

Published in final edited form as:

*Curr Opin Chem Biol.* 2014 October ; 0: 129–136. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.09.013.

## Advances in the directed evolution of proteins

**Michael D. Lane and Burckhard Seelig\***

Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, and Biophysics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA & BioTechnology Institute, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA

### Abstract

Natural evolution has produced a great diversity of proteins that can be harnessed for numerous applications in biotechnology and pharmaceutical science. Commonly, specific applications require proteins to be tailored by protein engineering. Directed evolution is a type of protein engineering that yields proteins with the desired properties under well-defined conditions and in a practical time frame. While directed evolution has been employed for decades, recent creative developments enable the generation of proteins with previously inaccessible properties. Novel selection strategies, faster techniques, the inclusion of unnatural amino acids or modifications, and the symbiosis of rational design approaches and directed evolution continue to advance protein engineering.

### Introduction

Synthetic biology describes the engineering of biological parts and whole systems by either modifying natural organisms or building new biosystems from scratch. To date, most proteins used as parts in synthetic biology are taken from nature. Utilizing naturally evolved proteins has led to numerous successful applications in biotechnology. Nevertheless, these applications invariably benefit from an optimization of the original natural proteins by protein engineering [1]. In contrast, building entirely artificial proteins that do not resemble natural proteins is still a major challenge [2–4] and therefore much less common than the engineering of natural proteins for new or improved properties.

Protein engineering has developed into a multi-faceted field with hundreds of publications in the last two years alone. This field encompasses a variety of approaches for creating desired protein properties, ranging from purely computational design to selecting proteins from entirely random polypeptide libraries. Due to the incredible breadth of the field, and to enable us to focus on recent advances, we will direct the reader to excellent reviews on the fundamentals of directed evolution technologies [5–9] and computational protein design [10–12]. This review will therefore focus on the latest developments in the directed evolution of proteins (Figure 1).

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

\*Corresponding author: Seelig, Burckhard (seelig@umn.edu).

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## Advancing selection technologies

In any directed evolution experiment, the isolation of the desired protein from a library of gene variants is the crucial step. Many efforts have been made to push the boundaries of evolution schemes, attempting to create better protein libraries, new selection systems with improved features, and faster selection procedures (Figure 2).

### Maximizing library quality

The chance of discovering desired protein variants is directly related to the quality and complexity of the starting library. For example, random mutations that destabilize a protein can be detrimental. Therefore, building libraries with a high potential of containing functional proteins is vital. ‘Smarter’ libraries have been pursued that are less complex but of high-quality [13]. To build those libraries, targeted mutagenesis guided by structural or phylogenetic information, the use of compensatory stabilizing mutations and other approaches have successfully been applied [14,15]. Alternatively, a library maximizing complexity while enriching for well-folded proteins was constructed based on one of nature’s most common enzyme folds, the ( $\beta/\alpha$ )<sub>8</sub> barrel fold. All residues on the catalytic face of the protein scaffold were randomized and, simultaneously, the library was enriched for protease resistance by an mRNA display selection, which has been correlated with well-folded and therefore more likely functional proteins [16•].

### Refining selection steps

*In vivo* directed evolution of membrane proteins has been challenging due to toxicity of either the membrane protein or the selection conditions. Liposome display is a new method that has enabled *in vitro* directed evolution of toxic integral membrane proteins [17••]. This approach creates giant unilamellar liposomes and encapsulates a single DNA molecule along with a cell-free translation system. Each liposome will therefore display many copies of a single variant. Coupling protein activity to a fluorescent signal enables subsequent sorting by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). This approach was applied to evolve an  $\alpha$ -hemolysin mutant with pore-forming activity 30-fold greater than wild-type. In addition to membrane protein toxicity, selection conditions can be challenging when using lipid-based barriers, for example when selecting for stability in detergent. To overcome this issue, a cellular high-throughput encapsulation, solubilization, and screening method (CHESS) was developed to screen a library of G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) variants [18•]. GPCRs are an important group of drug targets. A library of 10<sup>8</sup> variants was expressed in *E. coli* and the cells were then encapsulated in a polymer. The cells were lysed, but the “nano-container” trapped the GPCR variants along with their encoding DNA while allowing free diffusion of fluorescent ligands and thereby enabling FACS. With this technique, functional receptors were identified in the presence of the detergent of choice.

The use of bead display for directed evolution has been limited by very few copies of DNA or displayed protein [19–23]. Recently, a “megavalent” bead surface display (BeSD) system was developed to allow the display of protein and its encoding DNA in defined quantities up to a million copies per bead [24]. This method combines advantages of *in vitro* selections

with multivalency of *in vivo* display systems, enabling the ranking and sorting of the output variants of an *in vitro* selection by flow cytometry.

Protease enzymes have a tremendous potential in medicine and biotechnology but engineering their activities via directed evolution for altered specificity, instead of simply broadening activity, has been successful until recently in only a few select cases using *E. coli* cell surface display of the *E. coli* outer membrane protease T [25]. This system is limited to the relatively few bacterial proteases that can be displayed and active on the prokaryote's cell surface. To enable the engineering of more complex mammalian proteases, yeast surface display was modified to evolve novel protease specificity. In the revised system, both the protease variants and a yeast adhesion receptor were colocalized inside the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) through attached signal sequences [26•]. Successful proteolytic cleavage of a linker region detached the ER retention signal and enabled the yeast surface display of the adhesion receptor including its FLAG tag, which was then identified by anti-FLAG antibodies. Counter-selection tags were also incorporated to improve the selectivity of resulting protease variants. This method was used to alter the specificity of tobacco etch virus protease, as well as granzyme K and hepatitis C virus protease, and was even modified to demonstrate in principle the selection of kinase activity.

A directed evolution approach was devised to improve the targeting specificity of an engineered methyltransferase. Methylation of only a single site in a target DNA was selected for by digesting with a target site-specific restriction endonuclease and a second, unusual restriction enzyme that digests DNA with two distally methylated sites [27]. This method identified methyltransferase variants that showed 80% methylation at the target site and less than 1% methylation at off-target sites.

Phage assisted continuous evolution (PACE) enables the sustained evolution of protein variants through hundreds of rounds of evolution in a week with little researcher intervention [28]. This method was used to probe evolutionary pathway independence by evolving RNA polymerases for various promoter specificities [29•]. RNA polymerases that initially recognized the T7 promoter were evolved to recognize T3 or SP6 promoters separately, and then a final hybrid promoter of T3 and SP6. The resulting RNA polymerases from the SP6 pathway were ~3–4-fold more active than those from the T3 pathway and further evolution did not diminish this gap. Sequencing at multiple steps along the evolutionary path further illuminated that the divergent populations were unable to converge to the same solution. This suggests that it may be beneficial to evolve through multiple subpopulations instead of a single large population. In additional work, PACE was improved to allow the modulation of selection stringency via engineering phage propagation to be dependent on the small molecule anhydrotetracycline [30•]. Further, the authors enabled counterselection to refine promoter specificity. The combination of these methods was used to create RNA polymerases with a 10,000-fold net change in promoter specificity. While this method now enables fast selections with advanced features such as counter-selection, it still can only be used to evolve proteins or activities that directly or indirectly involve expression, such as polymerase activity.

The use of chaperonins such as GroEL and GroES during directed evolution has been shown to allow more destabilizing mutations and mutations in the protein core to survive during evolution by stabilizing folding intermediates [31,32]. This chaperonin system was used to characterize the evolutionary pathway for a natural phosphotriesterase to a novel arylesterase [33•]. This study demonstrated for the first time on a molecular level how mutations found early during an evolutionary optimization yield larger improvements than later mutations. The results suggest that mutations seem to initially cluster near the active site and then radiate towards the rest of the enzyme to stabilize the early mutations.

### Improving speed of selection

Two different strategies have significantly expedited the directed evolution process for *in vitro* selections. In the first strategy, many rounds of selection were performed very quickly, followed by sequencing and characterization of relatively few output sequences. For this purpose, a modified version of mRNA display, named “TRAP display” was devised where the puromycin linker was attached simply via base pairing instead of covalent modification, enabling a round of selection in as little as 2.5 hours compared to the traditional 2–3 days [34•]. In just 14 hours and 6 rounds of selection, macrocyclic peptides with low nanomolar affinity against human serum albumin were selected.

In the second strategy, only a single round of stringent selection was performed, but then a large number of selected clones were analyzed by high-throughput sequencing to enable population-level statistical analysis. Following this approach, nanomolar affinity binders were identified after a single round of selection from the small protein scaffold 10Fn3 (10<sup>th</sup> fibronectin type III domain) with two random sequence loops, using the continuous flow magnetic separation mRNA display technology [35••]. The key to this approach was identifying the clones with the most enriched copy numbers after selection. In another example of the same strategy, multiple rounds of phage display biopanning of a heptapeptide library was performed against target cells, but high-throughput sequencing was performed at each step to assess the value of each round [36••]. Overall, a single round of screening was capable of identifying the best binders when sequenced at sufficient depth; and multiple rounds were only helpful in decreasing the background of non-binders when sequencing a small pool.

### Expanding the scope of selections to new properties

#### Photoresponsive binders

Biological systems engineered to use light-sensing components have attracted attention due to their vast potential applications and have been recently reviewed [37]. Similar efforts have developed photo-reactive peptide aptamers for future use with *in vitro* or *in vivo* photoregulation, immunoassays, or bio-imaging analyses. Ribosome display of peptides that contained azobenzene-modified lysine enabled the selection of UV-responsive streptavidin binders [38]. A different approach used a ribosome display scheme with a benzoxadiazole-modified phenylalanine to select for calmodulin binding peptides with single-digit micromolar affinity that fluoresce upon binding [39]. Two laboratories created cyclized peptides via azobenzene linkers to select for light-responsive peptides by phage display

technology. A peptide cyclized via azobenzene-modified cysteines flanking a randomized 7 residue peptide was capable of binding to streptavidin in the dark and cease binding upon irradiation with a 22-fold discrimination [40]. Likewise, a synthesized photoswitchable azobenzene-based cyclization compound enabled the identification of peptide binders with single-digit micromolar affinity and a 3-fold change in affinity upon UV exposure [41]. Photoresponsive peptide ligands can now be selected with large UV-induced binding affinity changes. In the future, *in vivo* activity of evolved ligands needs to be demonstrated to further their use in optogenetics.

### Selecting unnatural peptide binders

Binding peptides are valuable for a variety of purposes including detection assays and therapeutic applications. mRNA display and cDNA display have been used recently to create short peptide aptamers with unusual structure or composition, creating new diversity and thereby enabling the selection of binders with unique properties. In one approach, up to 12 unnatural amino acids were incorporated into an mRNA-displayed peptide library using a custom-mixed cell-free PURE translation system that was reconstituted from the purified components necessary for *E. coli* translation. The peptides were then cyclized via cysteine residues and the selection yielded unnatural peptides with nanomolar binding affinities for thrombin [42]. A related approach created mRNA-displayed lantipeptides by using a translation system where lysine was substituted with 4-selenalysine, and inducing post-translational elimination via H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and dehydroalanine. This provided an alternative cyclization mechanism for a drug candidate library and yielded binders with low micromolar affinity for Sortase A [43•]. Similarly, a cDNA display library was cyclized [44] and a phage display library was bi-cyclized [45] through disulfide bonds formed in cysteine-rich peptides and used to select for peptide aptamers. Additional work on macrocyclic peptide selections has been recently reviewed [46].

Unnatural amino acids have also been used to decorate peptides and evolve multivalent glycopeptides [47]. Alkyne-containing glycine residues were incorporated into an mRNA-displayed peptide library to enable glycosylation via click chemistry. A selection against a broadly-neutralizing antibody of HIV identified glycopeptides with potential as vaccine candidates.

### Multi-subunit protein selections

Multi-subunit proteins are common, but directed evolution of these has been limited to *in vivo* methods, as opposed to *in vitro* methods that are capable of screening much larger libraries. To address this issue, an mRNA-displayed Fab fragment was entrapped in emulsion PCR enabling the *in vitro* selection of heterodimeric Fab fragments [48•]. However, the emulsion step reduced the library complexity to a similar range as *in vivo* methods. To achieve an *in vitro* selection of multi-subunit proteins of potentially up to 10<sup>14</sup> variants, ribosome display was performed where one Fab subunit was randomized while the other subunit was held constant [49•]. This was carried out with both heavy chain and light chain libraries yielding tight binders to VEGF and CEA. While cell-surface display of multi-subunit proteins has been performed before, a notable advance is the description of a

mammalian cell-surface display that also features a titratable secretion of the same Fab fragments through alternate splicing of the pre-mRNA [50].

### **In vitro compartmentalization selections applied to new reactions**

The performance of *in vitro* compartmentalization methods has been improved in recent years with reported screening speeds of 2,000 droplets per second for water-in-oil emulsion screening [51•]. A number of creative protocols have been developed for this technology and applied to evolve enzymes capable of a range of chemical reactions. This includes a generalizable screen for hydrogenase activity [52], a selection for meganuclease specificity [53], an entirely microfluidic screen for hydrolytic activity of a sulfatase [54•], and a quantitative screen for glucose oxidase activity [55]. While the above methods predominantly used custom-made microfluidic chips to sort their water-in-oil emulsions, another study described a generalizable method to produce water-in-oil-in-water emulsions that can be sorted by standard fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) equipment [56•]. This protocol enabled the generation of monodisperse double emulsions at 6–12,000 droplets per second, which can be stored for months to years and manipulated to adjust their volume as needed. These droplets can be sorted in a commercial FACS-machine at 10–15,000 droplets per second, while enriching active variants by up to 100,000 fold. The downside to most IVC methods is that fluorescence must be linked to product formation.

### **Combining directed evolution with rational design**

The combination of rational design to create informed libraries of variants with directed evolution to refine activity and efficiency has become a recurrent theme in protein engineering. A good example is the optimization of a computationally designed Kemp eliminase by directed evolution. Through rounds of error prone PCR, DNA shuffling, and site-directed mutagenesis, this artificial protein was refined to yield an enzyme that accelerated the reaction  $6 \times 10^8$ -fold, approaching the efficiency of natural enzymes [57••].

In another study evolving an unrelated artificial Kemp eliminase, stabilizing consensus mutations were added during the library generation process. This stabilization facilitated the identification of a variant with >2,000-fold improved catalytic efficiency after rounds of DNA shuffling, error prone PCR and selection [58•]. Furthermore, an artificial Diels-Alderase was evolved by combining mutations from different rational design variants with rounds of error prone PCR. The modestly active original enzyme was thereby turned into a proficient biocatalyst for this abiological [4+2] cycloaddition reaction [59].

Cytochrome P450-derived enzymes can perform a variety of reactions and have been engineered to improve multiple properties [60]. A collection of cytochrome P450 mutants was screened for cyclopropanation of styrenes, and optimized through informed site-directed mutagenesis [61••]. This enzymatic activity has not been observed in nature but is very useful to synthetic chemists. The work presents a great example how catalytic promiscuity of enzymes can be exploited. Using chemical intuition, the active site of one of the collection of cytochrome P450s was rationally re-designed to change the reduction potential of the heme-bound  $\text{Fe}^{\text{II/III}}$ , which allowed the efficient NAD(P)H-driven cyclopropanation while suppressing the native monooxygenation activity [62]. The modification therefore



enabled the use of the P450 variant as a whole-cell catalyst. In another case, high regio- and stereoselective hydroxylation of unactivated C-H bonds by a cytochrome P450 enzyme was achieved through a creative combination of active site mutagenesis, high-throughput “fingerprinting” to identify functionally diverse variants, and fingerprint-driven reactivity predictions [63••].

A mononuclear zinc metalloenzyme was computationally redesigned and then evolved with a combination of saturation mutagenesis and error prone PCR to create a variant that was 2,500-fold better than the initial design [64]. Structure-guided design of libraries of paraoxonase 1 and directed evolution led to variants capable of up to 340-fold higher catalytic efficiency for toxic isomers of G-type nerve agents [65]. Similarly, iterative saturation mutagenesis was used to evolve variants of phosphotriesterase capable of hydrolyzing V-type nerve agents with a 230-fold improvement of catalytic efficiency [66]. In a separate effort to create a toxin-neutralizing protein, a unique catalytic triad was computationally designed and subsequently optimized by yeast display. The resulting protein reacted with a fluorophosphonate probe at rates comparable to natural serine hydrolases, yet it was incapable of catalytic turnover [67].

Rational design has also been used to construct whole artificial protein scaffolds. In some cases, directed evolution was applied to these artificial structures to select for desired functions. Proteins from a combinatorial library of artificial four-helix bundle proteins were found to function *in vivo* by rescuing *E. coli* strains that lacked a conditionally essential gene [68]. In addition, select four-helix bundle proteins bound to heme and exhibited peroxidase activity. This activity was improved by random mutagenesis and directed evolution [69]. Using structural principles of natural repeat proteins, designed ankyrin repeat proteins (DARPin) have been built and shown to function as artificial antibody mimetics. Recently, a flexibility loop and additional randomized regions were incorporated, creating the LoopDARPin scaffold [70•]. A library based on this improved scaffold design yielded picomolar binding proteins after only a single round of selection by ribosome display.

## Conclusion

With the investment of sufficient resources and determination, directed evolution generally appears to yield desired improvements of protein properties, sometimes producing remarkable results [71]. Therefore, one might be tempted to consider protein engineering a mature field. But those success stories mainly apply to improving or changing proteins that were provided by natural evolution. In contrast, the generation of novel activities without natural precedent is still in its infancy, although several examples have been reported [2,6,10,61,72,73]. Achieving the synthetic biology goal of integrating artificial proteins into biological systems will introduce additional challenges, which again can be overcome with the help of directed evolution [9,74].

## Acknowledgments

We thank RJ Kazlauskas, JC Haugner, A Morelli, S Dremel and DJ Morrone for critical reading of the manuscript. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Biocatalysis Initiative of the BioTechnology Institute at the University of Minnesota, the Minnesota Medical Foundation, the US National Aeronautics and Space

Administration (NASA) NNX14AK29G and Agreement NNX09AH70A through the NASA Astrobiology Institute - Ames Research Center, the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) (GM108703; MSTP grant T32 GM008244 to MDL), and the American Heart Association (MDL).

## References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

• of special interest

•• of outstanding interest

1. Bornscheuer UT, Huisman GW, Kazlauskas RJ, Lutz S, Moore JC, Robins K. Engineering the third wave of biocatalysis. *Nature*. 2012; 485:185–194. [PubMed: 22575958]
2. Urvoas A, Valerio-Lepiniec M, Minard P. Artificial proteins from combinatorial approaches. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2012; 30:512–520. [PubMed: 22795485]
3. Chiarabelli C, Vrijbloed JW, De Lucrezia D, Thomas RM, Stano P, Polticelli F, Ottone T, Papa E, Luisi PL. Investigation of *de novo* totally random biosequences Part II On the folding frequency in a totally random library of *de novo* proteins obtained by phage display. *Chem Biodivers*. 2006; 3:840–859. [PubMed: 17193317]
4. Chao F-A, Morelli A, Haugner JC, Churchfield L, Hagmann LN, Shi L, Masterson LR, Sarangi R, Veglia G, Seelig B. Structure and dynamics of a primordial catalytic fold generated by *in vitro* evolution. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2013; 9:81–83. [PubMed: 23222886]
5. Turner NJ. Directed evolution drives the next generation of biocatalysts. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2009; 5:567–573. [PubMed: 19620998]
6. Golynskiy MV, Seelig B. *De novo* enzymes: from computational design to mRNA display. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2010; 28:340–345. [PubMed: 20483496]
7. Brustad EM, Arnold FH. Optimizing non-natural protein function with directed evolution. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2011; 15:201–210. [PubMed: 21185770]
8. Davids T, Schmidt M, Bottcher D, Bornscheuer UT. Strategies for the discovery and engineering of enzymes for biocatalysis. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2013; 17:215–220. [PubMed: 23523243]
9. Cobb RE, Chao R, Zhao HM. Directed evolution: past, present, and future. *AIChE J*. 2013; 59:1432–1440.
10. Kries H, Blomberg R, Hilvert D. *De novo* enzymes by computational design. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2013; 17:221–228. [PubMed: 23498973]
11. Feldmeier K, Höcker B. Computational protein design of ligand binding and catalysis. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2013; 17:929–933. [PubMed: 24466576]
12. Damborsky J, Brezovsky J. Computational tools for designing and engineering enzymes. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2014; 19:8–16. [PubMed: 24780274]
13. Shivange AV, Marienhagen J, Mundhada H, Schenk A, Schwaneberg U. Advances in generating functional diversity for directed protein evolution. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2009; 13:19–25. [PubMed: 19261539]
14. Goldsmith M, Tawfik DS. Directed enzyme evolution: beyond the low-hanging fruit. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*. 2012; 22:406–412. [PubMed: 22579412]
15. Goldsmith M, Tawfik DS. Enzyme engineering by targeted libraries. *Methods Enzymol*. 2013; 523:257–283. [PubMed: 23422434]
- 16. Golynskiy MV, Haugner JC, Seelig B. Highly diverse protein library based on the ubiquitous ( $\beta/\alpha$ )<sub>8</sub> enzyme fold yields well-structured proteins through *in vitro* folding Selection. *Chem Bio Chem*. 2013; 14:1553–1563. A library of  $10^{12}$  soluble monomeric variants of nature's favorite TIM barrel enzyme fold was generated with all residues on the catalytic face randomized. This library can be used as starting point to evolve new enzyme activities.
- 17. Fujii S, Matsuura T, Sunami T, Kazuta Y, Yomo T. *In vitro* evolution of  $\alpha$ -hemolysin using a liposome display. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2013; 110:16796–16801. A generalizable approach to selecting membrane bound proteins was developed, combining giant unilamellar liposomes



with *in vitro* translation machinery and FACS. This enabled the *in vitro* selection of membrane bound proteins, which are commonly toxic to living host cells when using *in vivo* methods, as well as the selection of multimeric proteins. [PubMed: 24082135]

- 18. Scott DJ, Plückthun A. Direct molecular evolution of detergent-stable G protein-coupled receptors using polymer encapsulated cells. *J Mol Biol.* 2013; 425:662–677. The “cellular high-throughput encapsulation, solubilization, and screening” (CHESS) method was created to enable screening of solubilized GPCR variants for detergent resistance. [PubMed: 23164568]
- 19. Sepp A, Tawfik DS, Griffiths AD. Microbead display by *in vitro* compartmentalisation: selection for binding using flow cytometry. *FEBS Lett.* 2002; 532:455–458. [PubMed: 12482612]
- 20. Griffiths AD, Tawfik DS. Directed evolution of an extremely fast phosphotriesterase by *in vitro* compartmentalization. *The EMBO journal.* 2003; 22:24–35. [PubMed: 12505981]
- 21. Gan R, Yamanaka Y, Kojima T, Nakano H. Microbeads display of proteins using emulsion PCR and cell-free protein synthesis. *Biotechnol Prog.* 2008; 24:1107–1114. [PubMed: 19194920]
- 22. Gan R, Furuzawa S, Kojima T, Kanie K, Kato R, Okochi M, Honda H, Nakano H. Directed evolution of angiotensin II-inhibiting peptides using a microbead display. *J Biosci Bioeng.* 2010; 109:411–417. [PubMed: 20226387]
- 23. Paul S, Stang A, Lennartz K, Tenbusch M, Überla K. Selection of a T7 promoter mutant with enhanced *in vitro* activity by a novel multi-copy bead display approach for *in vitro* evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013; 41:e29. [PubMed: 23074193]
- 24. Diamante L, Gatti-Lafrancini P, Schaerli Y, Hollfelder F. *In vitro* affinity screening of protein and peptide binders by megavalent bead surface display. *Protein Eng Des Sel.* 2013; 26:713–724. [PubMed: 23980186]
- 25. Pogson M, Georgiou G, Iverson BL. Engineering next generation proteases. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2009; 20:390–397. [PubMed: 19709875]
- 26. Yi L, Gebhard MC, Li Q, Taft JM, Georgiou G, Iverson BL. Engineering of TEV protease variants by yeast ER sequestration screening (YESS) of combinatorial libraries. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2013; 110:7229–7234. A general strategy for engineering protease selectivity and activity was presented that used an elegant new twist to yeast surface display and FACS. As proof of principle, the selectivity of Tobacco Etch Virus protease was changed up to 5,000-fold while retaining high catalytic turnover. [PubMed: 23589865]
- 27. Chaikind B, Ostermeier M. Directed evolution of improved zinc finger methyltransferases. *PloS one.* 2014; 9:e96931. [PubMed: 24810747]
- 28. Esvelt KM, Carlson JC, Liu DR. A system for the continuous directed evolution of biomolecules. *Nature.* 2011; 472:499–503. [PubMed: 21478873]
- 29. Dickinson BC, Leconte AM, Allen B, Esvelt KM, Liu DR. Experimental interrogation of the path dependence and stochasticity of protein evolution using phage-assisted continuous evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2013; 110:9007–9012. Using PACE to explore multiple pathways of evolution of RNA polymerase, the authors found that their divergent populations were unable to converge to the same optimal solution, suggesting that evolution through multiple subpopulations may be beneficial compared to screening a single large population. [PubMed: 23674678]
- 30. Carlson JC, Badran AH, Guggiana-Nilo Da, Liu DR. Negative selection and stringency modulation in phage-assisted continuous evolution. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2014; 10:216–222. Further improvements on the remarkably rapid of phage-assisted continuous evolution (PACE) enable tunable stringency in each round as well as counter-selections for improved specificity. [PubMed: 24487694]
- 31. Tokuriki N, Tawfik DS. Chaperonin overexpression promotes genetic variation and enzyme evolution. *Nature.* 2009; 459:668–673. [PubMed: 19494908]
- 32. Wyganowski KT, Kaltenbach M, Tokuriki N. GroEL/ES buffering and compensatory mutations promote protein evolution by stabilizing folding intermediates. *J Mol Biol.* 2013; 425:3403–3414. [PubMed: 23810906]
- 33. Tokuriki N, Jackson CJ, Afriat-Jurnou L, Wyganowski KT, Tang R, Tawfik DS. Diminishing returns and tradeoffs constrain the laboratory optimization of an enzyme. *Nat Commun.* 2012; 3:1257. The evolutionary pathway was explored for a natural phosphotriesterase evolved into an

arylesterase, using the chaperonin system to stabilize evolutionary intermediates. This work highlighted that early mutations appear to yield greater functional gains. [PubMed: 23212386]

- 34. Ishizawa T, Kawakami T, Reid PC, Murakami H. TRAP display: a high-speed selection method for the generation of functional polypeptides. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2013; 135:5433–5440. A clever modification of mRNA display and streamlining of all steps enabled 6 rounds of selection from libraries of up to  $10^{13}$  variants in just 14 hours. [PubMed: 23506421]
- 35. Olson CA, Nie J, Diep J, Al-Shyokh I, Takahashi TT, Al-Mawsawi LQ, Bolin JM, Elwell AL, Swanson S, Stewart R, et al. Single-round, multiplexed antibody mimetic design through mRNA display. *Angew Chem.* 2012; 51:12449–12453. Instead of the classic multiple rounds of selection until the pool is dominated by the desired proteins, only one stringent round of *in vitro* selection was performed, followed by high throughput sequencing. Statistical analysis of input and selected pool revealed nanomolar binders. This strategy can expedite the ligand discovery process for a range of selection techniques. [PubMed: 23125174]
- 36. 't Hoen, PaC; Jirka, SMG.; Ten Broeke, BR.; Schultes, Ea; Aguilera, B.; Pang, KH.; Heemskerk, H.; Aartsma-Rus, A.; van Ommen, GJ.; den Dunnen, JT. Phage display screening without repetitious selection rounds. *Anal Biochem.* 2012; 421:622–631. Similar to [35], this study determined that a single round of phage display was adequate to identify successful binders if the library is sequenced in sufficient depth. Further rounds of selection improved results if only a small pool was sequenced by decreasing the background of non-binders. [PubMed: 22178910]
- 37. Olson EJ, Tabor JJ. Optogenetic characterization methods overcome key challenges in synthetic and systems biology. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2014; 10:502–511. [PubMed: 24937068]
- 38. Liu M, Tada S, Ito M, Abe H, Ito Y. *In vitro* selection of a photo-responsive peptide aptamer using ribosome display. *Chem Commun.* 2012; 48:11871–11873.
- 39. Wang W, Uzawa T, Tochio N, Hamatsu J, Hirano Y, Tada S, Saneyoshi H, Kigawa T, Hayashi N, Ito Y, et al. A fluorogenic peptide probe developed by *in vitro* selection using tRNA carrying a fluorogenic amino acid. *Chem Commun.* 2014; 50:2962–2964.
- 40. Jafari MR, Deng L, Kitov PI, Ng S, Matochko WL, Tjhung KF, Zeberoff A, Elias A, Klassen JS, Derda R. Discovery of light-responsive ligands through screening of a light-responsive genetically encoded library. *ACS Chem Biol.* 2014; 9:443–450. [PubMed: 24195775]
- 41. Bellotto S, Chen S, Rentero Rebollo I, Wegner Ha, Heinis C. Phage selection of photoswitchable peptide ligands. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014; 136:5880–5883. [PubMed: 24702159]
- 42. Schlippe YVG, Hartman MCT, Josephson K, Szostak JW. *In vitro* selection of highly modified cyclic peptides that act as tight binding inhibitors. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:10469–10477. The incorporation of 12 non-proteinogenic amino acids into a library of  $10^{13}$  ribosomal peptides with subsequent macrocyclization was achieved. An mRNA display selection yielded low nanomolar protease inhibitors. This powerful technology has great potential for the discovery of novel therapeutics. [PubMed: 22428867]
- 43. Hofmann FT, Szostak JW, Seebeck FP. *In vitro* selection of functional lantipeptides. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:8038–8041. A combinatorial library of 100 billion lantipeptide variants was generated and selected for binders to Sortase A, a potential drug target. Using mRNA display, 4-selenalysine was introduced and a posttranslational modification formed the characteristic lanthionine macrocycle. [PubMed: 22545861]
- 44. Mochizuki Y, Nishigaki K, Nemoto N. Amino group binding peptide aptamers with double disulphide-bridged loops selected by *in vitro* selection using cDNA display. *Chem Commun.* 2014; 50:5608–5610.
- 45. Chen S, Rentero Rebollo I, Buth Sa, Morales-Sanfrutos J, Touati J, Leiman PG, Heinis C. Bicyclic peptide ligands pulled out of cysteine-rich peptide libraries. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2013; 135:6562–6569. [PubMed: 23560397]
- 46. Passioura T, Katoh T, Goto Y, Suga H. Selection-based discovery of druglike macrocyclic peptides. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2014; 83:727–752. [PubMed: 24580641]
- 47. Horiya S, Bailey JK, Temme JS, Guillen Schlippe YV, Krauss IJ. Directed evolution of multivalent glycopeptides tightly recognized by HIV antibody 2G12. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014; 136:5407–5415. [PubMed: 24645849]
- 48. Sumida T, Yanagawa H, Doi N. *In vitro* selection of Fab fragments by mRNA display and gene-linking emulsion PCR. *J Nucleic Acids.* 2012; 2012:371379. *In vitro* compartmentalization


combined with mRNA display enabled the selection of binders from a library of heterodimeric, multi-subunit Fab proteins. [PubMed: 23050123]

- 49. Stafford RL, Matsumoto ML, Yin G, Cai Q, Fung JJ, Stephenson H, Gill A, You M, Lin S-H, Wang WD, et al. *In vitro* Fab display: a cell-free system for IgG discovery. *Protein Eng Des Sel*. 2014; 27:97–109. The authors demonstrated that *in vitro* selection of the multi-subunit Fab protein can be performed by displaying a single randomized subunit and adding a constant synthetic heavy or light chain subunit. [PubMed: 24586053]
- 50. Horlick, Ra; Macomber, JL.; Bowers, PM.; Neben, TY.; Tomlinson, GL.; Krapf, IP.; Dalton, JL.; Verdino, P.; King, DJ. Simultaneous surface display and secretion of proteins from mammalian cells facilitate efficient *in vitro* selection and maturation of antibodies. *J Biol Chem*. 2013; 288:19861–19869. [PubMed: 23689374]
- 51. Fallah-Araghi A, Baret J-C, Ryckelynck M, Griffiths AD. A completely *in vitro* ultrahigh-throughput droplet-based microfluidic screening system for protein engineering and directed evolution. *Lab Chip*. 2012; 12:882–891. The authors created an entirely *in vitro* compartmentalization system that highlights the broad potential application of utilizing microfluidic systems to evolve proteins. They achieved a screening rate of 2,000 events per second, which can be theoretically applied to many different types of reactions. [PubMed: 22277990]
- 52. Stapleton, Ja; Swartz, JR. Development of an *in vitro* compartmentalization screen for high-throughput directed evolution of [FeFe] hydrogenases. *PloS one*. 2010; 5:e15275. [PubMed: 21151915]
- 53. Takeuchi R, Choi M, Stoddard BL. Redesign of extensive protein-DNA interfaces of meganucleases using iterative cycles of *in vitro* compartmentalization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2014; 111:4061–4066. [PubMed: 24591643]
- 54. Kintses B, Hein C, Mohamed MF, Fischlechner M, Courtois F, Leine C, Hollfelder F. Picoliter cell lysate assays in microfluidic droplet compartments for directed enzyme evolution. *Chem Biol*. 2012; 19:1001–1009. Highly monodisperse droplets and the ability to lyse cells inside the droplet create essentially a miniaturized cell lysate screening assay. The resulting precise quantitative readout facilitated the differentiation of small differences in hydrolytic activity of a sulfatase. [PubMed: 22921067]
- 55. Ostafe R, Prodanovic R, Nazor J, Fischer R. Ultra-high-throughput screening method for the directed evolution of glucose oxidase. *Chem Biol*. 2014; 21:414–421. [PubMed: 24613019]
- 56. Zinchenko A, Devenish SRa, Kintses B, Colin P-Y, Fischlechner M, Hollfelder F. One in a million: flow cytometric sorting of single cell-lysate assays in monodisperse picolitre double emulsion droplets for directed evolution. *Analytical chemistry*. 2014; 86:2526–2533. A generalizable method was created to enable the sorting of *in vitro* compartmentalized variants through forming water-in-oil-in-water double emulsions and sorting with commercial FACS equipment. [PubMed: 24517505]
- 57. Blomberg R, Kries H, Pinkas DM, Mittl PRE, Grütter MG, Privett HK, Mayo SL, Hilvert D. Precision is essential for efficient catalysis in an evolved Kemp eliminase. *Nature*. 2013; 503:418–421. A computationally designed Kemp eliminase was improved through multiple methods of directed evolution, yielding an enzyme approaching the efficiency of natural enzymes. [PubMed: 24132235]
- 58. Khersonsky O, Kiss G, Röthlisberger D, Dym O, Albeck S, Houk KN, Baker D, Tawfik DS. Bridging the gaps in design methodologies by evolutionary optimization of the stability and proficiency of designed Kemp eliminase KE59. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012; 109:10358–10363. The authors incorporated stabilizing consensus mutations while generating their library of variants to improve the stability of evolutionary intermediates. After multiple rounds of evolution, this led to identification of an improved variant. [PubMed: 22685214]
- 59. Preiswerk N, Beck T, Schulz JD, Milovnik P, Mayer C, Siegel JB, Baker D, Hilvert D. Impact of scaffold rigidity on the design and evolution of an artificial Diels-Alderase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2014; 111. [PubMed: 24324143]
- 60. McIntosh JA, Farwell CC, Arnold FH. Expanding P450 catalytic reaction space through evolution and engineering. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2014; 19:126–134. [PubMed: 24658056]

- 61. Coelho PS, Brustad EM, Kannan A, Arnold FH. Olefin cyclopropanation via carbene transfer catalyzed by engineered cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Science*. 2013; 339:307–310. Catalytic promiscuity of cytochrome P450 enabled the engineering of enzymes for the highly diastereo- and enantioselective olefin cyclopropanation. This project was guided by chemical intuition and lead to an activity that has not been observed in nature but is synthetically important. [PubMed: 23258409]
62. Coelho PS, Wang ZJ, Ener ME, Baril Sa, Kannan A, Arnold FH, Brustad EM. A serine-substituted P450 catalyzes highly efficient carbene transfer to olefins *in vivo*. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2013; 9:485–487. [PubMed: 23792734]
- 63. Zhang K, Shafer BM, Demars MD, Stern Ha, Fasan R. Controlled oxidation of remote sp<sup>3</sup> C-H bonds in artemisinin via P450 catalysts with fine-tuned regio- and stereoselectivity. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134:18695–18704. A clever combination of active site mutagenesis, screening, multivariate analysis of screening results, and a second round of screening of a few variants led to enzymes with impressive selectivities. This generalizable method should also work for other substrates. [PubMed: 23121379]
64. Khare SD, Kipnis Y, Greisen P, Takeuchi R, Ashani Y, Goldsmith M, Song Y, Gallaher JL, Silman I, Leader H, et al. Computational redesign of a mononuclear zinc metalloenzyme for organophosphate hydrolysis. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2012; 8:294–300. [PubMed: 22306579]
65. Goldsmith M, Ashani Y, Simo Y, Ben-David M, Leader H, Silman I, Sussman JL, Tawfik DS. Evolved stereoselective hydrolases for broad-spectrum G-type nerve agent detoxification. *Chem Biol*. 2012; 19:456–466. [PubMed: 22520752]
66. Bigley AN, Xu C, Henderson TJ, Harvey SP, Raushel FM. Enzymatic neutralization of the chemical warfare agent VX. evolution of phosphotriesterase for phosphorothiolate hydrolysis. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135:10426–10432. [PubMed: 23789980]
67. Rajagopalan S, Wang C, Yu K, Kuzin AP, Richter F, Lew S, Miklos AE, Matthews ML, Seetharaman J, Su M, et al. Design of activated serine-containing catalytic triads with atomic-level accuracy. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2014; 10:386–391. [PubMed: 24705591]
68. Fisher, Ma; McKinley, KL.; Bradley, LH.; Viola, SR.; Hecht, MH. *De novo* designed proteins from a library of artificial sequences function in *Escherichia coli* and enable cell growth. *PloS one*. 2011; 6:e15364. [PubMed: 21245923]
69. Patel SC, Hecht MH. Directed evolution of the peroxidase activity of a *de novo*-designed protein. *Protein Eng Des Sel*. 2012; 25:445–451. [PubMed: 22665824]
- 70. Schilling J, Schöppe J, Plückthun A. From DARPins to LoopDARPins: novel LoopDARPin design allows the selection of low picomolar binders in a single round of ribosome display. *J Mol Biol*. 2014; 426:691–721. Expanding upon the capabilities of the designed ankyrin repeat proteins (DARPins), the authors designed an improved scaffold which incorporated additional flexibility and randomized regions. [PubMed: 24513107]
71. Savile CK, Janey JM, Mundorff EC, Moore JC, Tam S, Jarvis WR, Colbeck JC, Krebber A, Fleitz FJ, Brands J, et al. Biocatalytic asymmetric synthesis of chiral amines from ketones applied to sitagliptin manufacture. *Science*. 2010; 329:305–309. [PubMed: 20558668]
72. Keefe AD, Szostak JW. Functional proteins from a random-sequence library. *Nature*. 2001; 410:715–718. [PubMed: 11287961]
73. Seelig B, Szostak JW. Selection and evolution of enzymes from a partially randomized non-catalytic scaffold. *Nature*. 2007; 448:828–U813. [PubMed: 17700701]
74. Dougherty MJ, Arnold FH. Directed evolution: new parts and optimized function. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 2009; 20:486–491. [PubMed: 19720520]


### Highlights

- Advances in directed protein evolution during previous biennium are reviewed.
- Technology innovations enable evolution of previously inaccessible properties.
- Rapid selections or protein modifications expand engineering opportunities.
- Combining evolutionary with rational strategies benefits protein engineering.



**Figure 1.**  
Overview of directed evolution.





**Figure 2.**  
Overview of recent technical advances