Skeletal muscle is composed of bundles of muscle fibers called fascicles. The cell membrane surrounding the muscle cell is the sarcolemma, and beneath the sarcolemma lies the sarcoplasm, which contains the cellular proteins, organelles, and myofilbrils: the titin actin filament and the thicker myosin filament. The arrangement of these protein filaments gives skeletal muscle is striated appearance.

Skeletal muscle is capable of remarkable adaptations in response to altered activity. These adjustments to mechanical and metabolic demands elicit marked modifications of gene expression that could lead to gain (hypertrophy) or loss (atrophy) of muscle mass. Whereas exercise training leads to minor changes in skeletal muscle mass, strength training induces marked hypertrophy of exercising muscles. Histochemical analyses clearly show a 10 to 30% increase in muscle fiber cross-sectional area after 10-12 weeks of resistance training in sedentary subjects [1].
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Abstract

Beyond skeletal muscle’s primary function as a force generator for locomotion, there is a growing recognition of the important role skeletal muscle plays in overall health through its impact on whole-body metabolism as well as directly influencing quality of life issues with chronic disease and aging. Over the last decade, extensive progress has been made with regard to our understanding of the molecules that regulate skeletal muscle mass. Various growth factors such as insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) have been shown to stimulate the activation, proliferation, and differentiation of satellite cells, and then contribute to muscle hypertrophy as well as normal muscle growth. In contrast, myostatin inhibits these processes through forkhead box O (FOXO) and/or Smad 2/3-dependent signaling. Intramuscular signaling by PI3-K/Akt/mTOR, calcineurin, and serum response factor (SRF) activates protein synthesis but some signaling also inhibits protein degradation at the same time. Although various studies using in vitro cell cultures and in vivo rodent models have revealed candidates for proteins that modulate the hypertrophic process in muscle fibers after mechanical loading, these findings do not necessarily apply to the adaptations that occur in human muscle. In this review, we discuss several possible factors regulating muscle hypertrophy, and the adaptations of human muscle after resistance training, a model of mechanical loading.
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Introduction

In humans, skeletal muscle is the most abundant tissue in the body comprising 40-50% of body mass and playing vital roles in locomotion, heat production during periods of cold stress, and overall metabolism. Skeletal muscle is composed of bundles of muscle fibers called fascicles. The cell membrane surrounding the muscle cell is the sarcolemma, and beneath the sarcolemma lies the sarcoplasm, which contains the cellular proteins, organelles, and myofilbrils: the titin actin filament and the thicker myosin filament. The arrangement of these protein filaments gives skeletal muscle is striated appearance.

Skeletal muscle is capable of remarkable adaptations in response to altered activity. These adjustments to mechanical and metabolic demands elicit marked modifications of gene expression that could lead to gain (hypertrophy) or loss (atrophy) of muscle mass. Whereas endurance training leads to minor changes in skeletal muscle mass, strength training induces marked hypertrophy of exercising muscles. Histochemical analyses clearly show a 10 to 30% increase in muscle fiber cross-sectional area after 10-12 weeks of resistance training in sedentary subjects [1].

Satellite cells are resident myogenic stem cells found in postnatal skeletal muscle, accounting for 3-9% of the sublaminal nuclei associated with adult normal muscle fiber [2], with the variation widely depending on animal species, age, muscle fiber type, and longitudinal location of the cell along the fiber [3,4]. Satellite cells, existing between the basal lamina and the sarcolemma of the fiber [5], are normally found in a mitotically and metabolically quiescent or dormant state most of the time in adult muscles [6,7]. When muscle is injured or mechanically stretched, satellite cells activate to enter the cell cycle from a protracted G1 state (often referred to as G0). Activated satellite cells have been shown to migrate to the damaged site where they replicate DNA, divide, differentiate, and fuse with the adjacent muscle fiber or form new fibers [4,8].

It has been reported that satellite cells are activated in compensatory hypertrophy [8,9], and addition of new nuclei to the growing fiber seems to be required for extreme hypertrophy. Since the myonuclear domain is constant in hypertrophied muscle after mechanical overloading [10,11], many satellite cells must be incorporated adjacent to muscle fibers. In fact, irradiation of satellite cells followed by a loading stimulus results in an attenuated increase in skeletal muscle mass and protein content [12]. Therefore, it is necessary for consecutive processes (the activation, proliferation, and differentiation of satellite cells) to elicit muscle hypertrophy in the case of mechanical overloading as well as normal growth. However, several researchers recently suggested satellite cell-independent muscle hypertrophy during mechanical
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In hyper trophyed muscle, increasing protein synthesis and decreasing protein degradation are also important events. PI3-K (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase)/Akt/mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) signaling has been shown to be crucial to protein synthesis [15,16]. Mechanical stretching in vivo and in vitro activates SRF-dependent signaling in skeletal muscle similar to smooth and cardiac muscles [17,18]. Although many factors have been shown to influence the hypertrophic process in skeletal muscle, most studies have been conducted using an in vitro culture system [19,20] or rodent muscle [17,21,22]. In addition, the adaptations after resistance training would be clearly different to those for mechanical overloading, a common model of rodent muscle hypertrophy. In this review, we summarize possible candidates for proteins that regulate muscle hypertrophy. In addition, we describe recent findings regarding these candidates in human skeletal muscle after resistance training.

Modulators of muscle hypertrophy

Insulin-like growth factor-I: The anabolic effects of insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) have been demonstrated in both muscle cell lines and in vitro animal models [23-26]. For example, the addition of IGF-I to cultured myotubes results in an enlargement of myotube diameters and a higher protein content [25,26], while the delivery of IGF-I either through osmotic pumps or genetic overexpression results in increased muscular mass in rodents [23,27]. Mechanical loading also results in skeletal muscle synthesis of IGF-I [28-30] in vivo, which has led investigators to conclude that IGF-I is a critical factor involved in skeletal muscle hypertrophy. Resistance training also results in increased IGF-I mRNA expression in human skeletal muscle [31,32]. Pre-training levels of IGF-I expression have been shown to correlate most consistently with the post-training gains of muscle size and strength [33].

IGF-I is thought to induce muscle growth through the increased proliferation of satellite cells and the enhancement of protein translation resulting in an increase in the rate of protein synthesis [34]. In addition to stimulating the proliferation of myoblast proliferation, IGF-I stimulates their differentiation [35]. IGF-I also alters the transcription and translation of muscle factors that regulate myocyte growth or differentiation [36]. For example, IGF-I inhibits production of myogenin, a protein that stimulates muscle cell differentiation, thus allowing increased myoblast proliferation. However, its effects are biphasic because prolonged exposure to IGF-I results in increased myogenin expression, thus facilitating differentiation [37]. IGF-I also modulates the expression of MyoD, myocyte enhancer factor-2 (MEF2) and p21 to control differentiation.

Many investigators hypothesize that IGF-I expression induced by mechanical overloading is crucial to the hypertrophic process [38-40]. However, several studies indicated earlier activation of mTORC1 than enhancement of PI3-K/Akt [41] or Akt/mTOR activation not through IGF-I receptor-dependent signaling [42]. Although the possibility of IGF-I-dependent muscle hypertrophy after mechanical overloading cannot be excluded, further study is needed to elucidate the true role of IGF-I in muscle hypertrophy in vivo.

Hepatocyte growth factor and neuronal nitric oxide synthase: Two factors have been demonstrated to activate quiescent satellite cells. The first is hepatocyte growth factor (HGF). Early experiments using single muscle fibers with associated quiescent satellite cells have shown that growth factors, such as IGF-I and fibroblast growth factor (FGFs), do not activate satellite cells in fibers [43,44]. Although IGF-I and FGFs are reported to activate satellite cells, the studies involved typically used cultures of muscle cells that were not quiescent; IGF-I and FGFs increase the proliferative activity of satellite cells once they are activated, even when that activation results during the cell isolation process, i.e. prior to the plating of cells or fibers for culture. Moreover, platelet-derived growth factor BB, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), and epidermal growth factor do not stimulate quiescent cells to enter the cell cycle in vitro [45,46]. Therefore, HGF is the only growth factor that has been established to have the ability to stimulate quiescent satellite cells to enter the cell cycle early in a culture assay and in vivo [47,48]. HGF is localized to the extracellular domain of un-injured skeletal muscle fibers through a possible association with glycosaminoglycan chains of proteoglycans that are essential components of the extracellular matrix, and following injury, quickly associates with satellite cells [49].

The second component shown to be involved in satellite cell activation is nitric oxide (NO), a short-lived free radical that is well known as a freely diffusible and ubiquitous molecule produced by nitric oxide synthase (NOSs) from the L-arginine of substrates. In skeletal muscle, neuronal NOS (nNOS, also called NOS-1) is localized to the sarcolemma of muscle fibers by association at its amino terminus with α1-syntrophin linked to the dystrophin cytoskeleton [50]. The NO radical is normally produced in very low level pulses by muscles under conditions where satellite cells are quiescent [51], and the expression and activity of constitutive NOS (cNOS) are up-regulated by exercise, loading injury, shear force, and mechanical stretch. Therefore, the NO radical is an exciting subject in the ‘mechanobiology’ of skeletal muscles that can respond to mechanical stimuli and initiate the molecular programs that ensure tissue growth, adaptation, and regeneration.

Studies in vitro and in vivo using rodent muscle have shown HGF and NO to regulate the activity of many satellite cells [48,49,52,53]. Although several studies have demonstrated an important role for HGF in satellite cells during muscle hypertrophy in vivo, only a few [54,55] have found HGF mRNA expression in the plantaris muscle to be up-regulated after functional overload. Just one study has indicated a role for HGF in human hypertrophied muscle. Shelmadine et al. [56] found an increase in the amount of phosphorylated c-Met, the receptor for HGF, after 28 days of resistance training [a program consisting of nine exercises such as bench press, shoulder shrugs, chest flies, biceps curls, i.e., 3 × 10RM (repetition maximum), 4 times/week]. Strangely, whether resistance training modulates the expression pattern of HGF in human muscle and/or satellite cells have not been investigated. In contrast, the amount of nNOS mRNA and protein has been demonstrated to be increased in rodent muscles after swim training (60 min twice/day for 3-4 week) [57] and acute eccentric exercise (downhill running) [58] and in human muscle after short-term (10 days) endurance training [59]. Similarly, it is unknown whether resistance training increases nNOS expression in human skeletal muscle. Therefore, the functional role of HGF and nNOS during muscle hypertrophy in humans needs to be clarified by further studies. It may be that the extent of mechanical stimulation by resistance training affects the expression of HGF and nNOS in human muscle.

Leukemia inhibitory factor: Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) is a newly discovered myokine [60], originally identified by its ability to induce the terminal differentiation of myeloid leukemic cells. Today, LIF is known to have a wide array of functions, including acting as a stimulus for platelet formation, the proliferation of hematopoietic cells, bone formation, neural survival and formation, muscle satellite cell proliferation and acute phase production by hepatocytes [61].

LIF is a long chain four α-helix bundle cytokine, which is highly glycosylated and may be present with a weight of 38-67 kDa, which can be deglycosylated to ~20 kDa [62,63]. Several tissues, including skeletal muscle, express LIF. LIF is constitutively expressed at a low level in type I muscle fibers [64,65] and is implicated in conditions affecting skeletal muscle growth and regeneration [64-66]. Production of the LIF protein is augmented in mechanically overloaded rat plantaris muscle and in denervated rat muscles [65], thus endogenous LIF production is modulated by factors influencing muscle activity. Furthermore, LIF restored the hypertrophic response to increased loading in LIF (-/-) mice, and has been denoted as an important factor in skeletal muscle hypertrophy [67].

In 1991, Austin and co-workers demonstrated that LIF stimulated myoblast proliferation in culture [68], thereby showing that LIF functions as a mitogenic growth factor when added to muscle precursor cells in vitro. To date, different groups have confirmed this finding and shown that LIF induces satellite cell and myoblast proliferation, while preventing premature differentiation, by activating a signaling cascade involving Janus kinase 1 (JAK1), signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) 1 and STAT3 [69,70]. In line with this, the specific LIF receptor is primarily expressed by satellite cells and not by mature muscle fibers [64]. Thus, it seems that LIF has the potential to affect satellite cells rather than mature muscle fibers.

Broholm and Pedersen [71] proposed the intriguing hypothesis that the primary function of LIF, as a contraction-induced myokine, is that of a mitogenic growth factor affecting nearby satellite cells in a paracrine fashion. Indeed, in primary human skeletal myocytes, Broholm et al. [60] observed a marked increase in both LIF mRNA and protein in response to a Ca²⁺ ionophore, ionomycin. Although Broholm et al. [60] also demonstrated that neuromuscular activity caused by endurance exercise (3 hours on a cycle ergometer at ~ 60% of VO₂max) elicited LIF mRNA expression in vastus lateralis muscle 0-3 hours postexercise, they did not observe a similar significant increase at the protein level. In contrast, our previous study [65] found that chronic mechanical overloading of rat plantaris muscle induced by ablation of synergists was followed by an increase in LIF protein from 2 to 14 days of overload. Broholm et al. [60] proposed that repetitive bouts of exercise are necessary to induce accumulation of the LIF protein in skeletal muscle. This may be supported by our finding that no LIF protein was detected in hypertrophied muscle on the first day [65]. It is unknown whether increased neuromuscular activity after resistance training up-regulates LIF mRNA and protein expression in human skeletal muscle. The functional role of LIF during muscle hypertrophy needs to be clarified further.

Myostatin and follistatin: Myostatin was first discovered during screening for novel members of the TGF-β superfamily, and shown to be a potent negative regulator of muscle growth [72]. Like other TGF-β family members, myostatin is synthesized as a precursor protein that is cleaved by furin proteases to generate the active C-terminal dimer. When produced in Chinese hamster ovary cells, the C-terminal dimer remains bound to the N-terminal propeptide, which remains in a latent, inactive state [73,74]. Most, if not all, of the myostatin protein that circulates in blood also appears to exist in an inactive complex with a variety of proteins, including the propeptide [75]. The latent form of myostatin seems to be activated in vitro by dissociation of the complex with either acid or heat treatment [74,75] or by proteolytic cleavage of the propeptide with members of the bone morphogenetic protein-1/tolloid family of metalloproteases [73].

Studies indicate that myostatin inhibits cell cycle progression and reduces levels of myogenic regulatory factors, thereby controlling myoblastic proliferation and differentiation during developmental myogenesis [76-78]. Mutations in myostatin can lead to massive hypertrophy and/or hyperplasia in developing animals [76]. Therefore, many researchers have focused on inhibiting myostatin for treating various muscle wasting disorders such as muscular dystrophy, sarcopenia, and cachexia [79,80]. Myostatin binds to and signals through a combination of Activin IIA/B receptors on the cell membrane, but has higher affinity for ActRIIB. On binding to ActRIIB, myostatin forms a complex with a second type I receptor, either activin receptor-like kinase (ALK4 or ActRIIB) or ALK5 to stimulate the phosphorylation of receptor Smad and the Smad2/3 transcription factors in the cytoplasm. Then Smad2/3 are translocated and modulate the nuclear transcription of genes such as the MyoD gene [81]. In contrast, forkhead box O (FOXO) 1 and Smad2 appear to control the differentiation of C2C12 myoblasts by regulating myostatin mRNA and its promoters [81]. More recently, the IGF-I-Akt-mTOR pathway, which mediates both differentiation in myoblasts and hypertrophy in myotubes, has been shown to inhibit myostatin-dependent signaling. Blockade of the Akt-mTOR pathway, using siRNA to RAPTOR, a component of TORC1 (TOR signaling complex 1), facilitates myostatin’s inhibition of muscle differentiation because of an increase in Smad2 phosphorylation [82]. In contrast, Smad2/3 inhibition promotes muscle hypertrophy partially dependent on mTOR signaling [83].

Considerable progress has been made in terms of understanding how myostatin activity is regulated extracellularly by binding proteins [84]. One of these regulatory proteins is follistatin, which can act as a potent myostatin antagonist. Follistatin has been shown capable of blocking endogenous myostatin activity in vivo, as transgenic mice overexpressing follistatin specifically in skeletal muscle exhibited dramatic increases in muscle growth comparable to those seen in myostatin-knockout mice [73,85]. More recently, Hansen et al. [86] conducted acute exercise using a bicycle or one-legged knee extensor exercise for healthy subjects, and acute swimming (1 hour) for mice. They found that the plasma follistatin level increased after acute exercise in all cases. Interestingly, their Western blotting and real-time PCR analysis results showed marked increases in follistatin mRNA and protein in the liver but not skeletal muscle of the mice. Since the up-regulation in liver was lost by the modulation of plasma epinephrine, Hansen et al. [86] proposed muscle-liver cross talk during exercise. Jensky et al. [87] also reported that the amount of follistatin as well as myostatin mRNA did not change after single-leg maximal eccentric or concentric isokinetic knee extension exercise for young women. Many previous studies demonstrated a marked reduction of myostatin expression with resistance training [88-92], although some studies have failed to obtain a positive effect [93,94]. More recently, Dennis et al. [33] showed that higher myostatin transcript levels at baseline were predictive of positive training outcomes, which supports the “novel paradox” proposed by Kim et al. [90], whereby individuals with higher baseline levels of the “antigrowth factor” myostatin have greater potential for muscle growth. Myostatin downregulation after acute exercise was strongly associated with muscle mass gains after training [95], and the magnitude of myostatin suppression by training was strongly related to strength gains [33].

Intracellular modulators

P13-K-Akt-mTOR: A central pathway involved in hypertrophy is regulated at the translational level by serine/threonine kinase Akt (or PKB). In muscle, Akt is activated by the upstream P13-K, induced either by receptor binding or by integrin-mediated activation of focal adhesion kinase, such as in cardiac myocytes [96,97]. P13-K activates Akt, which
then has the ability to phosphorylate and change the activity of many signaling molecules. Among these are the mTOR and glycogen synthase 3-β (GSK-3β), which play a crucial role in the regulation of translation [98]. Akt activates mTOR via phosphorylation and inactivation of tuberous sclerosis complex-2 (TSC-2) [99]. Subsequently, mTOR phosphorylates and activates the 70 kDa ribosomal protein S6 kinase (p70S6K), which results in increased translation either directly or indirectly by activating initiation and elongation factors, eIF-2, eIF-4E (through 4E-BP) and eEF-2 [21]. In addition, Akt also phosphorylates and inactivates GSK-3β, thereby activating translation via initiation factor eIF-2B [100]. Other functions of Akt include the negative regulation of protein degradation via inhibition of FOXO-mediated proteosomal activity [101]. However, Akt has also been associated with up-regulation of the proteasome through activation of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) in a PI3-K-dependent process [102].

Several researchers have investigated the adaptive changes in Akt-mTOR-p70S6K signaling after resistance training [103-106]. For example, Mascher et al. [105] had male subjects (23 ± 1 year) conduct resistance exercise composed of four sets of 10 repetitions at a workload corresponding to ~ 80% of 1RM, and conducted muscle biopsies before and 15 min, 1 h, and 2 h after the exercise. They found several fold increases in the phosphorylation of mTOR at Ser421, p70S6K at Ser381, Thr386, and Thr425, and ribosomal protein S6, which persisted for up to 2 h of recovery. Eight weeks of resistance training (leg presses, squats, and leg extensions) has been shown to increase the phosphorylation (activation) of Akt-1 at Ser473, and mTOR at Ser424, but not p70S6K at Thr386 [104]. Although it was not determined whether such activation is attributable to IGF-I induced by resistance exercise or solely mechanical signaling not via IGF-I, Akt-mTOR-p70S6K signaling appears to play a central role in exercise-induced muscle hypertrophy.

**Calcineurin:** During hypertrophy, the binding of IGF-I to its receptor initiates a cascade of signaling events, which result in muscle growth. The major pathway believed to be involved in the onset of hypertrophy is PI3-K/Akt/mTOR/p70S6K, as eloquently reviewed by Glass [15,16]. Recently however, a Ca²⁺-dependent pathway and its downstream mediators have also been implicated in the adaptive responses seen during hypertrophy. It has been demonstrated that inhibition of calcineurin by cyclosporine A (CsA) results in inhibition of the rapid growth of all fiber types in the overloaded muscle of the mouse [107] through the downstream targets myogenin, utrophin A, the Id family, and myostatin [108-112].

The potent effect of calcineurin upon hypertrophy has further been demonstrated in cell culture. In cell lines, which received CsA, satellite cell differentiation was inhibited. Conversely, in cells administered a constitutively active calcineurin, the differentiation process was enhanced [113]. These results would suggest that calcineurin-dependent signaling is capable of directly targeting satellite cells. However, while satellite cell activity is undoubtedly of importance in overload-related muscle adaptations, it does not appear to be dependent solely upon calcineurin activity [114].

Calcineurin-dependent signaling has been shown to a major modulator for the hypertrophy in slow-type soleus muscle [115-117]. During muscular hypertrophy in vivo, potential downstream targets for the effects of calcineurin are myostatin and MEF2C. Studies in mice have found decreased myostatin mRNA levels in animals with compromised calcineurin signaling compared to wild-type controls [118]. The hypertrophy-defective soleus muscle treated with CsA possessed a lower level of the MEF2C but not MEF2D protein in the subsarcolemmal region in a group of myotubes and/or myofibers during an active-differentiation period (4 days postsurgery) [119]. Two recent findings [120,121] clearly showed that MEF2C is required for thick filaments to form in nascent muscle fibers and for the integrity of the sarcomere and M-line during postnatal muscle growth, by directly regulating several muscle structural genes such as the genes for myomesin, myosin heavy chain (MHC) and myosin light chain.

Endurance training has been shown to increase the expression of calcineurin and calcineurin-downstream candidates [122-124], whereas it has not been studied until recent years whether resistance training modulates calcineurin expression levels in mammalian skeletal muscles. Using twenty-nine physically active male subjects, Lamas et al. [125] tested whether the hypertrophy of skeletal muscle caused by strength and power training included an increase in calcineurin expression. In their study, the strength group trained with an intensity of between 10 and 4 RM, while the power training group trained with an intensity of between 30% and 60% of 1RM. Eight-week progressive training regimens elicited a significant increase in strength and muscle fiber hypertrophy, but there was no change in calcineurin mRNA levels in the vastus lateralis muscle after the training. This data may support our hypothesis of a limited role for calcineurin in the hypertrophy of slow-type soleus muscle.

**Serum response factor-dependent signaling:** Serum response factor (SRF) is a ubiquitously expressed member of the MADS (MCM1, Agamous, Deficiens, SRF) box transcription factor family, sharing a highly conserved DNA-binding/dimerization domain, which binds the core sequence of SRF/CaRg boxes [CC (A/T)6 GG] as homodimers [126]. Functional CaRg boxes have been found in the cis-regulatory regions of various muscle-specific genes, such as the skeletal α-actin [127], muscle creatine kinase, dystrophin, tropomyosin, and myosin light chain 1/3 [128] genes. SRF-dependent signaling plays a major role in a variety of physiological processes, including cell growth, migration, and cytoskeletal organization [129]. Recent results obtained with specific SRF knockout models by the Cre-LoxP system, emphasize a crucial role for SRF in postnatal skeletal muscle growth and regeneration by modulating interleukin-4 and IGF-I mRNA expression [130]. SRF also enhances the hypertrophic process in muscle fibers after mechanical overloading [17,22]. For example, we showed that in mechanically overloaded plantaris muscle of rats, the SRF protein co-localized with MyoD and myogenin in myoblast-like cells during the active differentiation phase [22].

It is proposed that the transcriptional activity of SRF is regulated by muscle ring finger (MuRF)-2 [131] and striated muscle activators of Rho signaling (STARS) [132,133]. At the M-band, the mechanically modulated kinase domain of titin interacts with a complex of the protein products of the atrogenes NBR1, p62/SQSTM-1 and the MuRFs [131,134]. This complex dissociates under mechanical arrest, and MuRF-1 and MuRF-2 translocate to the cytoplasm and the nucleus [131,135]. One of the probable nuclear targets of MuRFs is SRF [131], suggesting that the MuRF-induced nuclear export and transcriptional repression of SRF may contribute to amplifying the transcriptional atrophy program [136].

Thus, it is possible that the synergistic transactivation of SRF and SRF-linked molecules is abrogated by MuRF-2 in vivo. On the other hand, SRF activity is exquisitely sensitive to the state of actin polymerization. G-actin monomers inhibit SRF activity, whereas polymerization of actin in response to serum stimulation and RhoA signaling. In this pathway, signal inputs lower the ratio of globular actin to fibrilar actin thereby liberating the binding of myocardin-related transcription factor-A (MRTF-A) to globular actin resulting
in the nuclear accumulation of MRTF-A and subsequent SRF-dependent gene expression [137]. It has been well established that the overexpression of STARS contribute to the nuclear translocation of MRTF-A and MRTF-B [133,138], and then these factors activate SRF transcription.

Lamon et al. [139] demonstrated that 8 weeks of resistance training (leg presses, squats, leg extensions) induced increases in SRF mRNA (3-fold) and nuclear protein (1.25-fold) in the vastus lateralis muscle. In the same training period, they also observed a similar increase in the mRNA levels of several SRF-target molecules (α-actin [127], MHC IIa [140], and IGF-1 [130]). They proposed the induction of these molecules by SRF in human hypertrophied muscle, though they did not provide any direct evidence such as transcriptional activation by increased binding of SRF to these SRF-targeted promoter regions. Figure 1 provides an overview of various regulators for both protein synthesis and the participation of satellite cells in hypertrophied muscle after mechanical loading.

PGC-1α: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)-γ coactivator-1α (PGC-1α) was originally identified as a transcriptional co-activator of PPAR-γ induced by cold exposure in brown adipose tissue [141]. It has since been shown to co-activate several nuclear hormone receptors and transcription factors (e.g., estrogen-related receptor, PGC-1α, nuclear respiratory factor-1, and MEF-2) which leads to an up-regulation of mitochondrial gene expression and an increase in mitochondrial DNA in tissues such as skeletal muscle [142]. The expression of PGC-1α in skeletal muscle is greatly influenced by levels of physical activity with endurance exercise increasing PGC-1α expression [143] and physical inactivity leading to decreased expression [144].

PGC-1α has been implicated in the regulation of skeletal muscle mass, particularly under conditions of muscle atrophy [145]. For example, PGC-1α expression decreases in a multitude of different muscle atrophic models [145-149], although some models have shown increases in PGC-1α expression [150,151]. Recently, it was proposed that a decrease in PGC-1α mRNA, prior to the induction of denervation-induced muscle atrophy, may contribute to ensuing...
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28. Devol DL, Rotwein P, Sadow JL, Novakofski J, Bechtle PJ (1990) Activation of muscle atrophy [145]. To date, however, no studies have demonstrated that a decrease in muscle-specific knockout of PGC-1α does not exacerbate denervation-induced atrophy, nor increase the expression of atrogen-1 and MuRF-1 [152]. Thus, notwithstanding potential compensatory adaptations in these transgenic models, to date, there is little support for the hypothesis that the loss of PGC-1α expression per se is sufficient to induce muscle atrophy.

Significant evidence exists that increased expression of PGC-1α is sufficient to inhibit increases in protein degradation and protect skeletal muscle mass from various atrophic stimuli. For example, transient overexpression of PGC-1α in vivo blocks c-FOXO3-induced muscle fiber atrophy, and transgenic muscle-specific PGC-1α overexpression protects against denervation- and fasting-induced atrophy, an effect associated with a blunting of the expression of atrogen-1, MuRF1 and cathepsin L [145]. Moreover, PGC-1α overexpression also protects aging muscle from sarcopenia and is associated with reduced apoptotic markers and suppression of autophagy and ubiquitin-proteasome system genes [153]. The anti-atrophic effects of PGC-1α may be due, at least in part, to the suppression of FOXO3’s binding to, and activation of, genes such as the atrogen-1 gene [145,146,154]. NF-kB, a transcription factor also implicated in skeletal muscle atrophy [155,156], has recently been shown to be profoundly inhibited by PGC-1α overexpression in vivo [145], which could explain some of the PGC-1α’s effect.

Summary

Studies of muscle biology using cell culture and rodent muscles over the past decade have advanced our understanding of the functional role of mechanical loading in skeletal muscle hypertrophy. However, these findings do not always correspond to human muscle adaptations after resistance training. PI3-K-Akt/mTOR signaling seems to be crucial to muscle hypertrophy after both mechanical loading in rodents and resistance training in humans irrespective of IGF-I-dependent pathways. Although in rodents, HGF and LIF are well-known mediators for switching, activating or increasing the number of satellite cells during muscle hypertrophy, it needs to be demonstrated whether these factors function similarly in human muscle after resistance training. The induction of PGC-1α expression by gene manipulation has been shown to prevent age-related muscle wasting in mice. Currently, resistance training with or without amino acids is used not only to promote muscle hypertrophy in young athletes but also to prevent age-related muscle wasting and weakness. It remains to be elucidated whether resistance training-induced PGC-1α expression increases muscle mass in the young or elderly.
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