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Molecular orchestration of differentiation and function of regulatory T cells

Li-Fan Lu² and Alexander Rudensky¹
Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Immunology Program, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10065, USA

During the last decade, a unique mechanism of negative regulation of immune responses and inflammation by a dedicated population of so-called regulatory T cells (Treg) has become a focus of intensive investigation. Through the discovery of transcription factor Foxp3 as a central molecular determinant of differentiation and function of Treg cells, the complex biology of these cells, including maintenance of immunological tolerance to “self” and regulation of immune responses to pathogens, commensals, and tumors, has become amenable to mechanistic studies. In this review, we discuss the molecular aspects of Treg cell lineage commitment, maintenance, and function.

The cornerstone of the adaptive immune system is the random generation of antigen-specific receptors in the process of somatic gene rearrangement. The immense diversity of this anticipatory recognition system provides an efficient counterbalance to rapidly evolving pathogens. Two major classes of lymphocytes, key cellular actors of the adaptive immune system, rely on different principles of antigen recognition: Immunoglobulin (Ig) receptors displayed by B lymphocytes recognize intact forms of antigens, whereas T-cell receptors (TCR) recognize antigens in the form of short peptides bound to the major histocompatibility gene complex (MHC). The peptide–MHC complexes are displayed for T-cell recognition on the surface of antigen-presenting cells (APCs), among which dendritic cells (DCs) are unique in their ability to process and present antigens to T cells and to initiate the immune response. APC exploit the proteolytic machinery of intracellular protein turnover to generate antigenic peptides for T-cell recognition. MHC molecules sample the peptide content of main sites of intracellular proteolysis, the cytosol and the endocytic compartment, without discriminating between “self” and “foreign”—i.e., pathogen-derived—peptides. CD8 T cells recognize MHC class I molecules bound to peptides generated in the cytosol, whereas CD4 T cells recognize MHC class II molecules bound to peptides generated in the endosomes and lysosomes, although some important exceptions to this rule exist (Trombetta and Mellman 2005).

Sequence analyses of peptides displayed by MHC molecules revealed that the vast majority of peptides bound to MHC class I and class II molecules is derived from self-proteins. Furthermore, TCR have an intrinsic bias toward recognition of MHC molecules, and “tickling” of TCR through certain low-affinity interactions with self-peptide–MHC complexes displayed by thymic cortical epithelial cells is prerequisite for thymic T-cell precursors to pass a critical differentiation checkpoint dubbed positive selection. In the periphery, analogous interactions facilitate the long-term maintenance of mature CD4 and CD8 T cells and further shape TCR repertoire. Thus, this “self-referential” nature of T-cell recognition presents a tremendous problem of establishing T-cell tolerance to genome-encoded self-antigens, and to limiting reactivity to antigens derived from commensal microbiota, food, and environmental factors. In this review, we call “self” a sum of the aforementioned antigens, unrestrained reactivity to which causes diverse immune-mediated pathologies including autoimmunity, allergy, and asthma.

Dominant and recessive tolerance
Numerous mechanisms of tolerance described during the last several decades can be divided into two major groups. Cell-intrinsic mechanisms, also known as recessive tolerance, leading to physical elimination or functional inactivation of a given “self” reactive T-cell clone, operate both in the thymus and in the periphery and are likely responsible for neutralization of the majority of high-affinity T cells recognizing self. Immature CD4 and CD8 double-positive (DP) and single-positive (SP) thymocytes undergo apoptosis, or “negative selection,” upon high-affinity TCR engagement by self-antigen displayed by thymic DCs and thymic medullary epithelial cells. Those self-reactive thymocytes that escape clonal deletion become “anergic” or incapable of efficient proliferation in response to a “self” antigen and differentiation into functional effector cytokine-producing or cytotoxic T cells [for review, see Starr et al. 2003].
Beyond thymus, chronic engagement of TCR displayed by peripheral T cells by "self" antigens can also lead to activation-induced cell death or anergy induction. Peripheral anergy induction is reinforced by the two-signal requirement for initiation of the naive T-cell responses. This requirement is fulfilled upon simultaneous engagement of TCR and T-cell costimulatory receptor CD28 by cognate peptide–MHC complex and inducible CD28 ligands CD80 and CD86 displayed on the surface of APC (Lenschow et al. 1996). High levels of CD80 and CD86 expression are induced upon activation of a diverse set of dedicated sensors directly in response to microbial or viral products or indirectly by metabolic or biochemical changes they induce.

However, the recessive tolerance operating in a cell-intrinsic manner and the two-signal requirement for the induction of productive immune response appear insufficient to counter the threat of immune-mediated pathology without dominant tolerance, a unique immunological mechanism that involves the suppression of "self"-reactivity by specialized cells acting in a dominant fashion. The initial experimental evidence in support of thymic generation of cells capable of preventing autoimmunity came from a surprising finding of spontaneous T-cell-dependent autoimmune lesions in a variety of organs observed in mice subjected to thymectomy on day 3 of life (d3Tx) [Nishizuka and Sakakura 1969; Sakaguchi et al. 1982, Bonomo et al. 1995; Asano et al. 1996] and tolerance observed in chicken–quail chimeras [Ohki et al. 1987]. Since adoptive transfers of T cells from euthymic mice prevented autoimmunity in d3Tx mice, these early observations suggested paucity of T cells capable of preventing autoimmunity in neonatal mice and, thus, laid a foundation for the concept of dominant tolerance.

**CD25^+CD4^+ T cells as mediators of dominant tolerance**

Long-lasting labors to define the cell type-mediating dominant tolerance resulted in the landmark discovery of a subset of CD4 T cells, constitutively expressing high amounts of the interleukin-2 receptor α-chain (CD25), as being highly enriched in suppressor activity (Sakaguchi et al. 1995). CD25^+CD4^+ T cells, dubbed regulatory T cells or Treg cells, were shown to be able to prevent autoimmunity upon transfer into d3Tx mice. Their potent suppressive capacity has been further demonstrated in multiple experimental models of autoimmunity, transplant rejection, and tumor immunity [for reviews, see Shevach 2000; Fehervari and Sakaguchi 2004]. These cells make up ~10%–15% of lymph node and splenic CD4 T cells in mice and ~2% of peripheral blood CD4 T cells in humans. In the thymus, a subset of CD25^+CD4^+ SP thymocytes with suppressive activity is present, but these cells are inconspicuous within the first few days after birth in agreement with d3Tx experiments [Asano et al. 1996; Fontenot et al. 2005a]. These results indicated that CD25^+ Treg cells serve as mediators of dominant tolerance and that these cells differentiate in the thymus in a developmentally controlled manner. Although identification of CD25 allowed for functional analyses of Treg cells isolated from nonimmune animals, its utility as a definitive Treg cell marker was limited due up-regulation of CD25 in all activated T cells. The inability to discriminate between protective and inflammation-promoting cells during the immune response impeded further understanding of dominant tolerance, especially its mechanistic aspects. Moreover, it was proposed that Treg cells are only conventional activated CD4 T cells that down-modulate immune responses by competing for interleukin-2. Thus, a search for a specific molecular marker of Treg cells and a genetic mechanism underlying the differentiation and function of these cells ensued.

**Foxp3: a key to dominant tolerance**

The key to discovery of the genetic basis of dominant tolerance was provided by identification of mutations in X-chromosome-encoded transcription factor Foxp3 in mice with a spontaneous scurfy mutation and in patients with the IPEX [immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked] syndrome [Chatila et al. 2000; Bennett et al. 2001; Wildin et al. 2001]. In both humans and mice, loss-of-function Foxp3 mutations result in a fatal early-onset T-cell-dependent autoimmunity manifested by diabetes, thyroiditis, hemolytic anemia, hyper-IgE syndrome, exfoliative dermatitis, splenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, and "cytokine storm" [for review, see Gambineri et al. 2003]. Importantly, the disease affected only hemizygous Foxp3 mutant males. In contrast, heterozygous female carriers of Foxp3 mutations were spared from the disease, suggesting that T cells expressing a wild-type Foxp3 allele were able to restrain activation of T cells [Godfrey et al. 1994]. An implicit assumption in this line of reasoning—namely, that Foxp3 mutations do not affect random X-chromosome inactivation in T cells—was subsequently confirmed by analysis of Foxp3 reporter mice [Fontenot et al. 2005c; Gavin et al. 2007], as discussed below. This consideration along with systemic nature of immune inflammatory lesions affecting multiple tissues in Foxp3 mutant individuals prompted several groups to examine expression of Foxp3 in CD25^+CD4^+ Treg cells in mice. These studies revealed that Foxp3 is expressed at a high level in CD25^+CD4^+ Treg cells, but it is not present in significant amounts in naïve CD25^+CD4^+ T cells or in antigen-stimulated CD4^+ T cells, which acquired CD25 expression upon activation [Fontenot et al. 2003; Hori et al. 2003; Khattri et al. 2003]. Analysis of Foxp3 knockout mice showed that T cells in these mice become activated very early after birth, whereas the numbers of CD25^+CD4^+ thymocytes are significantly diminished. Although these experiments strongly suggested that Foxp3 is required for differentiation of Treg cells, a caveat remained that early-onset autoimmune disease and T-cell activation potentially adversely affected the size or properties of the CD25^+ Treg cell subset. This caveat was addressed, however, by examination of CD25^+CD4^+ cell populations in the thymus and peripheral lymphoid organs of mixed bone marrow chimeras lacking lymphoproliferative disease and immune-mediated tissue lesions. In these studies,
only Foxp3-sufficient, but not Foxp3-deficient hematopoietic stem cells were able to give rise to CD25+ Treg cells upon reconstitution of lethally irradiated recipient mice with Foxp3

null and allelically marked Foxp3

wt bone marrow [Fontenot et al. 2003]. These experiments showed an absolute requirement for Foxp3 for Treg differentiation in the thymus. Furthermore, forced expression of Foxp3 in peripheral CD25+CD4+ T cells using retroviral expression vectors results in acquisition of CD25 expression and suppressor function [Fontenot et al. 2003; Hori et al. 2003]. In addition, expression of a Foxp3 transgene confers suppressive properties to CD8 T cells [Khattari et al. 2003]. Finally, Cre-mediated ablation of a conditional Foxp3 allele in mature Treg cells results in a loss over time of suppressor function and characteristic Treg surface markers [Williams and Rudensky 2007]. Together, these studies showed that Foxp3 is essential for Treg differentiation and suppressor function. However, they did not answer the question as to whether Treg cell deficiency alone is responsible for the fatal disease in Foxp3-deficient mice and men, or Foxp3 function in other immune cell types or in nonhematopoietic cells contributes to the disease.

Foxp3-expressing Treg cells are vital for immune homeostasis

Analysis of Foxp3 reporter mice generated upon insertion into the Foxp3 locus of a DNA sequence encoding a GFP-Foxp3 fusion protein or a GFP or RFP coding sequence equipped with an IRES showed a surprisingly restricted expression of Foxp3 protein expression to a subset of CD4+ T cells [Fontenot et al. 2005c; Wan and Flavell 2005; Bettelli et al. 2006; Lin et al. 2007]. In addition to the prevalent CD25hiFoxp3hiCD4+ T-cell subset, some CD4+CD25lo or CD4+CD25lo T cells also express Foxp3 [Fontenot et al. 2005c]. The potent suppressive capacity of all Foxp3+ T-cell subsets and lack of detectable high amounts of Foxp3 expression in other hematopoietic cells or nonlymphoid tissues were consistent with the notion of a dedicated function of Foxp3 in Treg cell differentiation. Although low levels of Foxp3 expression outside the regulatory T-cell subset was reported [Zuo et al. 2007a,b], additional experimentation is needed to further confirm its physiologic significance.

Initial evidence that the paucity of Treg cells accounts for fatal autoimmunity resulting from the Foxp3 deficiency was provided by studies where adoptive transfers of Treg cells rescued disease in neonatal Foxp3-deficient mice [Fontenot et al. 2003]. Furthermore, mice with the T-cell-specific and germline ablation of the Foxp3 gene were indistinguishable in the progression and severity of the autoimmune lesions [Fontenot et al. 2005c]. In contrast, deletion of a conditional Foxp3 allele in thymic epithelial cells or DCs, which shape the repertoire of developing T-cell precursors, did not result in any discernible immune dysregulation or alteration in the T-cell differentiation [Liston et al. 2007; L Williams and A Rudensky, unpubl.]. These genetic studies implicated deficiency of Foxp3 within the T-cell lineage as a cause of the disease. Importantly, Foxp3 gene inactivation did not affect the sensitivity and two-signal requirement for T-cell activation, levels of cytokine production, and clonal expansion in peripheral nonregulatory T cells [Fontenot et al. 2003, 2005c; Chen et al. 2005; Hsieh et al. 2006]. These findings together with a failure to detect a cell-intrinsic role for the Foxp3 gene in regulation of recessive tolerance pointed to a lack of Treg cells as the cause of the disease associated with Foxp3 deficiency [Fontenot et al. 2003, 2005c; Chen et al. 2005; Hsieh et al. 2006].

Notwithstanding breakthroughs that came from the analyses of Foxp3-deficient mice, the question remained whether Treg function is critical during the development of the immune system or after the immune system is fully developed. This major outstanding issue was addressed through the analysis of Foxp3DTR knock-in and Foxp3-DTR BAC transgenic mice harboring an “ablatable” Treg population [Kim et al. 2007; Lahl et al. 2007]. Chronic ablation of Treg cells in adult healthy mice results in their death within 3 wk and demonstrates that Treg cell-mediated suppression is indispensable for preventing immune pathology throughout the lifespan of normal mice [Kim et al. 2007].

Considering a central role for Foxp3 in Treg biology, analysis of regulation of Foxp3 expression in the thymus and periphery and of Foxp3-dependent transcriptional and functional programs provides a key to mechanistic understanding of differentiation of Treg cells and of suppression they mediate. Furthermore, restricted expression of Foxp3 in Treg cells allows for development of powerful tools for in vivo genetic manipulation of various aspects of Treg biology. In the remaining sections of this review, we focus on recent progress in studies of molecular determinants of Treg cell differentiation and function.

Regulation of Foxp3 expression

In addition to CD25, Treg cells are known to stably express high levels of two negative regulators of TCR signaling and T-cell activation CD5 and CTLA4 (for review, see Sakaguchi 2004). These observations suggested that Treg cells themselves might express TCR with an increased affinity for “self” peptide–MHC complexes as compared with conventional “nonregulatory” T cells and that TCR specificity might guide Treg cell differentiation. The latter notion was supported by observations that in mice where all T cells express a single transgene-encoded TCR specific for a self-protein, myelin basic protein (MBP), a severe immune-mediated inflammatory disease allergic encephalomyelitis (EAE) commences [Lafaille et al. 1994]. In contrast, mice expressing the very same TCR transgene, but capable of endogenous TCR gene rearrangement, were disease-free due to the presence of protective Treg cells expressing endogenous TCR chains. Furthermore, adoptive transfer of these cells prevented disease in mice with monoclonal MBP-specific T cells present [Oliwares-Villagomez et al. 1998]. A further support for an essential role of TCR signaling in Treg differentiation came from studies where transgenic TCR coexpressed with a transgene-encoded...
corresponding TCR ligand in the thymus or chronically exposed to TCR ligand in the periphery facilitated differentiation of Treg cells (Jordan et al. 2001; Apostolou et al. 2002). Numerous transcription factors activated downstream from TCR were implicated in regulation of Foxp3 at a transcriptional level. These factors, including NFAT, AP1, CREB, and ATF, were shown to bind to the promoter region or to an intronic Foxp3 element proposed to serve as an enhancer (Mantel et al. 2006; Kim and Leonard 2007). In addition, TCR-induced NF-κB pathway was implicated in Treg differentiation (Schmidt-Supprian et al. 2004; Gupta et al. 2008). Besides TCR, CD28 signals play a cell-intrinsic role in Treg cell generation and maintenance. This notion is supported by greatly reduced numbers of Foxp3+ thymocytes and peripheral T cells in the absence of CD28 or its ligands CD80 and CD86 (Salomon et al. 2000; Tai et al. 2005). However, molecular mechanisms of CD28 involvement in Foxp3 induction are not clear since in vitro studies showed that extended activation of Akt, a main target of the CD28 signaling pathway, impaired generation of Foxp3+ cells. Conversely, inhibition of PI3K activation and, therefore, of Akt activation facilitated Foxp3 induction (Haxhinasto et al. 2008; Sauer et al. 2008). These recent findings were in agreement with an earlier observation of a twofold increase in numbers of thymic Foxp3+ cells, yet significant decrease in splenic Foxp3+ Treg cells in mice harboring an inactive form of p100Δ isoform of PI3K (Patton et al. 2006).

TCR/CD28 signals alone appear to be insufficient to induce Foxp3 expression. Indirect evidence in support of this notion came from observations of a delayed appearance of Foxp3+ thymocytes after birth, whereas CD25+Foxp3+ CD4 SP thymocytes were readily detectable (Fontenot et al. 2005a). These findings were ascribed to a requirement of a second signal for Foxp3 induction likely emanating from the IL-2 receptor (Bayer et al. 2005; Fontenot et al. 2005a). Mice lacking IL-2 or IL-2R suffer from severe lymphoproliferative syndrome, and disease in the latter mice can be prevented upon provision of IL-2R-sufficient Treg cells (Furtado et al. 2002; Malek et al. 2002). Two recent studies suggested a two-step model for Treg cell differentiation where, first, a TCR signal of an isoform of PI3K (Patton et al. 2005b; Malek et al. 2008). This concern is supported by observations of rescued differentiation of STAT5-deficient Foxp3+ Treg cells upon forced expression of Bcl2 and of a high level of Foxp3 expression upon ablation of STAT5 restricted to Treg cells (Malin and M Busslinger, pers. comm., Y Zheng and A Rudensky, unpubl.). Thus, further gene targeting and biochemical studies are needed to elucidate the cooperativity between, and redundancy of, numerous putative transcriptional regulators of Foxp3 expression recruited downstream from TCR and IL-2R as well as of additional signaling pathways, for example, Notch/RBP-J [Fig. 1; Samon et al. 2008; Ou-Yang et al. 2009].

In addition to IL-2 and other γc cytokines, TGF-β, jointly with IL-2, was implicated in Foxp3 induction in peripheral T cells and more recently, in developing thymocytes (Chen et al. 2003; Zheng et al. 2004; Davidson et al. 2007; Horwitz et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2008). The latter notion is based on a profound, but transient, decrease in numbers of thymic Foxp3+ cells during the first week of life of mice subjected to thymocyte-specific TGF-β receptor ablation (Liu et al. 2008). In agreement with this idea, a conserved intronic Smad/NFAT-binding Foxp3 element with an enhancer activity has been suggested to play a central role in induction of the Foxp3 expression in the thymus and in the periphery (Tone et al. 2008). It is possible, however, that during thymic differentiation TGFβ signaling affects survival of newly generated Foxp3+ thymocytes or their precursors rather than induction of Foxp3 expression. These findings imply distinct mechanistic requirements for thymic and peripheral Foxp3 induction and suggest distinct biological roles for Treg cells generated in the thymus and in the periphery [Fig. 1].

**Foxp3 expression in human T cells**

It is noteworthy that although Foxp3 is necessary for Treg development in both mice and humans, differences in Foxp3 expression patterns exist between these two species (Ziegler 2006). First, Foxp3 induction is observed...
Molecular mechanisms of Treg-mediated suppression

Despite the rapidly accumulating knowledge of Treg cell involvement in immune regulation, the understanding of molecular mechanisms of suppression is still limited. Several groups employed DNA microarray analyses to uncover molecular mechanisms facilitating suppressor function of Treg cells. As we briefly discuss below, these efforts were very productive.

Transcriptional profiling of Treg cells versus naïve or activated T cells revealed a substantial number of functionally important genes including cell surface molecules and secreted proteins including well-known makers of Treg cells like CD25 and CTLA-4 and new players like TNF receptor family member GITR and a neuronal guidance protein neuropilin-1 (Nrp-1) (Gavin et al. 2002; McHugh et al. 2002; Bruder et al. 2004; Herman et al. 2004; Fontenot et al. 2005b). Although an indispensable role of IL2R signaling in Treg homeostasis has been well established (Fontenot et al. 2005b; Malek 2008), a proposed role for GITR as a negative regulator of Treg-mediated suppression has been controversial (McHugh et al. 2002; Shimizu et al. 2002; Ronchetti et al. 2004; Stephens et al. 2004). In addition to elevated expression on Treg cells, GITR is up-regulated on activated effector genes dev.cshlp.org on February 15, 2010 - Published by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press
T cells, where along with other TNFR family members OX40, 41BB and TNFRII, it serves as a potent costimulatory and survival molecule. It seems more likely that engagement of GITR on the surface of effector T cells enhances their responses, effectively releasing these cells from the Treg-mediated suppression (Ronchetti et al. 2004; Stephens et al. 2004). Consistent with these results, GITR deficiency does not result in noticeable aberrations in immune homeostasis or tolerance (Ronchetti et al. 2002), yet GITR may serve as a promising target for cancer immunotherapy.

In contrast to GITR, CTLA-4, which like GITR is upregulated on effector T cells and is constitutively expressed at a high level on Treg cells, is indispensable for immune homeostasis. At 3 wk of age, CTLA-4-deficient mice succumb to a highly aggressive immune lesions manifested in splenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, myocarditis, and pancreatitis (Tivol et al. 1995). Although CTLA-4 plays an important cell-intrinsic role in limiting responses of activated T cells, a surprising observation that the presence of CTLA-4-sufficient T cells prevents excessive activation of CTLA-4-deficient T cells pointed to an important function of CTLA-4 in Treg-mediated suppression (Bachmann et al. 1999). Building on earlier reports using cell transfer and antibody blockade (Read et al. 2000, 2006; Takahashi et al. 2000), a role of CTLA-4 in Treg cells has been reassessed recently in genetic studies, where the mice with a selective loss of CTLA-4 in Treg cells were analyzed (Wing et al. 2008; Friedline et al. 2009, Y Rubstov and A Rudensky, in prep.). In these studies, selective CTLA-4 deficiency resulted in greatly increased numbers and activation of Treg cells under inflammatory conditions, yet the suppressive activity of CTLA-4-deficient Treg cells was impaired. It was suggested that in BALB/c mice, known for their susceptibility to a variety of immune-mediated disorders, the reduced suppression capacity of CTLA-4-deficient Treg cells is due to their inability of down-regulating CD80 and CD86 expression on and expansion of DCs (Wing et al. 2008). These results were consistent with a pronounced expansion and activation of DCs observed very early upon acute Treg cell ablation (Kim et al. 2007).

Unexpectedly, however, Treg-specific ablation of CTLA-4 early upon acute Treg cell ablation (Kim et al. 2007).

A role of Foxp3 in Treg transcriptional and functional programs

The aforementioned central role of Foxp3 in defining Treg cell lineage raised a question as to what degree that Treg-specific genomic program is directly controlled by Foxp3. Based on comparison of gene expression profiles in Foxp3+ T cells generated under different conditions (Sugimoto et al. 2006; Hill et al. 2007), it was suggested that the distinct Foxp3-independent features of Treg transcriptional program precede and are established in parallel with the Foxp3-dependent transcriptional program. A direct investigation of Foxp3 contribution to transcriptional and functional features of Treg cells was made possible through the analysis of mice harboring a Foxp3 reporter-null allele (Foxp3GFPKO) generated upon insertion of a GFP coding sequence into the Foxp3 locus with a concomitant ablation of the Foxp3 protein expression (Gavin et al. 2007). Cells expressing Foxp3GFPKO can be arguably considered an equivalent of Treg precursor cells. These cells exhibit some of phenotypic and molecular characteristics of Foxp3+ Treg cells, including an inability to proliferate and produce IL-2 in response to TCR stimulation, expression of low amounts of IL7Rα chain, and elevated CD25, CTLA-4, and GITR, albeit at significantly lower levels in comparison of Treg cells. However, unlike Treg cells, GFP Foxp3GFPKO T cells produce immune response promoting Th2 and Th17 cytokines IL-4 and IL-17, and the block in their autonomous proliferative activity is less severe as their in vitro proliferation can be
readily restored by limited TCR/CD28 costimulation. The Foxp3-mediated repression of IL-17 production, a characteristic feature of Th17 cells, is likely due to a modulation of transcriptional activity of orphan nuclear receptors RORγ and RORα through direct interaction of Foxp3 [L Zhou et al. 2008]. RORγ serves a role of a key Th17 lineage specifying factor [Ivanov et al. 2006]. In addition, GFP^+Foxp3^GFPKO T-cell population was quiescent, whereas Foxp3^+ Treg cell subset exhibits impressive proliferative activity in vivo in the absence of inflammation. Most importantly, GFP^+Foxp3^GFPKO T cells were completely devoid of suppressor activity (Gavin et al. 2007). Similar results were obtained by Chatila and colleagues [Lin et al. 2007] using analogous knock-in strategy, although notable differences in the phenotype of T cells expressing dysfunctional Foxp3 allele were observed in the latter study, most likely due to the presence of Foxp3 protein lacking DNA-binding domain, yet capable of protein–protein interactions [Lin et al. 2007], in contrast to a complete Foxp3 ablation in the former study [Gavin et al. 2007]. Together these studies showed that Foxp3 is absolutely required for suppressor function, proliferative potential, and metabolic fitness of Treg cells. In addition, Foxp3 prevents differentiation of Treg precursor cells into effector T-cell lineages. Characteristically, Foxp3 amplifies and stabilizes expression of a number of genes transiently up-regulated in activated nonregulatory T cells. At the same time, Foxp3 enforces repression of immune response promoting genes normally induced in naive and effector T cells upon TCR stimulation. Thus, Foxp3 controls Treg cell differentiation by potentiating or consolidating the beneficial features and at the same time correcting the disadvantageous features of precursor cells.

The analyses of Foxp3-dependent transcriptional program invited a question as to how many genes are directly regulated by Foxp3. Recently, a systemic examination of Foxp3 target genes was undertaken using a combination of chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP) with mouse genome tiling array or promoter array analyses [Marson et al. 2007; Zheng et al. 2007]. A cross-comparison of the data sets of Foxp3-bound genes and genes differentially expressed in Foxp3^+Treg cells versus GFP^+ Foxp3^GFPKO T cells revealed that ~10% of Foxp3-dependent genes are directly regulated by Foxp3 [Zheng et al. 2007]. However, the direct Foxp3 target genes include a number of sequence-specific transcription factors and miRNAs, playing important roles in Treg biology and contributing to differential mRNA and protein expression in Treg cells [Fig. 2; Zheng et al. 2007]. The analysis of Foxp3-binding genes also showed that in contrast to early notion that Foxp3 acts as a transcriptional repressor (Schubert et al. 2001; Bettelli et al. 2005; Grant et al. 2006; Lopes et al. 2006), more Foxp3-bound genes are up-regulated, than repressed, in Treg cells [Zheng et al. 2007]. Thus, Foxp3 acts as both transcriptional activator and repressor. Furthermore, Foxp3 binding correlates with marked enrichment in permissive (H3K4me3) and inhibitory (H3K27me3) histone modifications associated with its binding sites in activated and repressed genes, respectively [Zheng et al. 2007]. These results suggest that Foxp3 imparts epigenetic marks on its target genes and, thereby, establishes a heritable transcriptional program during Treg differentiation. However, ablation of a conditional Foxp3 allele in mature Treg cells over time resulted in a loss of characteristic gene expression, suppressor function, and the acquisition of effector T-cell function [Williams and Rudensky 2007]. These results indicate that heritable maintenance of developmentally established Treg transcriptional and functional program requires continuous expression of Foxp3. Subsequent study demonstrated a similar requirement for Pax5 for the maintenance of the B-cell lineage identity [Cobaleda et al. 2007]. These observations suggest that this is likely a common feature of late cellular differentiation.

Figure 2. Foxp3-dependent transcriptional program. Foxp3 acts as both transcriptional activator and repressor. Whereas more genes are up-regulated by Foxp3 (green) in Treg cells, a number of genes are down-regulated (red) in a Foxp3-dependent manner. Genome-wide analysis of Foxp3-binding sites revealed that a relatively small fraction (~6–10%) of Foxp3-dependent genes are direct targets of Foxp3. In addition, Foxp3 controls Treg cell transcriptional program indirectly through modulating expression of a set of genes encoding transcription factors and miRNA.

Treg cell-mediated suppression of distinct classes of the immune response

Foxp3-dependent suppressor program implemented by Treg cells keeps in check Th1, Th2, and Th17 types of effector immune responses to “self” antigens and pathogens. Until very recently it was not clear whether Treg cells implement a universal hard-wired program to limit different types of immunity or modular programs of suppression tailored to inhibit a particular class of the immune response. Experimental support for the latter notion comes from a recent analysis of a role for transcription factor IRF4 in regulatory T cells. In naive non-regulatory CD4 T cells, IRF4 is expressed at a very low level, but it is up-regulated upon their activation and its expression is required for Th2 differentiation [Lohoff et al. 2002; Rengarajan et al. 2002]. Unlike conventional T cells, Treg cells constitutively express high level of IRF4, which serves as a direct target of Foxp3 [Zheng et al. 2007]. Ablation of a conditional IRF4 allele in Treg cells led to a selective dysregulation of unprovoked pathogenic Th2 responses; i.e., increased production of Th2 cytokines, IL-4-dependent Ig isotype production, and...
pronounced plasma cell infiltration (Zheng et al. 2009). Thus, IRF4, a transcriptional factor required for differentiation of Th2 effector cells, is expressed in Treg cells in a Foxp3-dependent manner and endows Treg cells with the ability to suppress Th2 responses. Furthermore, IRF4 forms complexes with Foxp3 and in a cooperative manner regulates at least some of the genes in Treg cells, e.g., *Icos*, encoding an essential costimulatory molecule. These findings suggest Treg cells might hijack certain components of cell transcriptional machinery guiding differentiation of a particular effector T-cell type to efficiently control the corresponding type of the immune response.

**Foxp3 and its interaction partners**

The aforementioned interactions of IRF4 and RORγ with Foxp3 highlight the importance of understanding the composition of Foxp3 transcriptional complexes and different modalities afforded by Foxp3-interacting partners. Recent mass-spectrometric analysis of Foxp3 protein complexes isolated by immunoprecipitation revealed numerous regulators of gene expression, including factors involved in chromatin remodeling (*RGC1*, *Ku70/Ku80*, and *MBD3*), acetyltransferase TIP60 and histone deacetylase HDAC7, and sequence-specific transcription factors (*Li and Greene* 2007). It was also proposed that the recruitment of TIP60 into Foxp3 transcriptional complexes results in Foxp3 acetylation, whereas HDAC7 deacetylates Foxp3, and that the ensuing changes in the Foxp3 acetylation state modulate Foxp3 activity in a manner analogous to c-myc (*Patel et al.* 2004, *Li et al.* 2007). Among sequence-specific transcription factors serving as Foxp3 interaction partners, NFAT and Runx domain transcription factor Runx1 were proposed to be indispensable for establishing Treg transcriptional and functional programs (*Wu et al.* 2006, *Ono et al.* 2007). The molecular details of NFAT–Foxp3 interactions were deduced from crystallographic analysis of DNA-bound NFAT and the forkhead domain of Foxp2, a close relative of Foxp3 (*Wu et al.* 2006). DNA template-dependent interactions of Foxp3 with NFAT are thought to prevent formation of NFAT–AP-1 complexes, required for the expression of immune response-promoting genes in effector T cells, thereby ensuring their repression in Treg cells. NFAT:Foxp3 cooperation is able to drive the genomic program required for Treg cell differentiation and function (*Wu et al.* 2006). In addition, it has been suggested that Foxp3 might inhibit AP-1 function through direct association with the activated AP-1 protein (*Lee et al.* 2008). Site-directed mutagenesis of predicted NFAT interactions sites in the DNA-binding domain of the Foxp3 protein resulted in a loss of its ability to impose Treg gene signature and suppressor function (*Wu et al.* 2006). A similar loss of function was observed upon introduction of mutations disrupting Foxp3 interactions with Runx1, initially identified in a yeast two-hybrid screen (*Ono et al.* 2007). As a note of caution, a considerable caveat to the site-mutagenesis approach is that introduced mutations might lead to a loss of additional interacting partners besides those under study. Considering the complexity of the Foxp3 “interactome,” further biochemical, genetic, and functional studies of components of Foxp3 transcriptional complexes are warranted.

**Role of miRNA in Foxp3+ Treg cells**

MicroRNA (miRNA) are small untranslated RNA species, which have been implicated in the regulation of gene expression essential for organ development, cellular differentiation, homeostasis, and functioning through target mRNA degradation or translational interference (*Bartel* 2004). Although miRNA-mediated gene regulation is critical during B-cell differentiation (*O’Carroll et al.* 2007, *Koralov et al.* 2008), depletion of miRNA in developing thymocytes does not result in a gross perturbation of T-cell differentiation (*Cobb et al.* 2005, *Muljo et al.* 2005). However, ablation of either Dicer or Drosha, two RNase III enzymes critical for the generation of mature miRNAs, at a stage of the DP thymocyte differentiation results in reduced numbers of Foxp3+ thymocytes and peripheral Treg cells and immune-mediated lesions developing at 6 mo of age (*Cobb et al.* 2006, *Chong et al.* 2008). Likewise, a reduction in the efficiency of Foxp3 induction is also found upon stimulation of naïve Dicer-deficient T cells in the presence of TGF-β (*Cobb et al.* 2006). Despite a role of miRNA in the generation of Foxp3+ Treg cells both in the thymus and in the periphery, it seems unlikely miRNAs are involved in the regulation of Foxp3 amounts. Three studies found no evidence of reduced Foxp3 amounts in Treg cells in the mice with a T-cell-specific or Treg-specific Dicer or Drosha deletion (*Cobb et al.* 2006, *Chong et al.* 2008). 

**Figure 3.** miRNA-dependent gene regulation preserves Treg suppressor function in inflammatory settings. miRNA depletion due to ablation of Dicer in Treg cells (green) results in impaired homeostasis and attenuated suppressor function in a healthy heterozygous *Dicer*+/−/Foxp3cre/+ female mice cohabited by Dicer-deficient (green) Dicer-sufficient Treg cells (blue). (*Middle panel*) Nevertheless, Dicer-deficient Treg cells (green) are still able to suppress self-reactive effector T cells (red), albeit less efficiently than their wild-type counterparts. (*Left panel*) Consequently, the mice remain healthy and show no sign of inflammation, similar to their wild-type littermates (*Dicer*+/−/Foxp3cre/+). (*Right panel*) In *Dicer*+/−/Foxp3cre/+ mice, lack of Dicer-sufficient Treg cells results in an inflammation and a complete loss of suppressor capacity of Dicer-deficient Treg cells. This failure of suppressor function in face of inflammation ultimately leads to fatal immune lesions indistinguishable from that in Treg-deficient mice.
mediated through targeting SOCS1, a negative regulator. miR-155-mediated control of Treg cell homeostasis is dispensable for Treg differentiation and suppressor function. IL-2. At a mechanistic level, heightened responsiveness of Treg cells to their key survival and growth factor, IL-2. Importantly, the suppressor capacity of Dicer-deficient Treg cells was maintained, albeit at a markedly reduced level, under noninflammatory conditions. However, in inflammatory settings, these cells entirely lost the suppressive capacity despite a marked increase in their numbers and activation [Liston et al. 2008]. These observations implicate miRNA as key guardians of a stable Treg suppressor program under inflammatory conditions (Fig. 3).

Treg-specific Dicer and Drosha ablation studies raised a question as to the identity of specific miRNAs regulating distinct aspects of Treg biology. Differential miRNAs expression in Foxp3+ Treg cells was first demonstrated by Cobb et al. [2006]. Furthermore, many of Treg-specific miRNAs are expressed in Treg cells in a Foxp3-dependent manner. Among Foxp3-dependent miRNAs, miR-155 has been shown to be directly regulated by Foxp3 [Marson et al. 2007; Zheng et al. 2007; Lu et al. 2009]. Although dispensable for Treg differentiation and suppressor function, Foxp3-driven miR-155 up-regulation is critical for heightened responsiveness of Treg cells to their key survival and growth factor, IL-2. At a mechanistic level, miR-155-mediated control of Treg cell homeostasis is mediated through targeting SOCS1, a negative regulator of IL-2R signaling. Thus, constitutively high expression of miR-155 driven by Foxp3 ensures efficient STAT5 phosphorylation in the presence of limiting amounts of IL-2 and, thus, fitness of Treg cell subset in a competitive environment [Fig. 4, Lu et al. 2009]. This finding not only provides the first example of a single miRNA controlling Treg homeostasis but also demonstrates that different miRNAs affect distinct facets of Treg biology.

Concluding remarks

The discovery of Treg cells and of their key controller, Foxp3, has revitalized the field of immunological tolerance. The regulation of Foxp3 expression, identification of its interaction partners and downstream gene targets provide essential mechanistic insights into highly complex and intricate regulation of immune homeostasis mediated by Treg cells. Recent advances have made Treg biology a fascinating research field amenable to mechanistic dissection. From a general biological perspective, Foxp3 and Treg cells provide a powerful model to dissect the molecular orchestration of cellular differentiation, function, and homeostasis. From an immunological point of view, in-depth exploration of Treg biology is fundamental to understanding the origin and mechanisms of dominant tolerance, a vital and unique means of negative regulation in the immune system. On a practical level, Treg manipulation offers promise for developing novel therapeutic approaches in cancer, autoimmune and infectious diseases.
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