acoustic noise and future applications are also discussed.

II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

A. Rectangular Braided Litz wire

In previous work, we showed that rectangular wire outperforms round and square wires in the context of TMS coil [21]. The rectangular wire can create coils with tighter windings which result in a greater magnetic field compared to round and square wires with the same coil diameter. However, rectangular single conductor wires are limited in thickness due to practical issues of wire fabrication. Also, the conductivity in rectangular single conductor wires is significantly reduced by skin effects, leading to excessive power dissipation and heating issues [21]. These limitations and shortcomings have led to more elaborate wire designs with multiple conductors [22-23]. A Litz wire is comprised of several conductors that are individually insulated. The resultant isolation between the wires effectively removes the skin effect, and significantly reduces undesirable induced currents and heating issues [21-23].

The rectangular Litz wire described in [21] was fabricated through standard wire processes. This wire is comprised of 2500 ultrafine, 2 μm² round wires (minimum number required for 10 kA and 3 kV) that are braided to form a rectangular cross section. This wire is 7.1 mm wide and 0.7 mm in thickness. Each ultrafine wire is insulated with enamel film and the wires are braided in a Litz configuration [22-23]. To avoid shorts due to incomplete enamel coverage, the wire is also insulated by an ETFE layer which adds an additional 2 × 0.1 mm to the width and thickness. The ETFE layer also protects the ultrafine wires and keeps the rectangular cross section. This wire shown in Fig. 1 is the basis of coil design in this work.

The ETFE layer and enamel film must be stripped for electrical connection of the braided Litz wire. To avoid damaging the ultrafine wires, the ETFE layer was removed using a rectangular wire stripper (Eraser L2SA). The enamel film was removed using Corrosive Caustic Soda melted in a hot pot (Eraser DSP1) at 400 °C and then the wire was cleaned and neutralized using Ammonium Chloride and Citric Acid [24].
B. Coil design

Based on the fabricated wire dimensions, a suitable coil design was explored. We implement the coils as a horizontal-spiral winding as this geometry outperforms the vertical-spiral winding when a rectangular wire is used for TMS coil [21]. The inner diameter and outer diameter define the geometry of a horizontal-spiral coil. The inner diameter can be as small as the wire’s width which is dictated by the winding apparatus pulley. In this work, given the 7.3 mm wire width, an 8 mm pulley was used which was the closest available dimension. The outer diameter also determines the number of turns and the strength of the magnetic field as well as the stimulation specificity. The smallest outer diameter was shown to provide a magnetic field with sufficient strength for TMS [21]. A TMS coil must be capable of producing a 1.8 to 3 Tesla magnetic field with a rise time between 50 to 100 µs, given 8 to 10 kA coil current [1-2]. These values are enough to induce a 10 to 20 mA/cm² current density leading to a 30 to 40 mV neuronal membrane depolarization [1-2]. Below, we describe finite element simulations to determine the outer diameter that can generate the required fields.

C. Finite Element Simulation

The COMSOL Multiphysics, 3D AC/DC Module 3.4, was employed for finite element simulations.

The stimulator circuit was approximated as a 10 kA constant current source for steady state analysis [21].

The coil was first designed with 3D specification in AUTOCAD and then imported to the AC/DC Module for finite element simulations. The number of turns in the coil was then varied until the minimum requirements of the magnetic field were satisfied empirically. Inductance and resistance of the coil were automatically measured and updated based on the physical characteristics of the specified material and coil geometry (wire length). Current density in the coil was computed azimuthally as,

\[ J_\phi = \nabla \times \left( \mu^{-1} \nabla \times A_\phi \right), \]  

where \( \mu \) is the relative permeability (copper = air = 1) and \( A_\phi \) is the magnetic vector potential. Using Eq 1, the Magnetic field magnitude was computed on a uniform 0.01 mm triangle mesh using the finite element method. The goal of the simulation was to find the minimum outer diameter dictated by the necessary minimum number of turns that yields a field of 3 Tesla. The minimum number of turns was found empirically to be 12, which gives a coil with 3.1 cm outer diameter named MT1.

After determining the necessary dimensions with simulations the coil prototype was wound and validated in an experimental setup described in the next section.

D. Experimental Setup

Four coils were compared: 1) one layer 3.1 cm coil MT1, 2) two MT1s in a two layer format named MT2, 3) MagStim 1924 coil which is 9 cm, and 4) MagStim D25BI which is 5 cm.

Available Gaussmeters are not capable of measuring the magnetic field of a coil generated by a pulsed current in a few µs. It is also not possible to prolong the duration of the pulse with a current of a few kA. To compare the coils, we measured the magnetic field surrounding the coil due to a small current in the steady state. The transient current and rise time of the first resonance are determined by the inductance and the capacitance of the coil and the discharge system respectively. Inductance of this coil is 15 µH, close to commercial coils and the first resonance duration is shorter than 100 µs. As the magnetic field is directly proportional to the current in the coil, results are readily scaled to realistic current values [25].

Each coil was connected to the current source (HP 6824A) which was adjusted so that a 1 A current runs through the coil, serially in case of the MagStim double coils and the two layer coil MT2. Meanwhile, the magnetic field surrounding each coil was measured using a 3-axis Gaussmeter (Lakeshore 460). The probe of the Gaussmeter was scanned over the surface of each coil using a Velmex 3-axis position stage. Field measurements were made every 2.5 mm. The magnitude of the recorded magnetic field is reported in this paper in Fig. 2, and Table I.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Fig. 2, shows the topographic map of the magnetic field surrounding each of the four coils. Table I, summarizes the maximum magnetic field and specifications of each coil.

In response to 1 A of current, the MagStim coils 1924 and D25BI produced a magnetic field with magnitudes of 2.400 and 2.470 Gauss respectively. These values are consistent with device’s rated performance of 2.5 Tesla given 10 kA current. The MT1 and MT2 produce a magnetic field with magnitudes of 2.409 and 3.363 Gauss respectively; it is expected that they give 2.409 and 3.363 Tesla with 10 kA current which is consistent with the design criteria and finite element simulations. These results validate the functionality of the MT1 and MT2 prototypes for TMS. The greater magnetic field of the MT2 compared to the MT1 could be explained by the superposition of magnetic fields of two MT1s, which results into a greater overall magnetic field. Because layering preserves the specificity of the stimulation [21], this technique seems to be valuable. Indeed new versions of the MagStim coils (e.g., air film coils) employ more than one layer.
Simulation results [21] show the induced currents inside the wire are greatly reduced when the Braided Litz wire is used; this reduces the overall wire current and is expected to improve undesirable heating during prolonged rapid TMS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. SUMMARY OF COILS’ SPECIFICATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coil type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. magnetic field (Gauss)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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