Saturation and Microsecond Gating of Current Indicate Depletion-induced Instability of the MaxiK Selectivity Filter
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Patch clamp experiments on single MaxiK channels expressed in HEK293 cells were performed with a high temporal resolution (50-kHz filter) in symmetrical solutions with 50, 150, or 400 mM KCl and 2.5 mM CaCl₂ and 2.5 mM MgCl₂. At membrane potentials > +100 mV, the single-channel current showed a negative slope resistance, concomitantly with a flickery block, which was not influenced by Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺. The analysis of the amplitude histograms by beta distributions revealed that current in this voltage range was reduced by two effects: rate limitation at the cytosolic side of the pore and gating with rate constants 10–20-fold higher than the cutoff frequency of the filter (i.e., dwell times in the microsecond range). The data were analyzed in terms of a model that postulates a coupling between both effects; if the voltage over the selectivity filter withdraws ions from the cavity at a higher rate than that of refilling from the cytosol, the selectivity filter becomes unstable because of ion depletion, and current is interrupted by the resulting flickering. The fit of the IV curves revealed a characteristic voltage of 35 mV. In contrast, the voltage dependence of the gating factor P; i.e., the ratio between true and apparent single-channel current, could be fitted by exponentials with a characteristic voltage of 60 mV, suggesting that only part of the transmembrane potential is felt by the flux through the selectivity filter.

INTRODUCTION

Ion channels in situ are not permanently open. Instead, they switch randomly, voltage or ligand controlled between conducting and nonconducting states. This so-called gating behavior is a major determinant of their physiological role, and malfunction of gating may lead to severe health problems (Lehmann-Horn and Jurkat-Rott, 1999; Proks et al., 2001, 2005). The loci and mechanisms of these gating processes are not yet clear in most cases, even though there are several promising approaches.

The N-terminal chain and ball mechanism (Armstrong et al., 1973), which actually is a finger in K⁺ channels (Bentrop et al., 2001) and a hinged lid in Na⁺ channels (Catterall, 2000), plays an important role in inactivation processes especially during action potentials of nerves (Catterall, 2000). Structural analysis has provided clear evidence for channel closing by the inner gate (Perozo et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2001) as mediated by bending of the S6 (TM2) helix (NaChBac, Zhao et al., 2004; KcsA and MthK, Jiang et al., 2002; Shaker, Del Camino et al., 2000; Del Camino and Yellen, 2001). An alternative mechanism of closing the inner gate is implemented by the N terminus in the virus-encoded Kcv channel (Tayefeh et al., 2007).

Gating by the selectivity filter is involved in very slow processes like C inactivation (Kiss et al., 1999). Even when low pH fully opens the inner gate of KcsA, slow gating can be observed that is related to voltage-dependent E71–D80 interactions (Cordero-Morales et al., 2006a,b). Blunck et al. (2006) employed fluorescence measurements to prove that KcsA was really open when E71-related gating occurred. In GIRK, gating of the selectivity filter became obvious when the inner gate was locked in the open state by mutations (V188G, Yi et al., 2001). Involvement of the selectivity filter also in faster (or medium with respect to the rate constants found here) gating was found inKir channels (Proks et al., 2001; Claydon et al., 2003; Xie et al., 2004). Furthermore, the occurrence of subconductance levels during channel opening and deactivation in the T442S mutant of Shaker (Zheng and Sigworth, 1998) was considered to be related to fast structural changes in the selectivity filter.

Here, we present a hypothesis that very fast gating of the selectivity filter may be involved in the generation of the negative slope of the apparent single-channel current/voltage relationship in MaxiK channels. A linear increase of the current with driving force (electrical potential and concentration gradient) is found only in the vicinity of the reversal potential. At higher negative and/or positive potentials, the measured (apparent) current may become independent of driving force (saturation) or may even start to decline with increasing driving force (negative slope or negative resistance).

Negative slopes in whole-cell data have been observed in out- and inward currents in different kinds of channels in plants (Beilby, 1985; Tester, 1988), animals (e.g., in Kir channels, Alagem et al., 2001; Murata et al., 2002; Yeh et al., 2005; in MaxiK, Cox et al., 1997; Ransom and Sontheimer, 2001), and viruses (Kang et al., 2004). Often its occurrence has been attributed to the interaction with
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divalent (e.g., Ba\textsuperscript{2+} in Kir 2.1, Alagem et al., 2001; Murata et al., 2002; Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in MaxiK, Ransom and Sontheimer, 2001; Mg\textsuperscript{2+} in ROMK1, Lu and MacKinnon, 1994) or monovalent cations (e.g., Cs\textsuperscript{+} in MaxiK, DeCoursey et al., 1996; or Tl\textsuperscript{+} in Kir2.1, Yeh et al., 2005). This started with the early observation of Bezanilla and Armstrong (1972) that the entry of Na\textsuperscript{+} or Cs\textsuperscript{+} into the K\textsuperscript{+} channel of the squid axon caused a negative slope.

Negative slopes were also found in single-channel recordings (DeCoursey et al., 1996; Cox et al., 1997; Lemassurier et al., 2001; Sørensen et al., 2001; Nimigean and Miller, 2002; Zhang et al., 2006; Pagliuca et al., 2007). As in the case of whole-cell recordings, an important role of blocking ions was documented in many studies. For instance, the presence of Na\textsuperscript{+} caused a negative slope in KcsA (Nimigean and Miller, 2002). In Chara, single-channel currents of the large Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-activated K\textsuperscript{+} channel showed negative slopes in a K\textsuperscript{+}/Cs\textsuperscript{+} solution (Draber and Hansen, 1994) or in Na\textsuperscript{+} (Weise and Gradmann, 2000). In the single-channel current of mammalian MaxiK, millimolar concentrations of cytosolic Na\textsuperscript{+} (Marty, 1983; Kahawara et al., 1990; DeCoursey et al., 1996) and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Cox et al., 1997) were shown to induce negative slopes.

To our knowledge, the functional role of the negative slope is unknown. Especially at positive potentials, the negative slope is found in a voltage range that would not occur in a living organism (> +100 mV). Nevertheless, for the investigation of the biophysical principles of permeation and gating mechanisms in transport proteins, a clear description and understanding of the deviations from linear effects can provide important keystones.

In whole-cell experiments, the occurrence of negative slopes can easily be related to gating phenomena (Sakmann and Trube, 1984). In the case of single-channel recordings only a few investigations in Chara reached the temporal resolution to reveal fast gating as a cause of apparent current reduction (e.g., Klieber and Gradmann, 1993; Draber and Hansen, 1994). Revealing fast gating as the cause of the negative slope in human MaxiK was up to now impeded by insufficient temporal resolution. This limitation could be overcome by the approach of Schroeder and Hansen (2006) using filtering with a corner frequency of 50 kHz and an analysis based on B distributions (FitzHugh, 1983; Yellen, 1984; Moss and Moczydlowski, 1996; Riessner, 1998; Schroeder et al., 2005) for the determination of the true single-channel current. The benefit of such an approach is the assignment of saturation and gating effects to different functional units of the channel protein and the detection of interactions. The analysis leads to the hypothesis that fast gating at high positive potentials is caused by ion depletion in the cavity and/or the selectivity filter. Early hints to such a mechanism came from the effect of the permeant ion on gating (Almers and Armstrong, 1980).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Electrophysiological Measurements**

Patch clamp measurements were performed on inside-out patches of HEK293 cells, stably expressing a h-MaxiK α-GFP construct and the β1-subunit (Lu et al., 2006). The cells were a gift from U. Seydel and A. Schromm (Research Center Borstel, Borstel, Germany). Four different solutions were used symmetrically in the pipette and in the bath. They all contained 10 mM HEPES, pH was titrated with KOH to 7.2. The solutions named 50K, 150K, and 250K contained 50, 150, or 400 mM KCl, respectively, plus 2.5 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2} and 2.5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}. In some experiments, NO\textsubscript{3} was used instead of Cl\textsuperscript{-}. This did not affect the channel properties (not depicted). In the solution named 150K-Mg/Ca, there was no Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} added. Instead 10 mM EDTA was given to checkbox spuriously divalent cations. Patch electrodes were made from borosilicate glass (Hilgenberg) coated internally with Sigmacote (Sigma-Aldrich), drawn on an L/M-3P-A puller (Heka) and had a resistance of ~25 MΩ in 150 mM KCl. Tobias Huth introduced drying of the pipettes at 55°C overnight after pulling. This treatment increased seal probability and resistance up to 100 GΩ (not depicted). Single-channel currents under steady-state conditions were recorded by a Dagan 3900A amplifier (Dagan) with a 4-pole anti-aliasing filter (Bessel) of 50 kHz. Data was stored on disc with a sampling rate of 200 kHz and analyzed with custom-made programs and Origin (see below). Liquid junction potential was not corrected, because it was <5 mV in all experiments.

Baseline drift, membrane flickering, and other artifacts would distort the amplitude histograms and lead to wrong results in the β fit. Thus, all data had to be closely inspected and cleaned manually from sections showing these kinds of artifact by means of the software Kiel-Patch.

Apparent current amplitudes (I\textsubscript{app}) were determined by manually positioning horizontal lines within the current records (fit-by-eye) using the software Kiel-Patch. Riessner et al. (2002) have shown that this method is more reliable than fitting a sum of gaussians to the overall amplitude histogram. This and the following software is available at www.zbm.uni-kiel.de/software.

**Definitions**

In a preceding paper (Hansen et al., 2003) we have defined true (or real) and apparent single-channel currents. In brief, the passage of ions through the filter is a stochastic sequence. We call the moment when a transition occurs t\textsubscript{tr} Consider the distribution of the time intervals t\textsubscript{tr}−t\textsubscript{tr}. A state lasts from t\textsubscript{tr} to t\textsubscript{tr}, if the frequency of the time intervals in the range (t\textsubscript{tr}, t\textsubscript{tr}) can be described by an asymptotic stochastic function that is independent of the length of (t\textsubscript{tr}, t\textsubscript{tr}). Usually, t\textsubscript{tr}−t\textsubscript{tr} is too short, and the asymptotic distribution is not reached. Then, assuming ergodicity, ensemble averaging over putative intervals with the same state from the same or additional records has to be employed.

The true (or real) current I\textsubscript{true} is the current average in the interval (t\textsubscript{tr}, t\textsubscript{tr}). The apparent current I\textsubscript{app} is the current average over a time interval that is assigned to an apparent state in an experimental record. I\textsubscript{app} is identical to I\textsubscript{true} if this interval is included in (t\textsubscript{tr}, t\textsubscript{tr}).

**Determination of the True Current Amplitude: β Fit**

The above definition of I\textsubscript{true} is based on events that cannot be observed directly. Thus, analytical tools are required that can resolve the values of (t\textsubscript{tr}, t\textsubscript{tr}) and I\textsubscript{app} from the single-channel patch clamp records even if (t\textsubscript{tr}, t\textsubscript{tr}) is shorter than the temporal resolution of the recording apparatus (fast gating), and I\textsubscript{app} is smaller than I\textsubscript{true}. Among the different tools for the evaluation of gating employed in our group, the analysis of β distributions (Schroeder et al., 2005) and of two-dimensional dwell-time distributions (Huth et al., 2007) turned out to be more efficient than one-dimensional dwell-time analysis (Blunck et al., 1998) or the direct fit of the time.
series (Albertsen and Hansen, 1994). Here, we use the approach of Schroeder and Hansen (2006) for the reconstruction of the true current by means of β distributions. Since there is no straightforward procedure to calculate β distributions obtained from higher-order filters (Riessner, 1998), simulations instead of deterministic algorithms were employed to provide the theoretical curves. This is quite time consuming during a fitting routine, but it turned out to be the most efficient way to resolve fast flickering.

In brief, the gating behavior of our data from the MaxiK channel can be described by a linear five-state model with two open and three closed states as shown below in Eq. 1 (Farokhi et al., 2000; Hansen et al., 2003).

$$O1 \rightarrow_{k_{12}} O2 \rightarrow_{k_{23}} C3 \rightarrow_{k_{34}} C4 \rightarrow_{k_{45}} C5$$  (1)

However, for the determination of the true single-channel current it is not necessary to use the complete five-state model. If the flickering between the O states and C3 is so fast that averaging by the recording apparatus merges the output signal into one (apparent) current level, a truncated two-state model (Eq. 2) is sufficient for revealing the true current (Schroeder and Hansen, 2006).

$$O \rightarrow_{k_{sc}} C$$  (2)

The open-point histogram (distribution-per-level, Schroeder et al., 2004) of the apparent open state (excluding the long closed states) was obtained from the time series by means of an eighth-order Hinkley detector included in the program Kiel-Patch (Schultze and Draber, 1993). For fitting the measured distribution per level ($A_{app}$) to that one obtained from time series simulated on the basis of the two-state Markov model ($A_{th}$, Eq. 2), a Simplex algorithm (Caceci and Cacheris, 1984) was employed that minimizes

$$\text{error sum} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \left( \frac{A_{app,i} - A_{th,i}}{A_{app,i} + 0.1} \right)^2.$$  (3)

$N_i$ is the number of data points in the amplitude histograms (number of intervals on the current axis, in our case 4,096). The denominator prevents that the maximum values of the amplitude histogram get the highest statistical weight. The highest values are close to a gaussian distribution whereas the deviations carrying the information about fast gating are found at the slopes of the distribution. 0.1 is added in order to prevent overflow resulting from division by zero.

The fit algorithm (Schroeder and Hansen, 2006) also provides the automatic determination of the single-channel current $I_{sc}$. However, here the current was used as a fixed parameter and changed stepwise in subsequent fits in order to study the dependence of the error sum on the assumed single-channel current (Fig. 3 B, below). The construction of this error sum curve was crucial to exclude fits that did not lead to a unique solution.

**RESULTS**

**IV Curves in 150 mM K+ with 2.5 mM Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺:**

**Apparent Single-Channel and Steady-State Macroscopic Currents**

Steady-state single-channel traces of hMaxiK $\alpha + \beta 1$ of inside-out patches in symmetrical 150 K solution were recorded with a sampling frequency of 200 kHz and a 4-pole Bessel filter of 50 kHz. Fig. 1 (A and B) shows typical records obtained at +80 and +160 mV, respectively. The apparent single-channel current was obtained from a fit-by-eye as indicated by the horizontal lines and described above. Open probability (for slow gating) was determined by an eighth-order Hinkley detector.

In Fig. 1 C, the apparent single-channel current (closed circles) and the open probability (open circles) are shown. The channel is activated by positive membrane potential as known for MaxiK. The most striking feature of the single-channel IV curve is the negative slope at high positive voltages.

The occurrence of negative slopes has been reported more frequently from macroscopic MaxiK IV curves. In whole-cell recordings, negative slopes can occur on either side of the voltage range, at negative and at positive potentials (e.g., Cox et al., 1997). Gating of channel conductance in different time domains influences single-channel and macroscopic currents in different ways. This is illustrated in Fig. 1 C. Steady-state macroscopic currents $I_{sc}$ were calculated from the product of single-channel
In Fig. 2 A, the resulting IV curve is normalized to the apparent single-channel current in Fig. 4, the IV curves were fitted by means of Eq. 5.

\[ I_{\text{true}} = F \frac{\kappa_{\text{sat}} \cdot k_{\text{p},0} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{V}{V_t}\right) - \kappa_{\text{sat}} \cdot k_{\text{p},0} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V}{V_t}\right)}{k_{\text{p},0} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{V}{V_t}\right) + k_{\text{p},0} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V}{V_t}\right)} + \kappa_{\text{sat}} + \kappa_{\text{sat}}}, \]

where \( F \) is the fraction of the open probability caused by slow (observed) gating. This IV curve is asymmetrical; saturation at positive voltages leads to smaller currents than at negative voltages (see also Table I).

**Saturation Currents**

To get a more reliable determination of the saturation currents of the true single-channel current in Fig. 4, the IV curves were fitted by means of Eq. 5.
Eq. 5 is identical to the equation derived for the enzyme kinetic model of ion transport (Hansen et al., 1981; Hansen, 1986) with $k_{o,0}$ and $k_{i,0}$ being the scaling factors of the outward and inward voltage-dependent translocation steps, respectively, and $k_{o,l}$ and $k_{i,l}$ including the binding of the ion on the cytosolic and on the luminal side, respectively. Because of the absence of an accepted transport model, $V_s$, the characteristic voltage of ion translocation, is just a phenomenological parameter. In simple models, it is related to the temperature potential $u_T = kT/e = 26$ mV and the relative location of the energy barriers in the translocation path (Hansen et al., 1981). $F$, $V$, $T$, $k$, and $e$ have their usual meanings.

This cyclic model is evident for cotransporters (Abramson et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2003), but was also found suitable for ion channels (Fisahn et al., 1986; Gradmann et al., 1987; Hansen and Fisahn, 1987), probably because of the cyclic scheme of site occupation as suggested by Morais-Cabral et al. (2001) or Bernèche and Roux (2005). Inspecting the mathematics behind different approaches shows that the crucial features of Eq. 5 also apply to other models including the law of mass conservation (probability normalization criterion) for the states in the filter (like that one of Nelson, 2002, based on a concerted single-file motion, Khalili-Araghi et al., 2006) or just voltage-independent loading reactions as in the simple four-compartment model in Fig. 8, below. The real biophysical background is of minor importance for the investigations here. It will be examined in a subsequent paper on a broader experimental basis.
Saturation and Fast Gating

The saturation currents are obtained for $V$ approaching $-\infty$ or $+\infty$:

$$I_{\text{sat}} = -Fk_{\text{io}} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\text{sat}} = Fk_{\text{oi}}. \quad (6a \text{ and } 6b)$$

The curves resulting from the fits of the averaged data are shown in Fig. 4 as solid lines, and the parameters are given in Table I.

Fig. 4 and Table I show that saturation currents are asymmetrical for the two lower potassium concentrations. The loading reactions on either side of the selectivity filter have at least two major components: diffusion limitation and exchange of the water shell for the carbonyl groups of the filter. The asymmetry is stronger for lower potassium concentrations, indicating that the entry from the cytosolic side has a stronger diffusional component. This is in line with the results of Brelidze and Magleby (2005) that diffusion limitation induced by sugars can be overcome by high $K^+$ concentrations in the cytosol.

Rate Constants of Flickering

The fits of the $\beta$ distributions (Fig. 3) do not only deliver the true single-channel current (as illustrated in Fig. 3 B), but also a related pair $k_{\text{DC}}$ and $k_{\text{CO}}$ of rate constants (Eq. 2; Fig. 5 A), roughly corresponding to $k_{23}$ and $k_{12}$ in Eq. 1. In a previous paper (Schroeder and Hansen, 2006), we have shown that the usage of a truncated model after the processing of the data through the Hinkley detector (as described in Materials and Methods) leaves the true single-channel current unaffected. However, this method can impose small errors on the determination of the rate constants. Nevertheless, the following statements would also be obtained from a more refined (five-state model) analysis. (a) The dominant voltage dependence can be attributed to $k_{\text{DC}}$. The increase of $k_{\text{DC}}$ at positive voltages leads to shorter open-dwell times and thus to a decrease of the apparent (averaged) single-channel current. (b) The high scatter impedes the detection of small effects of the ion concentration on the rate constants. (c) The determination of the ratio of the rate constants (Fig. 5 B) is much more reliable than that of the absolute values, thus making the influence of concentration obvious, which is scarcely detectable in Fig. 5 A.

Model-based Analysis: Mechanisms of Current Reduction

The current reduction by fast gating, i.e., the ratio of $I_{\text{uw}}$ and $I_{\text{app}}$ in Fig. 4, was analyzed with the model described in the Appendix. It is based on the assumption that ion depletion in the cavity/selectivity filter causes the filter to flicker. This model predicts that the voltage dependence of the gating factor $R = I_{\text{uw}}/I_{\text{app}}$ is a simple exponential function of the membrane voltage $V$:

$$R = \frac{I_{\text{uw}}}{I_{\text{app}}} = 1 + R_k \exp \left( \frac{V_0}{V_G} \right). \quad (7)$$

Indeed, Fig. 6 (A–C) shows that the data can neatly be fitted by Eq. 7. Fig. 6 D presents a comparison of the

### Table I

Summary of the Parameters Obtained from the Analysis of the True IV Curves and of the Gating Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$e_{\text{app}}/\mu S$</th>
<th>$I_{\text{sat}}/\mu A (-Fk_{\text{io}})$</th>
<th>$I_{\text{sat}}/\mu A (-Fk_{\text{oi}})$</th>
<th>$Fk_{\text{io}}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{io}}/k_{\text{oi}}$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{i}}/mV$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{i}}/mV$</th>
<th>$1/R_k (V_i = 60 \text{ mV})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>91 ± 5</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-10.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>29 ± 9</td>
<td>60 (51 ± 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 mM</td>
<td>176 ± 3</td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-23.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>33 ± 6</td>
<td>60 (57 ± 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 mM</td>
<td>330 ± 2</td>
<td>45.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>-44.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>16.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>39 ± 4</td>
<td>60 (62 ± 5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$k_R$ was determined with $V_i$ fixed at 60 mV. Fit results for $V_i$ as a free parameter are given in brackets. For fitting, averaged data were used, and the errors were estimated from the variance-covariance matrix as provided by the fitting routine in Origin.

$g_{\text{app}}$: slope conductance was determined by a linear fit of the IV curves in the voltage range ±60 mV.

$g_{\text{app}}$: slope conductance was determined by a linear fit of the IV curves in the voltage range ±60 mV.

$V_i$, $R_k$ parameters of the true IV curves ($I_{\text{uw}}$, Fig. 4; Eq. 5).

$V_i$, $R_k$ parameters of the gating factor (Fig. 6; Eq. 7).

---

Figure 5. Rate constants for the reduced two-state Markov model (Eq. 2). Squares, 50 mM $K^+$; circles, 150 mM $K^+$; triangles, 400 mM $K^+$. (A) Absolute values of the open-closed ($k_{\text{DC}}$ open symbols) and the closed–open transitions ($k_{\text{CO}}$ closed symbols). (B) Ratio of $k_{\text{DC}}$ and $k_{\text{CO}}$ as calculated from the data in A. Numbers of experiments are given in Fig. 6.
three fitted curves in A–C. The resulting parameters are given in Table I.

Fitting the $R$ curves in Fig. 6 resulted in $V_{e} = 51, 57$, and $62 \text{ mV}$ for $50, 150$, and $400 \text{ mM K}^{+}$, respectively (in brackets in Table I). The low signal-to-noise ratio found in $50 \text{ mM K}^{+}$ decreased the reliability of the data (Fig. 6 A). To get comparable estimates of the factor $1/R_{K}$ all $R$ curves were fitted additionally with a fixed $V_{e}$ of $60 \text{ mV}$ (average of the values at $150$ and $400 \text{ mM K}^{+}$).

Independence on $Ca^{2+}$/Mg$^{2+}$

It has often been reported that $Ca^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ not only activate MaxiK channels but also block at millimolar concentrations (e.g., Ferguson, 1991; Bertl et al., 1993; Cox et al., 1997). To rule out this effect, measurements were performed under $0 \text{ Ca}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}$ conditions (with 10 mM EDTA, solution $150\text{K-Mg/Ca}$) and compared with those done under saturating concentrations of the two divalent ions (2.5 mM each, solution $150\text{K}$). The well-known influence on open probability was observed (Fig. 7 A), but there was no significant effect on the single-channel IV curves (Fig. 7 B). The analysis with $\beta$ fits also shows that the current reduction caused by fast flickering stays the same with and without divalent cations (Fig. 7 C). Thus, we can rule out that the negative slope at positive potentials results from a $Ca^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$ block. This corresponds to the findings of Cox et al. (1997), who reported a slight, $[Ca]_i$-independent negative slope in MaxiK channels at internal $Ca^{2+}$ concentrations $<124 \mu$M. This is similar to the findings of Bertl et al. (1993) that rate constants related to the closed state of the $Ca^{2+}$-activated $K^{+}$ channel in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (probably a MaxiK), which cause flickering in the submillisecond range, are not sensitive to $Ca^{2+}$, in contrast to the rate constants of the other two closed states with slower gating. This was also observed for fast gating in MthK (Li et al., 2007). The independence on a blocking ion is a crucial feature for the model created from the analysis of the data.

**DISCUSSION**

Reliability of the Separation of Gating and Permeation Effects

The results in Figs. 4 and 6 stress the importance of high temporal resolution for the distinction of the effects resulting from gating and permeation (Moss and Moczydlowski, 1996; Hansen et al., 1997, 2003; Townsend and Horn, 1999; Farokhi et al., 2000). After elimination of the gating effect in the apparent open-channel current, the IV curve of single-channel current shows saturation at high positive membrane potentials. The negative slope is eliminated.

The definition of $I_{true}$ (see Materials and Methods) is still outside the realm of present experimental tools. Thus, it has to also be asked whether the saturation effect of what is called true single-channel current at high positive and negative potentials (Fig. 4) might originate from even faster gating processes that cannot be resolved in the experiments reported here. At very high gating frequencies, $\beta$ distributions become gaussian and narrow again (Central Limit Theorem; Feller, 1968;
Fig. 4 in Schroeder and Hansen, 2006). The temporal resolution obtained by the experiments above reached \(~0.5\,\mu\text{s}\). This has to be compared with the average time required for a passage of a single ion that is 10 ns for a current of 16 pA. Thus, there is an average number of 50 ions passing the channel in 500 ns. There is not much numerical freedom for the introduction of additional undetected fast gating effects if the concept of classical Markov modeling is employed. If assumed gating frequencies come into the range of the average time between the transitions of two ions, gating and permeation mechanisms can no longer be separated. Since this kind of effect cannot be verified experimentally, and since there are reasonable biophysical mechanisms (discussed below) leading to saturation, it is assumed here that permeation effects and gating effects are clearly separated in the data of Fig. 4.

A Mechanism Correlating Saturation and Gating

A key for revealing the mechanism causing fast gating may be found in the observation that reduction of the true current by saturation (permeation effect) and by flickering (gating effect) occur (more or less) concomitantly (Fig. 4). In Fig. 8, the sensing compartment \(S\) plays the crucial role. \(S\) may be assigned to the cavity and/or the selectivity filter. If assigned to the cavity, a binding site may be assumed. For instance, Lu et al. (2001a) suggested that C169 binds \(\text{Tl}^{+}\) in Kir2.1. Alternatively, the protein backbone may feel the coordination forces for the ion in the cavity. Here, we prefer a crucial role of the selectivity filter, keeping in mind that a role of the cavity cannot be excluded.

With increasing positive membrane potential, the rate constant \(k_{SL}\) (comprising \(k_{SE}\) and \(k_{EL}\)) of emptying the compartment \(S\) (Eq. A5; Fig. 8) exceeds the rate constant \(k_{PS}\) of refilling. This results in a depletion of ions in the cavity and/or the selectivity filter. The depletion leads to an instability of the selectivity filter and causes fast flickering as described by the gating factor \(R\) in Fig. 6. Three features of the model of Fig. 8 described in quantitative terms in the Appendix have to be mentioned. (1) “Ion concentration” in the cavity/filter has to be replaced by the average dwell time of probably about four
ions in the cavity and filter. (2) The ion concentration does not exert a direct effect but a parametric effect. A direct effect would imply that the efflux to the lumen decreases the ion concentration in S until the filter shuts. It would reopen when refilling from the cytosol has restored the ion concentration. Such a direct effect does not lead to dwell times in the microsecond range, because the reservoir S containing only a few ions would be filled up in the 10-ns range. The essential feature of the parametric effect is that the rearrangement of the protein backbone has a time constant that leads to integration over several periods of ion depletion during the open states of the flickering selectivity filter. The resulting conformational changes modulate the open/close ratio of the fast gating. (3) This parametric effect keeps the ion concentration at an average value that prevents the complete collapse of the filter.

Fitting the $R$-factor in Fig. 6 with Eq. A9 leads to plausible results, i.e., the exponential increase of $R$ with membrane potential making obvious that the exponentially increasing (Eq. A5) rate constant of ion transfer in the selectivity filter results from a typical voltage-driven ion transfer mechanism (Läuger and Stark, 1970; Hansen et al., 1981; Hansen, 1986). However, the comparison with the fits of the IV curves in Fig. 4 by means of Eq. 5 reveals a discrepancy; the characteristic voltage $V_G = 60$ mV (gating, Eq. A9) is higher than the characteristic voltage $V_i = 35$ mV (IV curve, Eq. 5). Both of them should be higher than $u_f = 26$ mV (Hansen et al., 1981), but equal in the simplest form of the model in Fig. 8.

This discrepancy makes obvious that the mathematical description of this model in the Appendix needs further refinements. The most likely extension would start from the assumption that $k_{ps}$ of the selectivity filter feels only the part $b/2$ of the full membrane potential $V$ (leading to $V_G = 26$ mV/$b$), and that another part of the membrane potential influences the loading reaction $k_{ps}$. Then, $k_{ps}$ would consist of a voltage-independent term (which determines the saturation current $I_{k_{ps}}$, e.g., exchanging the water shell for the channel environment) and a voltage-dependent component. The voltage-dependent part of $k_{ps}$ (and its equivalent $\kappa_s$) exerts its influence in the numerator for the IV curves (Eq. 5), but in the $R$-factor both numerator and denominator would be voltage dependent (Eq. A8). This may explain why $V_G$ is higher than $V_i$.

There are still other mechanisms feasible leading to different $V_i$ and $V_G$. Thus, it does not make sense to implement a more sophisticated version of $k_{ps}$ ($\kappa_s$) in Eq. 5 and in the Appendix, because the present experimental findings do not provide any means to distinguish models. The basic message is that a voltage drop over the loading reaction $k_{ps}$ is a likely explanation for the difference between $V_i$ and $V_G$.

A similar problem is found in the comparison of the concentration dependence of the saturation currents (Table I; Fig. 4, inset) and $1/R_K$ resulting from the gating analysis (Table I; Fig. 6, inset). In either case, a linear relationship to $[K^+]_o$ would be expected for a simple model, whereas a Michaelis-Menten–like dependence is predicted by the models including a probability normalization criterion (Hansen et al., 1981; Fisahn et al., 1986; Hansen 1986). Linearity roughly holds for the saturation currents, but it is weaker for $1/R_K$. Also here, a more elaborated kinetic model would be premature as long as the real kinetic scheme and putative superimposed direct effects of $K^+$ concentration and of voltage on channel structure are not supported by experimental findings.

Assumption 1: Gating by the Selectivity Filter

The crucial role of the selectivity filter in the model of Fig. 8 may be uncommon in the light of the majority of investigations where the inner gate (Perozo et al., 1999; Loussouarn et al., 2000) or the ball and chain mechanism (Catterall, 2000; Bentrop et al., 2001) are the preferred candidates. In the MaxiK channel, the S0 helix brings the N terminus to the luminal side. This eliminates inactivation by the ball-and-chain mechanism (Koval et al., 2007) and makes the MaxiK a favorite object of patch clamp analysis. Thus, the decision has to be made between inner gate and selectivity filter in MaxiK.

Fluorescence studies revealed that gating probably arising from the selectivity filter became apparent when the inner gate was open (Blunck et al., 2006). The involvement of the selectivity filter in gating gets strong support from concomitant changes in ion selectivity (Zheng and Sigworth, 1997; Kiss et al., 1999). The question of whether fast gating originates from the selectivity filter or inner gate finds ambiguous answers in the literature. It seems that both gates are capable of fast and slow gating. Some papers assign the fast gating to the inner gate and slower processes to the selectivity filter (Kir2.1, Lu et al., 2001a,b; Xie et al., 2004; Yeh et al., 2005; Fujii and Kubo, 2006; GIRK, Yi et al., 2001; KcsA, Cordero-Morales et al., 2006a,b). In some papers it is just the other way round (Kir 6.2, Trapp et al., 1998; Proks et al., 2001; Proks et al., 2005; MthK, Li et al., 2007; Shaker, Zheng et al., 2001). This discrepancy may be resolved by findings of Xie et al. (2004) indicating that in Kir2.1, fast gating can be mediated by either gate, depending on whether mutations are located near the GYG motif or in the cytosolic ring. In MaxiK channels, the relationship between slow gating and the inner gate was revealed in mutants with modified length of the linker between the inner gate and the cytosolic gating ring (Niu et al., 2004).

Whereas the studies mentioned above have demonstrated that altering the channel’s backbone changes the gating behavior (e.g., by disruption of salt bridges in the P-loop of IRK1 mutants; Yang et al., 1997), here an interaction between the ionic milieu and the protein is postulated. This is in line with many observations in
earlier papers. Almers and Armstrong (1980) have observed in squid axons "that a K\+ channel is normally occupied by one or more small cations, and becomes nonfunctional when these cations are removed." This so-called "foot-in-the-door effect" (Yeh and Armstrong, 1978) or "modulation of gating by a site inside the pore" (Matteson and Swenson, 1986) has been verified by a plethora of papers up to now in different kinds of channels (e.g., K\+ channel in squid, Swenson and Armstrong, 1981; delayed rectifier, Spruce et al., 1989; MaxiK, Demo and Yellen, 1992; Shaker, Melishchuk et al., 1998; KcsA, LeMasurier et al., 2001; Shaker and Shab, Ambriz-Rivas et al., 2005; Kv2.1, Immke et al., 1999; Wood and Korn, 2000; Kv1.5, Wang et al., 2000). Constriction of the filter is indicated by the conduction of Na\+ in the absence of K\+ (Immke et al., 1999).

Searching for a mechanism mediating the influence of the ionic milieu on filter stability, it is tempting to assume a mechanism related to the collapse of the selectivity filter of KcsA channels crystallized at very low K\+ concentrations (3 mM; Zhou et al., 2001). This structure with flipped backbone carbonyl groups is similar to that predicted in molecular dynamics simulations of V127T mutations of Kir6.2 (Capener et al., 2003). Bernèche and Roux (2005) found in free energy molecular dynamics simulations of the filter of KcsA that the occupation of the S\_p position by a K\+ ion is important for preventing the 180° turn of a single V76-G77 amide plane followed by reorientation of T75-V76. Relaxation from the stable nonconducting state of broken symmetry is expected to be in the range of 0.1 ms, far too slow for the events observed here. It may be tempting to assign the fast flickering at positive membrane potentials to the reversible reorientation of the V76-G77 amide plane. However, further experimental work is required to prove whether this or other mechanisms are the origin of the fast flickering. A snapshot of the postulated induction of flickering in dependence on ion concentration seems to have been found in KcsA crystals grown in Tl\+ solutions around a midpoint concentration of 80 mM. The selectivity filter is poorly defined in these structures, but ion occupancy measurements show that about half the channels in the crystal are in the conductive and half in the collapsed state (Zhou and MacKinnon, 2003). However, to our knowledge, structural data from the filter in K\+ concentrations in the critical range between 3 and 200 mM are not yet available, in contrast to ion distributions (Moraïs-Cabral et al., 2001).

The ion-induced structural rearrangements (Capener et al., 2003) related to fast gating of the selectivity filter seem to be different from those of slow C inactivation. In contrast to C inactivation, there is no obvious relationship between flickering and selectivity as shown in molecular dynamics calculations (Khalili-Araghi et al., 2006) and in physiological studies on mutants of the GYG motif (Lu et al., 2001b).

For the data presented here, the above considerations would imply that \( k_{cs} \) and \( k_{nd} \) in Fig. 5 A are the inverse dwell times in the conducting and in the collapsed state, respectively. Fast flickering as a consequence of a disturbed ionic milieu in the filter of MaxiK channels was also suggested by Piskorowski and Aldrich (2006) in the presence of Tl\+. Similar results were obtained in our group (unpublished data). A negative slope due to current reduction by flickering occurred at negative potentials when luminal Tl\+ dilutes the K\+ concentration in compartment S of Fig. 8 (not depicted). Nevertheless, Ambriz-Rivas et al. (2005) pointed out that different K\+ channels may behave differently with respect to K\+ depletion and presence of Na\+.

There are still open questions like that of irreversibility. Almers and Armstrong (1980) found that the depletion of ions caused irreversible loss of conductivity. It may be imagined that once K\+ is excluded from the filter, the collapsed structure prevents reentering and opening. Two aspects of the model in Fig. 8 may prevent this. First, the hypothesis neglected above may gain importance, namely, that the sensor for K\+ depletion is not in the filter, but in the cavity. It may control the exit of ions from the filter and thus prevent the collapse, but also the transport. Such an imprisoning of the ion under depletion may provide an explanation for the findings of Baukrowitz and Yellen (1996) that the exit rate of the last ion from the K\+ channel was 100 times lower than the normal transfer rate. Alternatively, the final collapse of the filter may be related to structural rearrangements with time constants longer that those of flickering. Then flickering would prevent that the average ion concentrations in the cavity and in the filter would fall below a critical value. Furthermore, the above model may lead to the question of whether this flickering does also occur when bundle crossing prevents the delivery of ions from the cytosol. Answering these questions is still beyond the scope of present experimental approaches.

**Assumption 2: Voltage-independent Rate Limitation**

As mentioned above, rate limitation by \( k_{ns} \) in Fig. 8 may have two components: (1) exchange of the water shell for the channel environment and (2) diffusion limitation. Even though the channel mimics the water shell quite well, the transition is probably not absolutely smooth, and thus may create a rate-limiting energy barrier.

One component of diffusion limitation arises from the capture radius at the entrance of the channel. In gramicidin channels, this was found to be more important for rate limitation than dehydration (Andersen and Feldberg, 1996). Surface charges as occurring in K\+ channels can enrich the ions near the channel entrance (Green and Andersen, 1991) and thus weaken diffusion limitation. Indeed, channels with high conductance carry negative charges at the cytosolic end of the inner
helix (Kcv, Tayefeh et al., 2007), and these charges clearly determine the saturation current (BK, Breidize et al., 2003; BK and MthK, Nimigean et al., 2003). They are absent in low-conductance channels like Kir2.1. Nevertheless, also here the negative charges in the more distant hanging gondola contribute to the enhancement of current (Xie et al., 2004; Yeh et al., 2005; Fujiwara and Kubo, 2006). Diffusion limitation at the luminal side seems to be less severe. Nevertheless, neutralizing the luminal negative charges in a mutant of Kir2.1 (Alagem et al., 2001; Murata et al., 2002) decreases inward current.

A more direct approach to the effect of diffusion limitation seems to be provided by experiments with sugars on the cytosolic side (Breidize and Magleby, 2005). Sugars putatively hinder diffusion and result in a decrease of outward saturation current of MaxiK channels as would be predicted by the model in Fig. 8. However, in the data of Breidize and Magleby (2005) there are no signs of stronger flickering. Preliminary experiments in a student’s course (unpublished data) have revealed that the voltage dependence of the factor Rin Fig. 6 B was not influenced when 400 mM sucrose decreased the saturation current.

These experiments seem to be in contradiction to the predictions of the model in Fig. 8. Even though the model explains the effect of different cytosolic K⁺ concentrations (Fig. 6) quite well, the necessity to incorporate additional effects, e.g., direct interaction of sugar and channel structure, cannot be denied. Roux (2006) commenting on the results of Ahern et al. (2006) stated that the discrepancy between physiological findings and molecular dynamics simulations on one side and structural data on the other side in the case of TEA binding from the external side may be “the tip of a large iceberg of surprises and misconceptions” about the structure of the pore. Thus, apparently inconsistent results should be interpreted with care as long as more precise results are not available.

Conclusions

The crucial assumption of the model in Fig. 8 that ion depletion in the selectivity filter causes flickering explains the data of the present investigation quite convincingly and in addition is supported by many observations dealing with the effect of the permeant ion on gating and filter stability. However, in experiments that artificially establish rate limitation on the cytosolic side, flickering is not induced. This indicates that the model in the Appendix is still an oversimplification (for the sake of analytical tractability) and additional effects have to be incorporated like direct action of potential and solutes on the filter structure. Nevertheless, it yields a stimulus to reconsider many experimental findings, e.g., those dealing with binding sites near or inside the filter; Eliminating an effect by a mutation does not necessarily mean that a binding site has been eliminated. Instead, it has to be taken into account that a protein is a quivering mechanical device. Mutations at one place may change the geometrical conditions for ion–filter interaction along the whole filter length and modify ion–filter interaction at a quite distant location.

APPENDIX

A Model Describing the Putative Influence of Ion Concentration in S (Cavity/Filter) on Fast Gating

The model of Fig. 8 is employed for a quantitative evaluation of fast gating. The compartments are the cytoplasm (index P) and the lumen (index L), both representing the bulk phases on either side of the channel, and two intermediate compartments (indices S and E). E is the external vestibule of the channel, and S is called the sensing compartment, because the stability of the protein, and thus the rate constants of flickering, depends on the ion concentration in this compartment as discussed in the Discussion. In our calculations, this sensing compartment (S in Fig. 8) can be the cavity and/or the selectivity filter.

In a simple model, with voltage-independent loading (k₁, k₂) and discharge reactions (k₃, k₄) on either side and voltage-sensitive translocation through the selectivity filter (k₅, k₆), the current is

\[ I_{true} = \frac{F k_1 k_{SE} k_{EL} [K^+]_P}{k_2 k_{SE} k_{EL} + k_3 k_{EL} + k_4 k_{EL}} \]

with \( F \) being the Faraday constant. At extreme voltages, the currents saturate and take the constant values

\[ I_{sat+} = I_{true} (V \to +\infty) = F k_{\alpha_1} = F k_{PS} \cdot [K^+]_P \] (A2A)

\[ I_{sat-} = I_{true} (V \to -\infty) = -F k_{\alpha_1} = -F k_{LE} \cdot [K^+]_L \] (A2B)

with \( k_{\alpha_1} \) and \( k_{\alpha_2} \) being the voltage-independent loading reactions of Eq. 5 (Hansen et al., 1981; Hansen, 1986) and \( k_{PS} \) and \( k_{LE} \) the loading rate constants for \([K^+]_P = 1 \text{ mM}\).

The ion concentration in the sensing compartment during the open state of the filter can be calculated from the balance of influx from the cytosol (\( k_{PS} \)) and efflux to the lumen (\( k_{SL} \)). Because of the low capacity of \( S \) (maximum three ions in the filter, one in the cavity; Zhou and MacKinnon, 2003; Compion et al., 2004) and the resulting very fast equilibration, steady-state conditions can be assumed leading to

\[ [K^+]_S = \frac{k_{PS} [K^+]_P + k_{SL} [K^+]_L}{k_{PS} + k_{SL}}, \] (A5)

with \( k_{PS}, k_{SL} \) being represented by the gross reaction \( k_{SL} \). At high positive voltages, backflow from the lumen can
be ignored, and $k_{SE} >>$ all others. This results in the approximation

$$[K^+]_S = \frac{k_{PS}^+[K^+]_P}{k_{SL}} = \frac{k_{PS}^+[K^+]_P}{k_{SE} k_{EL}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

It has to be mentioned that ion concentration means average dwell time of ions in $S$. During the closed time of the filter, equilibrating with the cytosol leads to a recovery of the concentration in $S$. At high positive potentials, outward current is determined by the entry reaction from the cytosol into the (Eq. A2A). However, in contrast to the constant current, the concentration $[K^+]_S$ decreases with increasing $k_{SE}$ in the numerator of Eq. A4. This leads to flickering according to the findings of crystal structure analysis (Zhou et al., 2001; Zhou and MacKinnon, 2003) and molecular dynamics simulations (Compoint et al., 2004). The presence of ions in $S$ is required for structural stability probably in order to compensate electrostatic forces (Zhou and MacKinnon, 2003). The role of $K^+$ for stabilizing the tetrameric filter structure also became obvious from the demand of permeant ions for protecting the oligomer of KcV from dissociation upon heating (Pagliuca et al., 2007).

To set up a quantitative description of the effect on gating behavior we have to make two assumptions. (1) For the voltage dependence of $k_{SL}$ we assume that the ions have to jump over at least one energy barrier (in the selectivity filter), resulting in

$$k_{SL} = k_{SL,0} \exp\left(\frac{V}{V_G}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

(2) For the relationship between gating and $[K^+]_S$, a very simple approach is chosen:

$$k_{OC} = k_{OC,1}[K^+]_S^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

implying that the open–closed transitions become faster with decreasing $[K^+]_S$. This probably is an oversimplification. However, considering alternative approaches including averaging over closed and open times of the filter showed that already this simple version can create an understanding of the basic processes. A higher sophistication would become detached from the available experimental background.

Fig. 5 A indicates that $k_{CO}$ is not significantly dependent on membrane potential (or concentration in $S$ of Fig. 8). This implies that the relaxation from the closed state is quite independent of the distorting parameter (compare Fig. 5 B). When the rate constants of gating $k_{CO}$ and $k_{OC}$ are faster than the filter frequency, the apparent current is proportional to the open probability:

$$I_{app} = \frac{k_{CO}}{k_{CO} + k_{OC}} I_{true}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

Inserting Eqs. A6, A4, and A5 into Eq. A7 leads to

$$\frac{I_{app}}{I_{true}} = \frac{k_{PS}^+[K^+]_P k_{CO}}{k_{SL,0} \exp\left(\frac{V}{V_G}\right) k_{OC,1} + k_{PS}^+[K^+]_P k_{CO}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A8)

with $k_{SL,0}$ being $k_{SL}$ at 0 mV. Eq. A8 is a Boltzmann-type equation. The inverse relationship can be fitted by an exponential

$$R = \frac{I_{true}}{I_{app}} = 1 + \frac{k_{OC,1} k_{SL,0}}{k_{CO} k_{PS}^+[K^+]_P} \exp\left(\frac{V}{V_G}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (A9A and A9B)
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