Nanofilter array chip for fast gel-free biomolecule separation
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We report here a microfabricated nanofilter array chip that can size-fractionate sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-protein complexes and small DNA molecules based on the Ogston sieving mechanism. Nanofilter arrays with a gap size of 40–180 nm were fabricated and characterized. Complete separation of SDS-protein complexes and small DNA molecules were achieved in several minutes with a separation length of 5 mm. The fabrication strategy for the nanofilter array chip allows further increasing of the nanofilter density and decreasing of the nanofilter gap size, leading, in principle, to even faster separation. © 2005 American Institute of Physics.
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Gel electrophoresis is a widely used method for separating proteins and nucleic acids in laboratories. However, theoretical studies of the sieving mechanism in gel electrophoresis have been limited because little information on the structure and pore size of gels exist. In addition, most microchip-based separation systems rely on liquid or solid polymeric sieving media contained in microchannels. While providing fast separation, such foreign sieving matrices pose intrinsic difficulties for the integration of multiple analytic steps into an automatic bioanalysis microsystem. As an alternative to random nanoporous gels, micro/nanofluidic molecular sieving structures fabricated with semiconductor fabrication technology have been used to separate biomolecules with much greater speed than their conventional counterparts.1–5 Such micro/nanofluidic devices have also been adopted as model systems to study molecular dynamics and stochastic motion in constrained spaces because of their regular sieving structures.6–9 To date, microfabricated sieving systems have only been used for large biomolecules such as viral DNA, mainly because it is generally challenging to fabricate sieves with comparable molecular dimensions. In this letter, we demonstrate the separation of small biomolecules, such as proteins and small double-stranded DNA molecules (dsDNA), in a regular nanofilter array chip, based on the Ogston sieving mechanism.10–12

It is important to recognize that Ogston sieving is the sieving process in which the size of the molecule is smaller than the size of the nanopore. In this regime, the configurational freedom of the molecules inside the nanopore is limited due to steric repulsion from the wall, and this creates a size-dependent configurational entropic energy barrier for the molecule passage from open space to the confined space of the nanopore.12 This entropic energy barrier is presumably also responsible for the sieving process of small and relative globular molecules in gel.15 In this letter, we will examine the interesting possibility of separating biomolecules with nanofilters larger than the molecular dimensions.

The nanofilter array chip was fabricated by conventional photolithography and reactive ion etching techniques on a silicon wafer, as described previously.15 The layout of the chip is presented in Fig. 1. Nanofilters with a thin region thickness (ds) of 40–180 nm have been fabricated with this technique. At the very beginning of the nanofilter array, a

![FIG. 1.](http://apl.aip.org/apl/figures/fig1.png)
T-shaped injector for electrophoretic sample injection was fabricated to define and launch an initial sample mixture plug. The nanofilter array was filled with Tris-Borate-EDTA 5× buffer for DNA experiments. For protein experiments, an additional 0.1 wt% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Sigma) was added. For fluorescence detection, dsDNA molecules were labeled with YOYO-1 dye (Molecular Probes) and protein samples were conjugated with fluorescein or Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes). The protein samples were added to SDS and dithiothreitol (DTT) (Sigma) for denaturation and then the mixture was incubated in a 65°C water bath. An inverted epifluorescence microscope equipped with a charge coupled device (CCD) camera was used for fluorescence imaging. Sequences of CCD images were analyzed by image-processing software to produce electropherograms.

Figure 2 summarizes the separation results of SDS-protein complexes and dsDNA molecules in a nanofilter array chip ($d_f=60$ nm, $d_L=300$ nm, $L=1$ mm). Figure 2(a) shows a sequence of fluorescence images taken near the T-shaped injector region, shortly after the launching of the SDS-protein mixture. The three SDS-protein fragments were quickly separated within 30 s and a 570 μm separation length. Smaller protein complexes migrated faster than larger ones, which is different from the entropic trapping-based separation of long DNA molecules in similar nanofluidic devices. The base line separation of the SDS-protein complexes was achieved in 4 min with a separation length of 5 mm under an electric field of 90 V/cm [Fig. 2(b)]. The theoretical plate number for cholera toxin subunit B was about 1523 and the plate number per column length was about $3 \times 10^6$ plates/m. Separation results of small dsDNA molecules are shown in Fig. 2(c). A complete separation of the dsDNA molecules was achieved in about 10 min with a separation length of 5 mm under an electric field of 70 V/cm.

One unique feature of the nanofilter array chip was that its molecular sieving power showed dependence on the field strength. When the field was increased, the size dependence of electrophoretic mobility (or size selectivity, which should be inversely proportional to the nanofilter thin region depth $d_f$) disappeared. This dependence of mobility on field strength was more apparent for larger molecules. For instance, when the field was increased from 70 to 100 V/cm, the 50 and 150 bp DNA fragments achieved 8.4% and 18.2% mobility increases, respectively, while the 766 bp DNA fragment worsened as the field was increased. Since the SDS-protein complexes and the dsDNA molecules separated are smaller than the 60 nm nanofilter gap size, the Ogston sieving in the nanofilter array and further is a direct experimental confirmation of Ogston sieving in a well-defined, regular nanopore system.

In Fig. 3, we compared three different nanofluidic chips (Chip1) with different structures but the same nanochannel depth ($d_f=60$ nm). In the flat nanofluidic channel chip (Chip1), no
separation over a 2 cm separation length was observed for the SDS-protein mixture under a broad range of fields applied [Fig. 3]. Experiments with dsDNA molecules were also conducted with Chip1, and no separation could be achieved either. This confirmed that separation in the nanofilter array chip was indeed due to the nanofilters, not due to chromatographic interaction between the nanofilter walls and the molecules. The Debye layer thickness under the ionic strength (~0.5 M) was expected to be less than 1 nm. Therefore, the possibility of hydrodynamic chromatography caused by parabolic velocity profile in the large Debye length limit can be excluded. The possibility of the dielectrophoretic trapping, induced by the field gradient at the boundaries between the nanofilter thick and thin regions, may cause separation of molecules with different sizes, even at dc conditions. However, if that were the case, the increased fields should have resulted in stronger trapping and therefore more resolved separation.

Chip2 and Chip3 had different periods (L) and different thick region depths (d_q). It was possible to achieve separation with high fields (up to ~100 V/cm) in Chip3 but not in Chip2 due to the difference in their nanofilter periods (separation resolution would be lost with a field higher than ~60 V/cm in Chip2). A more than tenfold increase of the separation speed was obtained in Chip3 than in Chip2 for comparable separation resolution. This can be attributed to three different separation relevant parameters of these two chips: the separation length, the electric field, and the aspect ratio of the nanofilter (γ=d_q/d_l). It was demonstrated that the maximum (sieving-free) mobility μ_{max} in the nanofilter array can be estimated by μ_{max}/μ_0=4γ/(1+γ)^2 (μ_0: free solution mobility of molecules). So the decrease of γ with shallower depth of d_q in Chip3 increased the separation speed [μ_{max}/μ_0 (Chip3)=0.55 > μ_{max}/μ_0 (Chip2)=0.34].

Overall, the shorter separation length, the greater field and the reduced aspect ratio lead to the more than tenfold increase of the separation speed in Chip3. Similar improvement is expected when the nanofilter period is further decreased, possibly either by electron-beam lithography or by nanoimprint lithography. A nanofilter with a period of 100–200 nm is still much larger than the size of proteins and other small biomolecules, so similar sieving behavior is expected in such chips.

In conclusion, we have size-separated SDS-protein complexes and small dsDNA molecules in nanofilter array chips based on the Ogston sieving mechanism. This is a direct experimental observation of Ogston sieving by regular nanofluidic pores with precise pore size characterization. The speed and resolution obtained by the nanofilter array chip is comparable to current state of the art systems (i.e., capillary gel electrophoresis) without using any sieving gel. This opens up possibilities for integrating many different biomolecule sensors, and separation and reaction chambers in a single chip, without the concern of sieving matrix crossstalk and contamination. The separation efficiency could be further improved by scaling down the nanofilter period by advanced sub-100 nm resolution photolithography techniques. In addition to fast biomolecule separation, nanofilter array chips can be used to study many important phenomena of molecular stochastic motion, which has broad implications in biology.
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